



*more  
on 1/1  
this ...*

buy our gas. The benefit from the cheapness stays in the pockets of the importer. It is a bonanza. Oil importation is now 2.5 million barrels a day. That's five hundred million barrels a year. The annual profit—to the importers, not the consumer—is between a billion and a billion and a half dollar.

Surely, you say, there must be some way to let the average taxpayer benefit from the cheap oil. Yes, there is a way. In fact it was being proposed in 1958, at the time the present quota system was agreed on in its place. The other proposal would have provided that the Interior Department first figure out how much oil it wanted to allow to be imported, then put import quotas up for competitive bidding—with the proceeds to go to the U.S. Treasury. In addition to benefiting the treasury it would have given every oil company in the country, large or small, a share in the surplus.

Johnson, Anderson, and Hery went to work and squelched that, persuading Congress to leave the whole thing up to the President (and his advisers) to determine.

Early the next year Eisenhower improved the program they wanted. Under the formula still operating, companies that import oil are supposed to have refinery facilities—a requirement which breaks out all but one hundred and fifty oil companies and "lets it go" for the very largest ones, beyond that their import quotas are linked to the amount of domestic production, and domestic refining they do—so the largest companies get bigger. Twenty oil giants bring in 85 per cent of the foreign oil.

There was never any evidence that Johnson personally benefited from the program he helped shape. But there was trouble ahead. The smaller companies, the traditional wildcats, were being ruined by the competition from the imported oil. While the big companies prospered as never before, the smaller companies were going out of business. In the first five years of the oil import program, thousands of wells were shut down in this country, thousands of oil field workers were thrown out of jobs.

He may have really spun around, and on the other hand he may have been making campaign talk only, but on numerous occasions in 1960 Kennedy had said the kind of thing the independent oil men wanted to hear. In Wichita Falls that presidential year Kennedy commented, "Here in this old country, Sam Gray, who sold shoes—from 1955 to 1960 he sold 60 pairs of oil safety shoes a month.

That isn't a fiction, boy, that's petroleum.

Do you know how many he sold last month? Two. Eight days on oil well works in the state of Texas. Eight years ago it was twenty days."

By 1962 President Kennedy was making what the oil industry, especially the major companies, must have viewed as ominous signs of imminent reform. On July 12, 1961, a Treasury Department official acknowledged that "it is no secret that we are collecting financial data on percentage depletion in the oil and gas industry, and that we are considering this issue in connection with tax reform." This meant the 27.5 per cent oil depletion allowance, the industry's most treasured piece of governmental favoritism, might be reduced or abolished altogether. By 1 day of 1963, Attorney General Robert Kennedy was talking openly of "an apparent tendency toward concentration in this industry" and the need for an anti-trust probe. A cabinet-level Petroleum Study Committee, after noting dangerous implications in the oil import program, suggested radical changes which many industry observers believed would certainly be put into operation by January 1, 1964, when a new import quota period was scheduled to begin.

Meanwhile, in Texas, minor politicians, representing the smaller oil operators who were in trouble, were preparing a last-ditch effort to get fast action out of Kennedy. State Senator Charles Herring, one of three Texas senators who had attended the 1960 World Petroleum Congress, returned to with a fusion report setting forth the Texas independent oil man's troubles in competing with the import program dominated by the international giants. It was a thirty-eight-page report spelling it all out: how the program came into existence, who was profiting, who was being put out of business. Herring and other representatives of the independents obtained a firm commitment that Senator Charles Herring, American, November 22, 1962, was in London in his hands along with a confidential letter from Herring which talked of the import program's having the "potential for scandal . . . perhaps dwarfing the Terpet Dome scandal of yesterday" and of how

. . . it now seems impossible to overlook certain aspects of the program which seem peculiarly conducive to inferences of political scandal. I refer, for example, to the multiple ill-defined bases on which companies may qualify for import quotas, to the variations and exceptions within those formulas—and in general to the

