

Memo on 5/15/70 examination Ray file at DJ.

at my request, Bud accompanied me. Mrs. Cavacini, it turns out, is secretary to Eardley and Jaffe. This time they apparently felt it unnecessary to supervise me. When to this I add that for no apparent reason she took us to a different place to go over the file, not only out of the division but into an FBI area marked as proscribed on the sixth floor, I can only wonder whether we were not under surveillance.

I had a pretty good idea of that of which I want copies. But will want some of these for his purposes. I prepared a handwritten list, they not having a typewriter for me (I asked for one yesterday), a copy of which is attached, and handed it to Mrs. Cavacini when I returned the file to her-unescorted.

It reads:

May I please have copies of the following pages of the file to which I have been given access? (Note-it bears no other identification.)

File cover- front only

10-11

20-30 (photogs pp 28-30, not xeroxes)

33-5

58

61-2

67-70

Photo attached to n. 82(also 91), closeup view 424 Meint St. doorway-  
photo

173-5

Photo, not exrox, 176-7

188-92

Waiting for the elevator, I wrote a PS to the copy I turned in. It asked for a letter telling me I had been given access to everything sought in the suit.

While discussing this with Mrs. Cavacini, who seemed to think it required filling out new Freedom of Information forms, while I was explaining to her that we were past this, unannounced Eardley returned from lunch. He was not happy. Bud's opinion of his look at me was of acute dislike. He was inclined to be against everything. He asked me to explain the list and I did. Beginning with the request for the cover, he said he thought not, and I said I'd hope not to have to fight. He asked why I wanted the letter and I told him it represents an assurance that would be automatic in court, is inherent in Mitchell's letter, and protects everyone, including him, against simple bureaucratic error. I told him I had no reason to believe he was familiar with the contents of the file but that there had to be someone in the Department who was and there is no apparent reason why such a person could not write such a letter and none apparent to me why there should be any reluctance to provide it. I also offered to leave a check to cover costs, as an alternative asked them to phone me to tell me the cost or to phone Bud, either of us promising to provide it immediately. I also said that I'd phone next time I'm in town to see if this material is ready, preferring to pick it up over subjecting it to damage in the mails. Eardley left abruptly and impolitely, so I explained to Mrs. Cavacini that among other things there is no internal identification of the file I saw.

5/15/70

May I please have copies of the following  
pages of the file to which I have been given  
access? Full copy - front only

10-11

20-30 (photo pp 28-30, not X-rays)

33-5

58

61-2

67-70

Photo attached to p 82, close-up view 424 Main St.  
downway (also 91) - photo

173-5

Photograph, not X-ray, 176-7

188-92

Thank you  
Henderson