

Attached is one copy each of State Department
by dated 10/24/69 and $10 / 28 / 69$ concerning the
by EAES EARL RAY for documents prepared in
ion with his extradition hearings in London.
Attached is one copy each of State Department
telegrams dated 10/24/69 and $10 / 28 / 69$ concerning the
request by JAMES EARL RAY for documents prepared in
connection with his extradition hearings in London.
Attached is one copy each of State Department
telegrams dated $10 / 24 / 69$ and $10 / 28 / 69$ concerning the
request by JAMES EARL RAY for documents prepared in
connection with his extradition hearings in London.
Attached is one copy each of State Department
telegrams dated $10 / 24 / 69$ and $10 / 28 / 69$ concerning the
request by JAMES EARL RAY for documents prepared in
connection with his extradition hearings in London.
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1. As far as British law is concerned Bow Street Court is of opinion that Ray has right to have affidavits taken in U. E. and received in hearings at London last year. Bow Street aficicial states he believes copies of these affidavits were given to defence after proceedings here were completed. He assumed documents were transmitted to Fines in U. S. When informed giving affidavits to Ray might result in their publication, he repeated that Ray, as defendant, had every right to the afficievits, which he understood could include Ray's making them ava!?abic to others if he chose to do so.
2. While Department correct that affidavits not avallabie to pi:HIc in U. I. . , this policy does not apply to party in the proceeding? who could publish it if he wisher. Thus were Fay to have mads renuest for documents, court would have released them. Court would not, r pi, not release to writer or any thíci parts'.
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9. Embassy has obtained views of Foreim Office and Nome Office which concur with those of Bow Siret Court. Bor: agree matter of publication would be up to Res.
10. Since both Court and Home Office would have granted request by Fay for documents, Embassy of view that tit would bs awkward not to release documents to Ray. Embascy would nt., rpt, not support giving documents to writer.
11. Foregoing has been coorcianzed with Gather.
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