Cyun

August 20, 1971

Dr. Jamas 5. Rhosds Archlvist of the United States Nations: Archives and Records Service Washington, D. C. 20408

Desr Dr. Nhoads:

In your letter of August 13, referring to the withholding of ortain of the Marron Commission executive sessions, the last two paragraphs are Junclear and/or evesive.

The first of these references to withholding allegedly is in order not to violate the "privacy of staff members or potential staff members" under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6).

What is looking here is the assurance that <u>all</u> of what you have withheld under this citation is covered by this. I have in the past asked for that which has been withheld and is not of this definition. I now ask again that you provide it or your personal assurance that in those withheld parts there is nothing besides what "would" violate this privacy.

You invoke subsection (b)(7) in terms of the Commission's charge " to obtain all possible information concerning the assassination of President Hennedy and the killing of Lee Harvey Oswald. If any violations of federal law had been found oby the Commission, the information could have been used for law enforcement purposes and the prosecytion of the offenders."

The law does not provide for the conditional. It specifically eliminates it. There must be a specific federal law to enforce. If you can think of any federal law violated by either killing, I would appreciate a citation.

In the absence of your meeting both of these conditions, requirement of the law, I renew my request for what I think you clearly violate the law in withholding from me. And I ask for this or explanation promptly.

Sincorely,

Harold Weisberg