

Dear Jim,

4/15/75

I have read but one of the new releases of the executive sessions. I'll probably not have time to read the others today.

I was too tired to complete them last night and the afternoon and night were taken up with unexpected calls. I am feeling more and more tired and don't know how much is not from physical causes. It slows me down some.

However, my reading of the transcript of 12/8/63, whether or not I was drowsy, persuades me that it did not qualify for withholding under the old law. There were pages that might have been withheld and there were names could have been masked properly - and I did ask this - but the entire thing could not be and was.

What I am getting at is that you should start a file for future litigation for money damages for malfeasance, nonfeasance, misfeasance or any other kind of feissance you can think of because the total of this will accumulate into quite a case and against me. If Howard can spend a few days here this summer and update his index of my Archives correspondence that will be an index to this, too.

On these transcripts, my complete file is all letter size. If the originals they gave you is letter sized, could you please make yourself a set and let me have the originals (to us) so that for the future and for having a complete set at one place I can run these new ones into the box?

These seem to be a bit longer. And there was a different reporter.

One apparent reason for withholding the 12/8/ transcript is that they quite openly said they wanted to prevent any independent investigation, that Katzenbach was in on it (nothing new for that great liberal) and that there were those on Texas who would go along. In the context of my earlier work, this has to be Jaworski and him only. I have Carr letters of complaint and I have spoken to Storey, who was not all that active anyway.

Best,