

2/5/72

Dear Jim,

Glad to know that your silence ~~analyzes~~ does not reflect any reduction of sharpness. Your 1/13 comment on Lattimer is right on the button. Other things are obvious, too, without any special knowledge of the fact of the assassination, too.

Example: how can pictures and X-rays prove beyond doubt who fired what shots? No single paper in the country of which I know had a single question to ask about this impossibility, no less an impossibility if a direct quote from a medical luminary. They cannot do this in any way.

There was only one admitted, Lattimer, which is more inexplicable. His speciality is one not at issue in the assassination, the President having no known urinary problems.

Will we have to wait to 1972, as you say? Only if there is no help. Much more is known than has been reported. I have completed a really definitive work on that about which Lattimer kids himself as much as he would kid the people. There is no commercial interest in any book on any assassination, and I have no funds for private publication.

Best,

Harold Weisberg