hin Your Wallet

By Sanford J. Ungar Washington Post Staff Writer

LOS ANGELES, July 4—
"There are people in this room who I know can afford three, four, five hundred dollars. I don't think it is right to give. The only thing you jeopardize is your wallets: these men have jeopardized

their lives."

Stanley Scheinbaum, president of the Pentagon Papers Fund, Inc., told that to a nearcapacity audience in the Beverly Hills High School auditorium a few weeks ago.

The affluent, liberal listeners had been treated to speeches by Daniel Ellsberg, Anthony Russo and Sen. Mike Gravel (D-Alaska), and now it was time to put their money where their ideological commitment was to help pay for the Ellsberg-Russo defense.

But it was hard going for after he switched from a soft and sincere sell to a hard and hortatory one, there was little response. The buckets were passed without much success. Finally, a gimmick caught

on. Someone offered \$100 for a Bantam Books edition of the Pentagon Papers autographed by Ellsberg and Russo. The autographed books, which sell on the newsstands for \$2.25, went out at \$10 per copy after that.



DANIEL ELLSBERG. paying the piper

The next morning, in U.S. District Court here, as pretrial fund raiser Scheinbaum Even proceedings in the conspiracy, theft and espionage case against Ellsberg and Russo droned on, Scheinbaum sighed heavily with disappointment.

His special "pitch" the night before had brought in \$2,500. There was another \$1,500, the Ellsberg-Russo share of the evening's "gate" after it was split with the local branch of the American Civil Liberties

See ELLSBERG, A12, Col. 3

ELLSBERG, From A1

Union, which sponsored the meeting.

The total was \$4,000 for the defense fund.

That was enough to pay for about two days of defending Ellsberg and Russo in court for disclosure last year of the top secret history of American involvement in Southeast

Altogether, the total bill for to exceed \$500,000.

And that does not include the defendants' promise to help pay the legal bills of friends who were subpoenaed before federal grand juries here and in Boston, but have waged costly fights against testifying.

to calculate the value of the government resources poured payer.

But it has become increas ingly clear that recent trials won a postponement on a hat motion was do in which the executive branch and antiwar protesters of one U.S. District Court Judge W. Other costs to include housing me. stripe or another have a court- Matt Byrne Jr. has delayed a room showdown are among ruling over a weekend, Scheinthe most expensive elements baum has shuddered. in the democratic process.

The trial of the Rev. Philip Berrigan and other Catholic about \$15,000 a week. militants in Harrisburg, Pa., earlier this year, for example, also cost the defense about half a million dollars.

That was with two of the defense attorneys, including former Attorney General Ramsey Clark, donating their services and with expenses of two of the defendants paid for by the government after they had been certified as "paupers." Had the defense not rested its case in Harrisburg without presenting a single witness, the cost might have been about 50 per cent higher.

The Chicago Seven trial, which lasted several months in late 1969 and early 1970, is estimated to have cost the defense almost \$1 million.

Although it involved some different issues and charges, the recent trial of Angela Davis in San Jose, Calif., was similarly expensive.

Little is known about the

total defense expenses in the Goodell, are very expensive, tors in the case, who have Davis case—money is still (Exactly how expensive, worked almost exclusively on being raised to pay them off Scheinbaum won't reveal.) -but the California State Legislature has appropriated ing in this thing," says Scheinalmost \$1 million to pay the baum of his efforts to keep special prosecution costs, in-costs down. cluding extraordinary security arrangements at the Santa bit disloyal, he was delighted Clara County Courthouse in San Jose

Scheinbaum, an economist and philanthropist who was its claim that Elisberg and elected a delegate to the Dem-Russo are the defending the case is expected ocratic National Convention "selective prosecution." from Santa Barbara his year, was shocked to discover the magnitude of his fund-raising ing them. and sending them task in the Ellsburg-Russo back home to the East Coast case.

When he was recruited for the job last fall, without pay,

With a few zealous support-On the prosecution side, alers willing to donate more though it is almost impossible than \$10,000 each, that seemed attainable.

into the Ellsberg-Russo trial, Justice Department added the cost may be even greater Russo to the indictment inaccording to some estimates, stead of merely calling him as more then \$1 million. That expense is borne by the tax-when the trial was delayed until this summer.

Each time the defense has

The way he calculates it, this phase of the case costs

That includes a large arsenal of lawyers. A few, mem-bers of a left-leaning law commune here called the "Bar Sinister," refuse to accept Sinister," more than \$1,250 a month for their part in defending Russo.

But even at half their normal fees—a reduction they have voluntarily agreed to—

Without feeling the slightest this week when Byrne refused to grant the defense request for an evidentiary hearing on victims of

The bill for bringing witnesses here, housing and feedwould have been \$10,000.

Some expenses exasperate Scheinbaum, but he does not he thought his goal would be find out about them in time to raise objections.

It cost the defense \$3,000. for example, to seek dismissal of the indictment through a But the cost rose when the special motion complaining ustice Department added that the Justice Department had violated grand jury secrecy by disclosing the indict ment to newsmen in Washingon while it was still under eal here last December.

Surprising almost no one, hat motion was denied out of

Other costs to the defense include housing many of their aides and assistants in a modern apartment complex that is a 15-minute walk from the U.S. Courthouse here, frequent transcontinental plane fares and endless court transcripts.

The prosecution shares many similar costs. One prosecutor, Warren P. Reese, for example, lives in San Diego but stays in a hotel here all week long.

"Our lawyers don't charge as much as the others," says some of Ellsberg's lawyers, in one Justice & Department cluding Leonard Boudin and spokesman in Washington; former U.S. Sen. Charles E. But in fact, the three prosecu-Washington.

it for nearly a year, earn an "There's a lot of arm-twist- annual total of about \$82,000.

Chief prosecutor David R. Nissen refuses to discuss who else has worked on the Ellsberg-Russo case, but the chief of the Los Angeles office of the FBI and an aide from the Justice Department's Internal Security Division are in the courtroom every day.

The court costs—with the

judge earning an annual salary of \$40,000—could also be added to the tally of govern-ment expenses So could much of the FBI's investigative work in the case.

Government officials insist, however, that any such calculations are unrealistic, since many of the costs would be borne by the government whether this case were on trial or not.