High Court Issues New Evidence Rules 11/21/12

By John P. MacKenzie Washington Post Staff Writer day issued rules of evidence man of the Senate Criminal ness's credibility. Thus, if it if believed by the jury. which are expected to bring drastic changes in the struc-ture of civil and criminal trials in every federal district court in the United States court in the United States.

Unless disapproved by Congress early in its next session, dence and discard many old the rules will take effect July 1, giving each trial court the For example, cross-examina- Court's own decisions dating rules. Many draw their rules first set of nationally uniform tion will be wide open, no from 1913. It would permit a from the states in which they evidence standards in history. Disapproval by Congress is a witness's direct examination. defense another man's confes-defense another man's confes-dotc, in the view of many lawconsidered unlikely since the

versial details with Sen. John longer will be put in the posi- dence, previously excluded, The Supreme Court yester L. McClellan (D-Ark.), chair- tion of vouching for the wit- would exonerate a defendant

> the use of more kinds of evirestrictions on admissibility.

When a lawyer for one side sion to the crime if the confes-yers.

page set of rules is to permit witness's testimony or that the questions in dispute. witness has turned hostile.

draftsmen worked out contro-calls a witness, his side no sion is corroborated. Such evi-

At present most of the na-One new rule would over- tion's 93 federal district courts rule one of the Supreme are free to devise their own Court's own decisions dating rules. Many draw their rules longer limited to the scope of defendant to use in his own sit, and often the result is cha-