3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- the clothes. He showed us the Zapruder film a couple of times. That's all I can remember, although it seemed like an awful lot of times we met with him.
- Q Did he ever suggest to you that you change any of your testimony or to report something different from how you understood it?
  - A No.
- Q Were you aware of any other person making a contact with you in relationship to the Warren Commission who suggested that you change your testimony in any way to correspond with any other ideas they might have?
- A No.
  - Q Very early on in your deposition today,
    you made reference to Mr. Eardley from the Justice
    Department asking you to go to New Orleans; is that
    correct?
- 19 A Mm-hmm.
  - Q What did he say to you about the reason he wanted you to go to New Orleans?
    - A He was really upset. He says, "J, we got

20 21 22

to get somebody in New Orleans quick. Pierre is testifying, and he's really lousing everything up."

And I called Jim to see if he didn't want to go, and he was having--his mother-in-law was ill, and he couldn't go. So they put me on a plane that day and took me to New Orleans, and that was one of the most interesting adventures of my life. I met--do you want to hear all of this?

Q Yes, please.

A Carl Eardley sent me to a hotel, and I went into the hotel and registered. I was already registered. I got up to my room, and there was a note on my bedside table telling me to meet somebody at a certain place at a certain time. And this was a scary place. This was down around the wharfs, and the federal attorney's office was in a big warehouse down there. And that's--I met somebody on the street. He took me in there, and then they told me what was going on. They showed me the transcript of Pierre's testimony for the past couple of days, and I spent all night reviewing that testimony. And it was this bit about the

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

general. Jim said, "Who's in charge here?" And when they asked Pierre in court who supervised and ran the autopsy, he says, "Some Army general." And so that is why--and I never appeared. I spent two days down there and then came home, never appeared in court. And the government won their case.

Q Actually, the government was the district attorney. So my next question for you actually was: What was the United States Department of Justice doing in relationship to a case between the district attorney of New Orleans and a resident of New Orleans?

A Well, they--I went over and met somebody, some lawyer in another firm that night, and I don't know who he was representing. But, obviously, the federal attorney was on the side of Clay Shaw against the district attorney.

Q Do you remember the name of that federal attorney?

- A No. I have no idea.
- Q Was it Harry Connick?
  - A It could very well have been. That name

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

Th.

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

sounds--of course, Connick is not an uncommon name.

It could have been.

- Q Do you recall meeting with an attorney named Wegman?
  - A No.
- Q Or Dymond?
- A Thirty years ago, no, I can't remember that.
- 9 Q What did the government attorney say to
  10 you? Did he help prepare potential testimony for
  11 you?
  - A They were getting ready to. I guess it all depended on what Pierre did that next day or something. I don't know. All I know is that they-he was answering in very strange ways their questions, and, yes, they sent me down and talked to me and tried to get me to agree that he was very strange and that I could do a better job or something.
  - Q Did you ever talk to Dr. Finck about his testimony?
- 22 A No.

Q Did you ever talk to him at all after that point?

A Oh, yes, many times. Pierre's wife was there with him, and he was staying in the same hotel I was. And so we met just by chance at breakfast the day after I arrived. And we didn't discuss why I was there. I'm sure he asked me, and I don't know what I told him. But, anyway, we have met on a number of occasions since then. His daughter is in this country, and she was going to school in Missouri for several years. And I used to-they'd stop by here and visit with us as they were on their way. We were very good friends.

Q Do you have any idea why he was answering the questions the way he was in the Clay Shaw trial?

A Well, you'll know when you meet him, if and when you meet him. He is a very strange--but a sharp guy. He was a good pathologist, a hard worker. He was devoted to the United States and to the Army despite the fact that he was going back home. But he's a strange guy. I knew that long

before we invited him over to help us on this autopsy. He's just a strange fellow.

Q Do you recall who paid for your trip to go to New Orleans?

A I would assume that the Justice Department provided my plane tickets and my hotel bill.

Q Other than for this experience in New Orleans, were you contacted at any other point by any representative of the U.S. Government to provide assistance for the government in regard to the Kennedy autopsy?

A No. But aside from that, Carl Eardley called me when King was assassinated and said, "J, we got a problem down in Memphis. They're alleging that we're letting the Reverend die." And then he called me back and said, "He died. Would you go down there and supervise the autopsy?" And I said I'm the last--by this time, it had been several years, and we'd had an awful lot of stuff about the autopsy. And so I said, "I'm the last one you want to go down." And I gave him the name of the guy who was at that time the neuropathologist--I knew

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

C E

Sing

what they were going to find because he had been shot in the neck and the spinal cord was severed. And I gave him the name of the neuropathologist at the AFIP, and he called him and got him to go.

That's the only other incident relative to that.

Q Earlier in the deposition, you made reference to a letter that you sent, I believe to Mr. Eardley, suggesting that a panel be created to review some of the autopsy material. Could you describe for me what circumstances led to your writing that letter?

A This was--had to be '68, maybe. I'm not sure when it was. And he just called me out of the blue and said he thought it was a good idea--said they thought it was a good idea to have an independent panel. I believe that's what it was.

Now, I had been talking about this with perhaps him and other people, Jim particularly, that now that all the material was back, that it should be reviewed, if they're not going to. And whether Carl suggested it or whether I convinced