To Gery, Paul, Jim and Hal from HW EPSTRIN IN NEW ORIFANS

I have always felt Epstein's comprehension was low of he was guided by others. ou have probably heard me refer to Liebeler as his beamfactor and say and, indeed, write, in WWII) that without Liebeler Epstein would have had no book. Both are possible, if not probable, despite his intelligence, for understanding this subject requires more than intelligence.

Booksellers' Association convention in Washington (Mormally om there every day all day). I was given a bound set of proofs of "Counterplot". This was an edition intended for rush purposes, it would seem, as though for getting review copies in the hands of reviewers before paging. If the page numbers written in where they and are accurate, then material was added, for some of thepages are light, not enough type. I cite this as indication there was a sudden rush on the book, like to use against Garrison, for it was undertaken when there was no sign of a trial date.

I have had time only to glence at it. The "prologue" has a real catchy title, "Oswald in New Orleans". That is all I've read so far. However, reading that, even with the low opinion of Epstein it can accurately be said I e njoy, that shocked me. It has the grossest error in it end is clearly designed to protect the government and the Commission and its Report and, naturally and decently, Liebeler. This is true of what it asys as well as what it fails to say.

The proplegue title is not the only unoriginal thing in the prologue.

This "edition" is without the notes, so I do not know what he cites. However, it
is clear that single-citation footnotes will not cover the material used, therefore
I essume that he merely took from others what he desired. The internal evidence
of uncredited indebtedness to OSWALD IN NEW OHLEANS is abundant. There was material
in it that is in no other source, official or otherwise, and Epstein uses it.

However, these are not things I'd take your times or mine with. In the prologue are things that are either inventions, by Eystein or others for him, or he has had access to official information not in any we have been able to see or get, and this is the pumpose of my writing. After their hassle in Calif., I am inclined to believe Liebeler is not the source, as I am also inclined to believe Liebeler is not the source, as I am also inclined to believe Liebeler will persist in his allence, which I dats to Movember 1967 and which is prior to this writing. Bearing on this are several other things indicating an prior to this writing. Bearing on this are several other things indicating an Epstein-government relationship, neither of which is absolutely certain. Larry Epstein-government relationship, neither of which is absolutely certain. Larry Schiller told me, in Bill O'Connell's presence in 12/66, that a deel had been send for Epstein to see the pictures and X-rays so he could report on them. If khis was true, I was able to end the possibility as soon as I returned kome. And two bright Univ. Wisc. students phoned me immediately after they had needled Epstein at an owa speech to report they had fled two FHI agents who seemed to be with Epstein and who accosted and queried them as soon as they did the needling.

When I can I will finish this book. If any of you have any thoughts from having read it I'd welcome them. And, because I cannot go out and buy such crap, while I want to have the finished edition, I'll wait until it is remaindered. If and when you see it on sale for a dellar, which might be now, I'd appreciate your getting a copy for ms. Meanwhile, I'll be annotating this cen. Leter I can compare them. In other cases, this has been fruitful.