Ar. Tom Susman, Counsel Administrative Practises Subcommittee Senate Office Eldg. Washington, D.C.

Dear Tom,

There has been a development of which I think you should know.

There is something I first asked for eight years ago and was told did not exist. It exists. Jim wrote a letter clearly the first step in litigating. By return mail I got it.

We were not even put through the trouble and waste of time of appeal. They makely crossed out the TOP SECRET stamps and declassified as seen as they got Jim's latter.

I suggest one possible explanation is a planaed Department of Disinformation type objective.

I think you should read it. I have given Jim (484-6023) and a correspondent friend captes.

While with what I have already obtained and know this could make a sensational book I could complete rapidly, I have no such plans. I can't print what I've already completed.

So, I've turned it over to this correspondent friend who will handle it his way. I know in general what he has in mind.

After recess I'll be giving copies to three committees on both sides. I did not mention yours to this correspondent and he did not think of it. My purpose is to avoid doing anything publicly that could be any ambarrassment.

Reading this will take no more than 10 minutes. It will require little explanation, if any. One question that will occurris did Heaver later do it? The answer is an emphatic "no!" That subject is one of my larger files.

We have not yet obtained whatever we will obtain on the spectrographic analyses and the neutron-activation testing. They are promised for this week and they just can't be full and complete. My other work could not be more definitive on what the results have to have been. Therefore, the simplest explanation is that I expect no "results" as such. Because they now claim that our past differences were "semantic" I expect what I specified I did not ask for, "rew material." While my capabilities are limited, I have written to ask one who should be competent to compile "results" from this raw material if he will do this for me.

One encapsulation of part of this new material I have obtained can be important to those who have expressed faith in all official investigations and procedures. This is one of the reasons I think you should read this as soon as possible. It is that Hoever never intended any investigation, intended that the Commission mover make one, it know and understood - and didn't.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg