## Crucial Prosecution Evidence Banned From Ellsberg Trial

By Sanford J. Ungar Washington Post Staff Writer

LOS ANGELES, Feb. 7-The judge in the Pentagon Papers trial today imposed the first punishment on the prosecution for disobeying a major pretrial order in the case.

Partially granting a motion by attorneys for defendants Daniel Ellsberg and Anthony J. Russo Jr., U.S. District Court Judge W. Matt. Byrne Jr. banned the prosection from offering any evidence on whether one of the 20 documents in the indictment re-,lates to the "national defense." The document involved, a Rand Corp. study of the 1954 Geneva accords on Indochina, is one of the most significant in the case.

It contains pages marked "restricted data," which is an Atomic Energy Commission security classification system that includes the designation "top secret-sensitive." The lat-ter classification is stamped on every page of the Pentagon Papers.

Byrne indicated that he would later grant a defense motion to stike from the 15count indictment a count nage for his alleged disclosure thoroughly discredited by the of the Rand study, written by newly available studies as had political scientist Melvin Gur-the Rand document.

en a count charging that Ellsberg stole government property when he removed the document, as well as the Pentagon Papers, from the Rand offices in nearby Santa Monicain 1969.

His ruling grew out of the recent discovery that chief prosecutor David R. Nissen hal failed to turn over to him or the defense internal government studies rejecting a link between the documents and the "national defense," despite an order issued by Byrne last April and reiterated in June.

In order to obtain a conviction on the espionage charges against Ellsberg and Russo the government must establis the government must establish that the documents they allegedly disclosed bore such a relationship to the "national defense."

The defense now has available for use in the case the gov ernment studies asserting that 18 of the 20 volumes did not meet that qualification.

They will be used to chal-lenge the contrary "expert" opinions of prosecution witnesses.

After failing in a move to have the entire indictment dismissed or a mistrial declared because of "prosecutorial mis-conduct," Ellsberg's and Rus-Monday to prohibit the prosecution from offering any evidence at all on the Rand docu-

charging Ellsberg with espio-| matic volume had not been as

The indictment charges that The judge said however, Ellsberg showed the diplothat the prosecution would matic volume to tvu Van Thai, still be able to offer evidence a former South Vietnamese ambassador to Washington.

In another rebuke to Nissen. Byrne ordered today that all prosecution witnesses brought into court this week for a special inquiry on whether Nissen instructed them not to grant interviews with defense attorneys.

It was revealed Tuesday that a key prosecution wit-ness, Army Brig. Gen. Paul F. Gorman, while he originally consented to an interview with défense attorney Charles Nesson before the trial, changed his mind on the basis of "advice" from Nissen and Defense Department lawyers.

The defense tried unsuccess fully today to have all of Gorman's testimony stricken from the trial record, because of that "advice."

Instead, Byrne offered to make facilities available for such an interview now. But Gorman insisted that he had "no desire" to talk with the defense and that this decision was his own choice.

The judge reading from cases previously decided by appellate courts, pointed out that no witness in the case "is the special property of either side." He said he wented question the other prosecution witnesses to make sure they are aware of this rule and to so's attorneys asked the judge determine whether they want to meet with the defense.

Leonard B. Boudin, Ells-berg's chief counsel, comment and one of the diplomatic volumes" of the Pentagon Papers.

But Byrne, ruling today, said that the "national defense" aspects of the diplomatic volumes afternoon.