

4/11/93

Dear Jim Eisenberg,

That is the book. I thank you for it and for the inscription, which I appreciate.

So far as I now know the only day I'll be away for the next month is Monday, May 3, when I go to Baltimore for a medical examination. I should be back that afternoon to get any call but generally being driven there does tire me some and I try to keep the rest of the day free to be able to rest some.

Your uncle will be welcome. The Commission had ^{some} ~~so~~ psychiatrists meet and discuss the Oswald reflected in the records the Commission chose to give them, which was not all it had and it had not gotten all the information it could and should have. I have a transcript of what I regard as their very unprofessional and unscientific discussion.

I'm glad your uncle is studying the possibility of something like behavioral control on Sirhan. There is no possibility, I believe, in the other assassinations. What some friends of mine forced the L.A. police to disclose of their JFK assassination records is available on microfilm from the state archivist at Sacramento. I have an actor friend in L.A. who can, I think, be informative on that for your ^{uncle} ~~uncle~~. He is a friend of one of the victims, Paul Shrader, whose address I fear I do not have. He also could help and I think would from what I know of him. Of both. And they possibly know others who would.

If your uncle was not so far away there are a couple of other studies he might like to make. One is of James Earl Ray. I know him well, spent days on end with him inside the maximum security jail and spoke extensively to his former associate in crime and his brother and sister. Another is a so-called critic who is currently ~~being~~ soaring on the clouds of his delusions and has become a menace to most others. He visualizes a conspiracy against him to preventing his "breaking the case wide open" and in some of his recent letters to another casts me in the role of ringleader. Last year it ~~is~~ was as the main "psyops" operator against him. He is not in contact with reality on the assassination, either. What may interest your uncle, or perhaps a domestic psychiatrist he may know, is that each time I prove to him that his books are based on gross factual error, he imagines the alteration of evidence, most recently the Zapruder film, to frustrate his "investigation" which is correct because he wants it to be and all else is wrong or phoned. He has a history of paranoia and I am certain other problems. He is also militant and determined and he has a publisher who has made much profit from his books I have retitled as High Trash 1 and High Trash 2. High Trashiest 3 is due for October publication. I would think such a specimen could entrance those who study the workings of the mind.

I regard him as so much of a potential menace I see the state's attorney about him tomorrow to determine whether he has committed criminal offenses in his threats and defamations.

I did not recall that the book was published before Ms. Kennedy got married. Thanks again and best wishes,

Harold W. Wisberg

Jim Eisenberg
519 5th St (2R)
Brooklyn N.Y. 11215
April 9, 1993

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

I'd like to thank you once again for your patience and generosity yesterday. I hope that the book by Caroline Kennedy that I have enclosed is the one you were referring to.

I am very excited at the prospect of examining some of the documents you ~~at~~ obtained through the Freedom of Information Act. I hope to be able to take you up on your generous offer to do so within the next month.

In addition to thanking you for your time and ordering several books, I have one other reason for writing to you. Accompanying me next month to Washington D.C. is my uncle, Dr. Chris Clarke.

Dr. Clarke is a clinical psychologist who has been living in Australia for most of the past 25 years. In 1965, or thereabouts, he wrote an article for the New Zealand Monthly Review on the Kennedy Assassination. His article was greatly influenced by your work. Shortly thereafter, he did a book review, for the same journal, on Thomas G. Buchanan's, "Who killed Kennedy?"

(3)

Dr. Clarke's area of expertise is hypnosis. He has written a book and numerous articles in scholarly publications on the subject. From his studies into post-hypnotic suggestion in the highly-hypnotizable, he has been led to speculations about the possible use of hypnosis as a means of behavioral control in individuals linked to the assassinations of Robert Kennedy, JFK and others. My uncle would very much like to meet with you.

I am looking forward to receiving Whitewash Vols. II-IV, and Postmortem. I will be writing you once again in the near future, as soon

(4)

As I have had time to consider some of the disconcerting things you told me in our 8 April conversation.

Sincerely,
Jim Eisenberg