

Legend

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701
2/28/78

Mr. Gene Wilson FOIA REQUEST
FOIA/PA Coordinator
CIA
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Wilson,

Eight days ago I sent you an FOIA request based on the pre-publication promotions for what now appears to be a joint CIA-Readers Digest project to further revise and rewrite the story of the assassination of President Kennedy, by-lined by Edward J. Epstein.

Since writing you I have seen two of the New York magazine interviews with Epstein. They leave little doubt that everything Epstein attributes to the CIA is within one or more of my FOIA requests you and the CIA have been stonewalling for so long.

There is one possible exception: the name checks he says the CIA did. If these were done for him as he seems to say and then were communicated to him verbally only. Even if they were done for him and records were generated, those records fall within my requests because you have not responded to my requests.

My requests are probably more inclusive than those Epstein filed.

However, you have processed his requests and provided him with some of the information I have requested. Providing me with duplicate copies of what you have provided to him presents no problem and no manpower demands. It requires only xeroxing.

I therefore request such copies, again with the waiver of costs, as per my previous letters on that.

I do not believe that the CIA is anxious to comment on why it decided not to take any action against Angleton, Miler and Nosenko, those named by Epstein to date, while having decided to take action against Frank Snapp.

The Attorney General, who said he represents the CIA in the Snapp case, states it is the CIA's intent to learn whether a contract is a contract.

I'll be interested in seeing if a contract is a contract, as the CIA sees it, with Snapp but not with Angleton, Miler, Nosenko et al.

If there are any records relating to the foregoing I would also like them under FOIA. This should include records on making Nosenko available to Epstein and records relating to any decision not to lay charges against those who breached security in this entire matter.

Sincerely

Harold Weisberg