

Mr. Kathy Zinsella
2101 L St., NW, #203
Washington, D.C. 20037

4/11/77

Dear Kathy (and Jim),

Jim's 4/8 is addressed to the old number. More than a year ago the routes were renumbered. Without moving we are now on 12.

To the covering letter we were given identical copies.

You should be getting other such letters. From my Saturday's mail perhaps one from Secret Service.

I don't know how much of an issue you and/or Jim want to make of this but I doubt the applicability of (b)(7)(C) as requiring the obliterations we have. As an example all of graf 2. in the 5/11/64 to CIA. They are not to remove what is reasonably segregable.

It is my recollection that in that period there was anti-⁻astro training at Tanning.

The first page of the next document is withheld entirely without explanation, indication or claim to exemption.

Its content is unreal. In the unreality may lie a clue to the belated release. It is entirely consistent with the current Epstein project. If this is the explanation it is not the only such angled release I have seen lately. There was no such Kennedy-Khrushchev deal. Moreover, there was no rational reason to suspect anything of the sort.

Also interesting is the inclusion of what comes from CIA only and is unlikely, the bit about Oswald getting \$5,000 (\$6,200 in original fabrication) at the Cuban embassy. If my recollection is correct, that this report was not then public, how did those types learn of it?

The rewriting of history (3/28/64) over the fishing-boat incident is apparent to me who knew that story then. This ~~obscures~~ the revisionist political slant and also is consistent with Epstein's needs.

But if this political infantilism is relevant to our JMK FOIA requests, then what is not? Can the relevance lie other than in what remains withheld? Coming from these records belatedly provided? I recall no reference to the JMK assassination in them. Then how do they comply with any request?

Now if they are setting this up for their boy Epstein there is a possible explanation.

There is also the possibility I have a request I've forgotten, one to which this is relevant. When they are more than a year behind on all my requests there is no depending on recollection. But were there a relevant request, with all that are older and to which there has been no response why these few pages of political paranoia - a view that just happens to coincide with Epstein's politics and book doctrine.

In a spot check some months back I'd noticed some pages missing. Supposedly they had been supplied. Later they were, with effusive thanks. They included exactly the same kind of intellectual garbage there was never any reason for withholding.

I'll give Jim the copy you gave me and he'll know, with a cartoon of this letter, in the event there turns out to be basis for the Epstein Suspicion.

Best,