

Rt 8, Frederick, Md. 21701
6.30.74

Dear Congressman Edwards,

interest in

While your silence is not indicative of what I have suggested to you relating to what I believe is the initial fraud and conspiracy to commit fraud in Nixon's alleged gift of his personal papers to the Archives and subsequent tax writeoffs, I send you the relevant law as it was sent to me by the then Archivist, Dr. Robert Bahner, under date of November 14, 1966.

The present Archivist, Dr. James B. Rhoads, was then Bahner's assistant and was in personal charge of the JFK archive.

As you will see, what is enclosed is from "Federal Property and Administrative Services," beginning with page 88.

The marking of pages 89 and 90 was by the Archivist, not me.

I believe that this proves my contention, that before a gift subject to any conditions can be accepted there must be two determinations at the least and they may not be other than in writing, as they were in the case of the JFK estate's so-called gift.

I had forgotten where I had this filed until current writing compelled my searching extensive files of correspondence with the Archives.

At the risk of adding to what can be interpreted as paranoia, I tell you my belief that I am currently being used in a way I cannot ignore to contrive a Nixon-Ehrlichman defense.

Neither of us has time for details unless you decide you want them. Before you can let me know, if you do, I will have completed the draft of what I have laid aside my other writing to complete in order that I may do what I can to nullify this further effort to obstruct justice that may also be conspiratorial.

My apprehension is that because I am without means or influence it can be arranged for this to become available to the defense by circumventing me. But because of the situation I could not be ignored without risking an in-court disaster.

Had I had the means, I would have published what I have received and in a form that I believe can and would frustrate this newest toying with the law and justice, indeed, with society. While a friend who is also overly busy with court deadlines seeks to borrow the money so we can publish this I am trying to get it ready now for publication.

While I have done what I will suggest with this friend as the recipient, asked him to preserve the analysis I wrote prior to any such use as I anticipate to establish that the analysis is not ex post facto, because of your position I would appreciate it if to that same end you keep this letter with the postmarked envelope. If I am correct, the value would be to you and the country, not to me.

What has happened is that I have been given what has been denied to me through six years of requests, an official document that can be used to validate all sorts of White House crime, including the Fielding break-in.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg