## 8/13/93

to: George Nichael <sup>E</sup>vica 107 North Beacon St., Hartford, CT 06105

## Dear From Harold Weisberg

The problems I have with your persisting evasiveness and non-responsiveness are complicated by my mislaying the latter you wrote me other than caption in response to mine of 6/21, the latter you noted revising twice.

It is in that letter that you referred to Marrs and White.I find that Lywrote you 7/7 and 7/15/93. The latter refers to your 7/12. I wrote your about it also 7/15, I see.

So, I'll appreciate a copy of the letter that somehow got mislaid here, From what you say in your 8/8, if you said that in the letter of which I see no copy after a trait included all the neaby fles on both side, I clearly misunderstoof you and owe Harrs and White apologies that with this they will understand I make.

However, I do not meall language in that or any letter that you use in the middle paragraph of page one of your 8/8, or the next one. A copy as I've asked will leave that cleared up.

You 8/8 does not say what you intend it to espond to. I wrote you 8/4. The first sontence became, "When I asked you who put you up to that planned 'scholarly' paper on me as a government disinformation agent, your answer, if that is what it really was, is that Marrs and White had." It is clear that you had given me that impression in what I responded to 7/15. That you did no see fit to correct me and tell me that I was wrong led to what it would not have if you had then responded.

What is obvious from the very first is that you steadfastly refuse to make specific response, as of your current evasion. It is not an unequivocal response to say, as I quotw from your 8/3, page two, that none of you has had any connection, well you don't even day what I has going to say. You say that none of the three of yow"based any part of bur conference planning or implementation on any past, present, or future work by Harrison Livingstone."

That is as evasive and non-responsive ag it can be. t seems to me that what I asked you is whether you got the idea directly or indirectly from him. If at the outset you had done what I hope you tech your students to do and not gone into your own special interpretations and this kind of lingo, you could say yes or no, perhaps qualifying the no<sup>4</sup>be fcause you aight not know all the people he has working (for him.

Tou keeps referring to people in the plural who have been saying that silly stuff and you say that your conference intended "to initiate of in-depth self-examination mixthe within the critical contunity " so why all the evasions, the steadfast refusal to give a single name? Is there any single way to leave a record, that questions whether there was a single one and that it was your own idea? So Issk creations I have from the first, names, please, and no more self-justifying crap. Harold Weigherg, 7627 Old Receiver Road, Frederick, Ma Market Market Science Scie