Ellsberg Trial Gets Secret Da

By Sanford J. Ungar Washington Post Staff Writer

LOS ANGELES, Jan. 26-A military jet flew across the on Tuesday, telling him: country today with a new You know what F am batch of top-secret Defense ing about now, don't you? Department documents that could lead to dismissal of some of the charges in the Pentagon Papers trial of Daniel Ellsberg and Anthony J.

Baltino and Nissen ave mercial arctar, even with appropriate left by the Department of judge's orders, and only today been tied up until today in Defense regarding these docu- Nissen reported that more connection with the funeral ments . . . as to whether they "damage reports" had been of former President Johnson. Russo Jr.

U.S. District Court Judge W. Matt-Byrne Jr., exploding in a rare show of temper, implied that the prosecution has been uncooperative in providing the documents, covered in a pretrial order he issued last spring.

Byrne ordered that the crossexamination of a key prosecution witness, Brig. Gen. Paul F. Gorman, be postponed until

the documents arrive here.
At issue are "damage reports" which were prepared in the office of Defense Department General Counsel J. Fred Buzhardt after the Pentagon Papers were publicized newspapers in 1971. They were part of a government attempt to assess whether release of the study could be harmful to the "national de-fense" of the United States.

Ellsberg and Russo are charged with conspiracy, espi-onage and theft of government property in connection with the duplication, and eventual distribution, of the Pentagon Papers and other government d-o-c-u-m-e-n-t-s tamped classified.

To prove the espionage harges, the prosecution must stablish the connection of the lisclosed material with the 'national defense," a key phrase of the post-World War espionage act.

Under the terms of the 1963 decision of the Supreme Court in Brady v. Maryland, Byrne ordered the prosecution to produce the "damage reports" for his private inspection and possible turnover to defense attorneys.

(In that decision, the Supreme Court reversed the con-

"You know what I am talk-

vealed that there were still in any way caused, their re-located at the Pentagon caused of the red damage reports."

Byrne exploded at Bartimo possibly could, would or did But the prosecutor said they cause injury to the United were so sensitive that they States."

could not be put on a com-Bartimo and Nissen have mercial aircraft, even with an

viction of John Leo Brady, an accused rapist, because the prosecution failed to give the defense material it had which tended to exculpate, or prove the innocence of, the accused.)

If any of the reports say that disclosure of the Pentagon Papers would not affect the "national defense," this would be grounds for dismissal of the eight espionage counts in the 15-count indictment against Ellsberg and Russo.

Chief prosecutor David R. Nissen gave the judge one "damage report" last year, but after reviewing it Byrne declared the defense was not entitled to a copy because it was not "exculpatory" in nature.

But new doubt arose on Jan. 18 the second day of the Elisberg Russo trial, when the first prosecution witness, Frank A. Bartimo, assistant Pentagon general counsel, acknowledged under cross-examination that another such analysis had been prepared.

Byrne sent Bartimo back to Washington last weekend to find that analysis, prepared by what Bartimo termed a "task force," and the assistant general counsel returned here with it Tuesday.

But when the judge examined it, he found it was something else entirely—apparent-ly, an inquiry of where specific sections of the Pentagon Papers published in newspapers had come from.

On further questioning, this time by the judge, Bartimo re-