Dear Jis, Robisson's decision in £ 75-1443

6/21/77

With one exception I leave entirely up to you thether or not we appeal in this case. I take it to asseptioned extending of the order gives us more time.

by one stipulation is that we do this with vigor if we do it.

This may meen beginning with a motion for a rehearing and an affidavit from me. If there is— no without it we have an inadequate hearing — I will be pointed and I will may that I have been denied a fair hearing because I took the work he gave and did not keep. The denied me the taking and giving of testizony after assuring me this. All of what I then did and di not do, so you know, was bessed on this.

I will want to use what I was saving for the expected day in court, the Seine effidevite. I will want to use an issue of whether while danying we the Sometic zetarial sua Varren ossission lawyers are known to have taken copies of what they manted that was denied to more wortale like no - they simultaneously swie Masenko evailable to Epstein, who from a wealthy corporation of long and close federal connections in getting \$500,000 and other help/

I will want to refer to the Barron book, which copes from the man sources, defectors, including Socosho.

I will went to say that I have gone over thousands of pages of Cla records once withheld under false claims like thes savenced in this case and in not a single instance have I found what fit the claim or by any reasonable standard scale have. I will say that other and probibited reasons are obvious, like an effort to start World war III out of the Sc City station.

In addressing the language of the enesded order I will want to go late the language belies used in the 1/27 transcript, that perjury is righ and proper. I will want to do this, perhaps with Seine, in the context of "manufactual sources and methods" and entirely unsupported affidavit claiming what on the face is impossible and him being being believed by a judge who has farmalessed that off Vignore's engaine after guarantening as he would start it. I would say that from long experience on this subject and with these people it is perials to us that there is no possibility of afficial observance of the law by those it was smarted to coupel to make information available and no possibility of any judge meeting his prepossibility unless he lets Vignore's engine run.

This is the context of the sords of the amending, "unauthorized," an subjustly, "disclosure," in context a total impossibility, and defined by "disclosure," "intelligence spurces and sethods." I am not familiar with this part of the legislative history but I commot imagine that the existence of a defector is in any sense a "disclosure" and in any way reveals what was not known since "aniel fit the battle after blowing the horn at jericho. The whole thing requires in all senses the revelaing of what was not known. This cannot be known relevant in any way to those two transcripts. Farticularly not of the Mossako one.

I think people like marks and marchetti if not others would be willing to state what their prior experience and knowledge tells them about a book like RGB. We can ridicule without end, like asking if it is going terequire the release of that 6/23 transcript to let the Russian know that he defected from them in 2/64. Or that any intelligence agency in the world does not know that a defector is an intelligence source, heave how can there be a "disclosure." There is no testimony and there also is no claim that the content of the 6/25 transcript day "disclose" either an intelligence source or a method. That the omnission wanted Secondo's evaluation of its Oswald evidence was voluntarily released by the defendant, who is not the CTA but the inchiver. In short, we can make out a case of the continuing deception of judges when the real purposeis not to do snything other than suppress emberrassing information by one subtarfuge or another. I'd be willing to attacks that their filling of experts affidavite makes snything "clear," despite the earlier decision, is we can be touch enough in other respects. "est, is