LaFRANCE sim

EXECUTIVE SESSION

TESTIMONY OF WARREN C. DE BRUEYS

WEDNESDAY, MAY 3, 1978

House of Representatives,

Subcommittee on the Assassination of John J. Kennedy of the Select Committee on Assassinations.

Washington, D. C.

The subcommittee met at 9:00 a.m., pursuant to notice in room 2200, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Richardson Preyer (chairman of the subcommittee) presiding.

Present: Representatives Preyer, Dodd and Sawyer.

Present also: E. Berning, Robert Genzman and B.

Morrison.

Mr. Preyer. The committee will come to order.

Mr. de Brueys, will you stand and be sworn at this time?

Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you are about to give this subcommittee will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

Mr. de Brueys. I do.

Mr. Preyer. Thank you.

I believe you have been given a copy of the rules of the committee.

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, I have.

25



4 5

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Mr. Preyer. At this time the Chair has a brief statement concerning the subject of the investigation. This is something we do for each witness.

House Resolution 222 mandates the committee to conduct a full and complete investigation and study of the circumstances surrounding the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, including determining whether the existing law of the United States concerning the protection of the President and the investigatory jurisdiction and capability of agencies and departments are adequate in their provisions and enforcement, and whether there was full disclosure of evidence and information among agencies and departments of the United States Government and whether any evidence or information not in the possession of an agency or department would have been of assistance in investigating the assassination, and why such information was not provided or collected by that agency or department, and to make recommendations to the House if the Select Committee determines it appropriate for the amendment of existing legislation or the enactment of new legislation.

The Chair recognizes counsel to begin the questioning.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. de Brueys, will you give your full

name and address?

Mr. de Brueys. Warren C. de Brueys, 4827 Michoud Boulevard, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70128.

Mr. Genzman. At this time I would ask the Clerk to give

you, Mr. de Brueys, JFK Exhibit No. 94 which is a letter from the CIA to the committee.

Mr. De Brueys, have you had a chance to review this letter?

Mr. de Brueys. May I read it? This is the one I read yesterday, isn't it?

Mr. Genzman. Yes, it is. You have had a chance to review the letter?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Do you understand it?

Mr. de Brueys. I understand it is a letter from the Central Intelligence Agency that says they have no objection. But I do recall asking if you had something from the Attorney General and I did not. But that is irrelevant as far as I am concerned. I will be happy to answer any questions you may have.

Mr: Genzman. Thank you.

How long were you employed with the FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. I was employed as a special agent from approximately August of 1950 to May 6, 1977. On the latter day I resigned.

Mr.Genzman. What was your position with the FBI in 1963?

Mr. de Brueys. In 1963 I was a special agent and investigator assigned to the New Orleans office of the FBI.

Mr. Genzman. What were your assignments and responsibilities?

Mr. de Brueys. At that time the great bulk of my work had to do with what we termed security matters. That is anything involving a threat to the national security, possible violation of the Foreign Agents Registration Act, Internal Security Act, and perhaps others, espionage statutes.

Mr. Genzman. Did your duties invlude investigation of political groups and Cuban groups?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes. Those that we figured came within the purview of our investigative jurisdiction.

Mr. Genzman. Was the Fair Play for Cuba Committee one of those groups which you investigated?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, it was.

Mr. Genzman. In 1963 what were the positions of Special Agent Milton Kaack and John Quigley?

Milton Kaack may have been a security type investigator also, but I am not certain of that. John Quigley, I do not believe that he did security type work as a rule. He may have done it occasionally. I don't know precisely what type of work he did, but he was a special agent of the FBI.

Mr. Genzman. Would it be fair to characterize you as a Cuban export in the New Orleans FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. I think that it might be, loosely using the term "expert." I had a lot of work that dealt with

1 Cubans, yes.

Mr. Genzman. Do you speak any foreign language?

Mr. de Brueys. I speak Spanish, Portuguese and some

French.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. de Brueys, I would now like to ask you about the FBI's investigation of political groups and Cuban groups in Cuba in the early 1960s. Did you ever investigate the Cuban Revolutionary Council?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, I believe I did.

Mr. Genzman. Could you tell us anything about this organization?

Mr. de Brueys. First and foremost, I know that the offices were located immediately across the street from the Masonic Temple building, which was the location of the FBI office.

Mr. Genzman. Were you aware that the office was located 544 Camp Street?

Mr. de Brueys. I have to answer that by saying instinctively no, it may have been subsequent to the assassination I may have read some material or seen some pamphlets where the address on Camp Street was 544 or something similar to that. I don't think I knew they were over there at the time. Certainly they had a very large office area, visible to anybody on St. Charles Avenue. I think they had a big sign outside that you could see from the street, but I may be

4 5

7 8

0.4

i wrong.

/

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever suspect that the Cuban Revolutionary Council had connections with the CIA?

Mr. de Brueys. Not really. I thought maybe Arcacha Smith did at one time, but I was never certain.

Mr. Genzman. Could you tell us about Sergio Arcacha Smith?

Mr. de Brueys. Very little. It is very distant and hazy in my mind. The thing that stands out is that I think I learned subsequent, possibly subsequent, to the assassination that he had left town and there was some question as to whether or not he had pilfered some funds, but I am not too clear on that. I don't want to indicte the man for something he may never have done.

Mr. Genzman. Was he a source of information or informant for the FBI?

Mr: de Brueys. Informant, no. Sources of information would apply to anybody that we had talked to more than once and was inclined to give us information. They wouldn't initiate it. They would be responsive to us. If I may add for clarity, any person, any business who logically would be contacted by FBI agents at that time, because they had access to information, whether it be a bank employee or hotel clerk or an auditor, and they were amenable to being helpful to us, we may list them as a source of information, sometimes as

confidential sources, only to facilitate investigation for agents that may be exploring that field and if they looked in the drawer they would find out, if they wanted something they could see ABC at "X" hotel, considered confidential sources.

Mr. Genzman. Would there have been a file in the FBI field office on Sergio Arcacha Smith as an informant or source of information?

Mr. de Brueys. I would say I believe not, but since I had left the office many years ago I would not know. I don't believe there was any that I had open and I doubt seriously that there was any that anybody else had open. But I hesitate to say anything definitive about that because I am not certain. I may say if it will help to clarify, I don't think my contacts were too numerous with him.

Mr. Genzman. How many times do you think you contacted

Mr. de Brueys. I don't know. I would say maybe six to ten.

Mr. Genzman. Were you ever aware of Sergio Arcacha Smith's relationship with David Ferrie?

Mr. de Brueys. No, I don't think I was. It is possible I could have read something where it may have siad that, but it is not an indelible impression on my mind that there was.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know David Ferrie?

19

1

.2

3

4

.5

6

10

11

12

13

.14

15

16

17

18

/ 21

22

24

Mr. de Brueys. No.

Mr. Genzman. Were you ever a member of the Cuban Revolutionary Council?

Mr. de Brueys. No.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever attend any meetings?

Mr. de Brueys. No.

Mr. Genzman. Did you know Orest Pena?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Was he a member of the Cuban Revolutionary
Council?

Mr. de Brueys. I think he was. If I were to hazard a guess I would say yes, he was a member of that. If not that, one or two others. I think he was, yes.

Mr. Genzman. Was he ever your informant or source of information?

Mr. de Brueys. No, never my informant. Source of information -- he was not considered a confidential source. He was a source that if I wanted something from the Havana Bar and wanted to ask somebody a question there, I would be inclined to go to him rather than somebody else because I happened to talk to him at one time when I had to do an investigation at that bar and on all subsequent occasions, why, I went to see him.

Mr. Genzman. How many times did you see Orest Pena?

Mr. de Brueys. To the best of my memory I think it may

/ 2

√ ¹ 2

have been six to ten or twelve times over a protracted period of time. I could be mistaken but I don't think it was any more than that.

Mr. de Brueys. Not really because I don't think he

Mr. Genzman. Did you find him a value source of information?

ever gave us anything too positive. He did respond to questions that were put to him. I presume, and this is not from memory, but the reason we would talk to him is because his bar was a place where Cuban seamen were inclined to spend a fair amount of time. A lot of those were alleged by anti-Castro Cubans, I say a lot, but when there was an allegation it was usually someone that may have been a seaman, they would say, "Well, he is pro-Castro." So we might make inquiries about it and it behooved us to talk to Orest Pena and it is

too. .

Mr. Genzman. Did you know Francisco Bartes?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes. I knew him a lot better than I

knew Orest Pena or Arcasha Smith. I saw him more frequently.

I saw him beyond the period of assassination when something

would come up and I would feel that maybe he might have some

answers, yes.

conceivable I may have talked to somebody else over there,

Mr. Genzman. Was he a member of the Cuban Revolutionary
Council?

Mr. de Brueys. I am not sure. He probably was, but I

am not certain at this point. He belonged to some organiza
tions or several, but I don't recall.

Mr. Genzman. Was Bartes your informant or was he ever a source of information to the FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. I would consider him a source of information, yes. We may have written a PSI think on him, but I am not positive.

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying there may be records in the FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. There may be.

Mr. Genzman. Would there also be records in the FBI concerning Pena as a source of information?

Mr. de Brueys. I doubt it, as a source of information.

There is an outside chance that in writing one of the many reports wherein Cubans were canvassed about information there may have been a blanket statement saying that person is familiar with Cuban anti-Castro activities or sources familiar with them were contacted and not identified on the report that is disseminated but may be identified on the administrative page of the report as such.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever investigate the Cuban Student Directorate?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, I did.

Mr. Genzman. Could you tell us about that group?

V

6

5

8

_____11

-- 10

..... 13

15 16

- 17

19

20

18

21

24

23

25

Mr. de Brueys. I think that is the one that Carlos
Bringuier may have been the head of or an officer in. At
this point in time I don't think that I got a lot of information from Bringuier about themembers. I think I may have
talked to him about being more informative at some time in the past.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether the Cuban Student Directorate was CIA related?

Mr. de Brueys. No, I don't know whether it was or was not.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether Carlos Bringuier had CIA connections?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't know, and I had no inclination to believe that he did.

Mr. Genzman. What was your policy when you determined that an area you were investigating was related to the CIA?

Mr. de Brueys. If I had any idea that it was a CIA matter I would discontinue but if it was something of importance and something I would have to do in connection with the case I would go see someone and usually It is possibly two people with CIA that were resident agents in New Orleans. They had their office in the Masonic Temple building. There was another man but I can't remember his name, but

Mr. Genzman. Was Carlos Bringuier an informant for the

FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. I would think he would be a source rather than a PSI or ASI, to the best of my knowledge.

Mr. Genzman. Was the FBI's investigation of these various groups or individuals a part of the COINTEL program?

Mr. de Brueys. No.

Mr. Genzman. Did you know Guy Bangister in New Orleans?

Mr. de Brueys. I knew him and had very limited contact with him. I seem to recall to have gone to his office on at least one occasion because I remember seeing his side of his office. I don't know what the reason was, but I suppose I must have had specifically to see him. Then I remember inviting him out as a former special agent to a church meeting, men's club meeting, at one time. Beyond that I don't think I had any contact with him.

Mr. Genzman. Did he have a private investigative agency in New Orleans?

Mr. de Brueys. I understand he did. It was also my understanding he worked for the Louisiana State Sovereignty Commission.

Mr. Genzman. Was his private investigative agency located at 544 Camp Street?

Mr. de Brueys. It was my understanding it was but I may be wrong about that. Now, other agents of the office I think had more contact with Buy Bannister than I did.

. 15

Mr. Genzman. Did Guy Bannister ever aid the FBI or the CIA?

Mr. de Brueys. Not to my knowledge, but I would not have much information in that area. A lot of other people in the office would be more likely to know than I about that.

Mr. Genzman. Was Guy Bannister a source of information for the FBI or an informant?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't know. He was not for me. I don't know that he was with the rest of the agents.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever know Clay Shaw?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't think I ever met Clay Shaw personally, but I knew him and knew him to be a respected person in the community and also knew him to be, what other people determined him to be, a closet queen.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever know a Clem Bertram?

Mr. de Brueys. The name is familiar but I don't think

I knew him personally. I may have but I have no recollection

of knowing him personally.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever know a Dean Andrews?

Mr. de Brueys. I knew Dean Andrews as a fellow law school student. I knew him to be a law school student. I don't think Dean Andrews would know me. I knew him because I saw him on TV. I knew he was a rather unusual type person, sort of what one may term a character.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether Shaw or Bertram or

3

Dean Andrews was related to the CIA?

Mr. de Brueys. Shaw, Bertram or Dean Andrews?

Mr. Genzman. Correct.

Mr. de Brueys. No, but I would say of the three a logical person to be developed would have been Clay Shaw because of his position in the international trade market.

7

6

He dealt with foreigners quite a bit.

8 9

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever investigate the Cuban

10

training campi in and around New Orleans? Mr. de Brueys No, I never investigated it. I heard

11 12

had any confirmation of their existence. In the absence of

there were some. I heard they were CIA related but never

13

specific instructions I never investigated it. I never opened

14 15

a case to ascertain if it was true. If it was another Govern-

16

ment operation I was not interested in investigating some

17

other Government agencies activities.

18

Mr: Genzman. Were you ever aware that these training camps were CIA related?

19

Mr. de Brueys. I had heard that they were but I could not say that they even existed as a fact.

20 21

Mr. Genzman. Were you ever involved in any raids on any of these camps?

22

Mr. de Bruevs. No, not a camp. I conducted a raid on -- raid, I effected a search warrant of a house across

the lake from New Orleans, either Mandeville or Lacombe area.

23

24

/

Mr. Genzman. What is the name of that lake?

Mr. de Brueys. Lake Ponchartrain.

Mr. Gerzman. What was found at that location?

Mr. de Brueys. A U-Haul trailer, small size, completely enclosed with a fairly large number of cases of dynamite and percussion caps, wiring. I think there was one gutted aerial bomb or maybe more and some napalm in powder form. There may have been other things. That was in essence the content.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. de Brueys, will you describe your investigative efforts with regard to the Fair Play for Cuba Committee?

Mr. de Brueys. I think that it might be well to point out that the Fair Play for Cuba Committee was a New York organization, headquartered in New York, and our New York office would have been the office of origin for any case work done concerning the FPCC. I recall several leads for the New Orleans Division set out by the New York Division. Usually they were very simple leads which were triggered by information received form sources of the New York office which would simply say that "John Doe residing at such and such a locale in your division hsa been in contact with the FPCC."

If I remember correctly, I think it would be a form
letter and it would probably say "Conduct inquiry in accordance
with instructions set forth in the manual of instructions"
and they would set out the page number. Usually all that

4 5

meant is that you identify the party or parties and then determine their address and employment and you would take no further steps unless you leaned they were involved in activities that gave them access to classified information. To the best of my memory I don't think any of them did. I think they may have just written to the FPCC in connection with school work or something like that.

Every time you would get one of these leads and you completed it with limited inquiry you would send a report back and you would close it in your office and for your information if you are not aware of the terminology, the report is RUC which are initials for "referred upon completion," to the office of origin.

Anything in the RUC status in the office was in the closed file, you did not do any more work on it. If another lead came in at a subsequent date you would simply reopen the file and do the same thing. If you finished what you were doing then you would simply RUC it again.

Now, I think that that happened in the FPCC case in the New Orleans Division, and Oswald's activities in connection with the opening of an FPCC chapter in the New Orleans area caused me to conduct inquiries about him because of his alleged relationship with the FPCC.

Mr. Genzman. We will return to Oswald in a moment. Do you recall the names of any of the other individuals you

investigated?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't, no. That would have been a one-time thing and lost to memory.

Mr. Genzman. Did the State Sovereignty Commission ever aid you in investigating the Fair Play for Cuba Committee?

Mr. de Brueys. No.

Mr. Genzman. Did they ever aid uou in investigating any of the other political or Cuban groups in New Orleans?

Mr. de Brueys. I would say no with the reservation that there may have been one occasion, but I doubt it seriously. I just didn't have any working relationship with Guy Bannister or anybody else in the State Sovereignty Commission.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Chairman, before I question

Mr. de Brueys concerning his investigative efforts regarding

Lee Harvey Oswald, do you have any questions at this point?

Mr, Preyer. Are there any questions? I don't believe so, counsel.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. de Brueys, how did Lee Harvey
Oswald first come to your attention?

Mr. de Brueys. I think it was because of his arrest.

My memory was cleared a bit this morning by reading a past report of mine. That seems to indicate that was it. Then there may have been other reports. The only other way he could have come to my attention is if there was somebody that

7 8

received a card with a stamp on it showing that FPCC New Orleans Chapter address with his name on it. Or there is a third possibility, but I am just inclined to believe that was the one.

Sometime during the inquiry we received a copy of a letter from the New York Division which was a letter that Oswald had written to FPCC and apparently some soruce in the FPCC had acquired that letter, and the content of that letter, while I don't recall it, gave a clear indication that here was somebody trying to convince someone at the FPCC headquarters that they should let him open a chapter in New Orleans.

The reason I say that is that it is my impression from that letter that he didn't know anybody up there and he was trying to sell himself.

Mr. Genzman. Did any other FBI agents in New Orleans investigate Oswald?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes. I suppose that about the time that he was arrested there was enough information to warrant an investigation case being opened on Oswald on internal security or possible under the Foreign Agents Registration Act. That was assigned to Milton Kaack. When that happened my inquiries about Oswald would not have had to have been as broad as otherwise because he was doing all the work on the case and I did not want to be going out to do something that would conflict

3

4 5

7

10

8

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

with what he was doing.

Mr. Genzman. Did you coordinate your investigation of Oswald with Milton Kaack?

Mr. de Brueys. Not coordinate, but you may use that I would say when I was going to submit a report I would certainly want to either talk to him or review his file and having reviewed his file I assume I would have asked him if there was any additional information that he had developed that he had not recorded and placed in his file. It was bis case. I don't think we ever did any work as a team on it by interviewing somebody together.

In fact, I don't think we did anything together on it. I did mine and he had access to the results of my investigation either because I sent him a copy of what I sent to the file or he was aware the file was there and he had access to the whole file.

Mr. Genzman. What investigative steps did you take regarding your investigation of Oswald?

Mr. de Brueys. For the Fair Play for Cuba Committee? Mr. Genzman. Correct.

Mr. de Brueys. I tried to ascertain if there was a working chapter and contacted as many people then as I thought as logical to confirm the existence of it, mostly Cubans or Spanish-speaking people. No one knew anything about it. No one new anything about Hidell. I don't know what else

he did. I read the report this morning under the FPCC captioin. I am inclined to believe there may have been a prior report and there may have been subsequent reports.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever observe Oswald during his demonstrations of the Fair Play for Cuba Committee?

Mr. de Brueys. Never saw him with my own eyes except maybe by looking at a picture and something on TV, not at that time but maybe subsequently.

Mr. Genzman. Did any FBI employees ever approach
Oswald, while he was demonstrating, to obtain literature, to
question him or to photograph him?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't think so. I would be disinclined to believe that anyone did.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall the names of the people you contacted to investigate the Fair Play for Cuba Committee?

Mr. de Brueys. Not really.

Mr. Genzman. Regarding Oswald?

Mr. de Brueys. Not really. I would say they would be just about every Cuban that I would know as a source. Let us say I may have contacted Frank Bartes. I may have contacted Bringuier. I don't know at this time. I may have contacted 40 other Cubans in the area. I may have contacted an Evaristo Rodriguez.

Mr. Genzman. I believe you mentioned earlier that Oswald was arrested in New Orleans. Are you speaking of his arrest

1

4

5

3

6 7

> 8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

on August 9, 1963 for a street scuffle during one of his demonstrations?

Mr. de Brueys. I would not have been able to answer that as to the specific date until I read the report just now. Yes, that is the arrest I am referring to.

Mr. Genzman. Were you aware that Oswald was interviewed by FBI Special Agent John Quigley while he was in jail in New Orleans?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether this interview took place on Saturday, August 10, 1963?

Mr. de Brueys. I understand it did but I was surprised to learn it was a Saturday when we discussed it yesterday.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether Oswald requested this interview by the FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't know as a fact that he did, but that is what the report said.

Mr. Genzman. Why would Oswald have requested such an interview?

Mr. de Brueys. That is a difficult question for me to answer. I can only surmise that maybe he might have had some concern about the local policy because he was involved in a fight. I don't know whether there was any roughing around at the time or not or whether he went peaceably. I don't know. I don't even know whether I should answer a type of question

4 5

like that.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever ask John Quigley why Oswald requested an FBI interview?

Mr. de Brueys. Not to my knowledge, but, as we discussed yesterday, it seems to me I must have made some comment to him. Since speaking to you last night I thought more deeply about that. I may have asked him or may have suggested to him or somebody that I found it peculiar because you don't interview a security subject without bureau authority.

There again I don't know whether I asked him that or not but I do know that I had knowledge or subsequently it was revealed to me that Oswald had asked for the interview. In that case it would not have been a violation of the bureau regulations, which is just administrative. If you had done it you might get a letter saying you should not do that any more.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall whether Quigley every stated he had asked Oswald why he requested an interview?

Mr. de Brueys. Whether Quigley had asked Oswald why?

If he had said something like that in my presence I don't recall it.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall whether Quigley ever told you his suspected reason why Oswald requested an FBI interview?

Mr. de Brueys. No. I think that may be because the main thrust of the inquiry of Oswald about that point would

have been Milton Kaack rather than Mine, although I did have an interest in it.

Mr. Genzman. Why wouldn't Kaack or you have been sent to the police department to interview Oswald?

Mr. de Brueys. I think that would have been more logical, but you helped to explain it a bit yesterday when you said it was on a Saturday. Usually there is an agent assigned to Saturday duty. At this time I don't recall whether on Saturday duty I had a Saturday supervisor plus one or more additional agents to go out and do that type of thing. I don't know because that changed over the years. Sometimes there was just one person there. Had there been just Quigley there in the office and he was assigned to Saturday duty at the office, then I would say it would have been kind of foolish for him to leave because that leaves the office soley manned with a clerk at that time.

So, I can't answer your question specifically in that area because I just don't know the facts about it. I do say it would have been better in retrospect had a security agent interviewed him, particularly Milton Kaack. I know Kaack likes to fish. He may have been off fishing on this Saturday. Let me put it this way. I may have been out of town, but I don't recall that anybody was looking for me. I have a very vague recollection of being surprised that he was interviewed but I can't go beyond that because I don't recall anything

else.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever learn that Quigley's interview with Oswald lasted an hour or an hour and a half?

Mr. de Brueys. No. If I did it did not mean anything to me. The extent of it was something that I was not aware of previously.

Mr. Genzman. Did you know that Quigley wrote a rather long report of this interview?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, I must have been aware of it because I:must have included it in my report or one of my reports.

Mr. Genzman. Did you know that Quigley's report contained a number of false statements made by Osward, for example, the fact he had met and married his wife in Fort Worth?

Mr. de Brueys. I know now, having discussed it with you yesterday. Let me say that it would not be shocking. If you talk to people involved in crimes you have to assume that their information is going to be self-serving. I never did get too upset if he told me something that was an obvious lie. I would record it as he told me and perhaps in a subsequent interview confront him with it after I had more information. But it is nothing startling or surprising.

Mr. Genzman. Did you know that the FBI in New Orleans had on file information which contradicted the information which Oswald gave to Quigley?

4

3

1

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

/ 2

/ 24

Mr. de Brueys. I am aware -- my memory has been refreshed now -- I am aware that they did, yes, now.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether any FBI agents saw fit to reinterview Oswald to discuss these discrepancies?

Mr. de Brueys. I never heard that anyone had reinterviewed him, no.

Mr. Genzman. Did you know that Carlos Quiroga was sent to visit Oswald at his home to find out information about the Fair Play for Cuba Committee?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't recall that. You have brought to mind another name I have long ago forgotten. It does not seem unlikely that that could have happened. I don't recall that now even after you mentioned somebody, that that event did occur. However, if I am able to read files I may read things that will job my memory.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether the FBI would have sent Quiroga or would have tipped him off about Oswald?

Mr. de Brueys. No, because I was handling most of the Cuban investigations. I certainly did not do that. I would be disinclined that anyone else did without my being aware of it.

Mr. Genzman. Was Quiroga an FBI agent or a source of information?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't think so, but I could be wrong bout that. I don't want to interject with a lot of non-related

V 25

matters, but I think it may be pertinent to mention that I left New Orleans in 1967 and was out of that environment until I returned last year. I was overseas where I was completely cut off from conversations that would have to do with the assassination, being assigned for years alone in Brazil with one stenographer, and two other years in Mexico and Agentina where that didn't come up at all.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know why Aufroga would claim that the FBI was not interested in information that he obtained from Oswald?

Mr. de Brueys. No, I don't.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever ascertain that Oswald and A. J. Hidell were one and the same person?

Mr. de Brueys. I had reached that conclusion at the end of my inquiry in the FPCC matter. I reached the conclusion that he must be a fictitious person. May I interject to say that I was curious about that after the assassination. Only one occasion when I went out and talked to Maren Osward along with Anatole Bogaslov, Bureau Agent, I asked about Hidell. She said something to the effect that "Oh, he like Fidel and thought that Hidell rhymes with it," the way she put it. She said "No, he didn't exist."

Mr. Genzman. When did you become aware of Oswald's trip

Mr. de Brueys. I don't know. I am not sure how whether it was before the assassination or after the assassination at

this point. I think it was before.

Mr. Genzman. Would this information have influenced your investigation of Osward?

Mr. de Brueys. It would not have influenced mine because -- let us assume that it was before the assassination that we found out about it -- I think it was after he changed his address from New Orleans to Dallas, we would have asked Dallas about it. You must bear in mind that it would not have been my primary responsibility. It would have been the case agent handling the subject file on Oswald, himself. It would not have been proper for me to do it.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether Milton Kaack knew of Oswald's trip to Mexico City and his visit to the Soviet-Cuban Embassy?

Mr. de Brueys. I recall conversations very vaguely that led me to believe that he knew about it. I think at this point in time that he knew about it before the assassination because after the assassination I left the next day. Of course, I knew about that after the assassination because agents were going down to Mexico to get information on it.

So, I must assume that it was before the assassination. It seems to me that Kaack was knowledgeable about it but it is one of those things that I can't be positive of at this time without reading the reports.

Mr. Genzman. Assuming Kaack had received the information

would that have heightened his interest in Oswald and maybe would it have led him to reinterview Oswald?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, except the question is moot since he had already moved from New Orleans. By the time he had been able to confirm it I think by that time he would have had a confirmation from Dallas or Forth Worth that Oswald had established an address in that area in Texas. When in point of time he had the office of origin changed I don't know. That would be clearin the reports. But the next step would be to change the office of origin and tell Dallas about it. usually with an expedite type of communication that was not a report but rather a letter synopsizing something about it, perhaps including Xerox copies to Dallas.

I don't know what would have been the logical way to do it. At the time he sent in his last report that included the balance of the investigation not previously reported he would have sent a copy to Dallas and along to the Bureau also.

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware of Orest Pena's allegations that he saw you and Oswald in a bar or restaurant in New Orleans on several occasions?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, very much so.

Mr. Genzman. And that Oswald was your informant?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Would you care to comment?

Mr. de Brueys. I would say that it is an unmitigated

lie. It has no basis whatsoever in fact. I don't know how to answer the thing, but that it is just a lie.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know when Pena first made these allegations?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't think it was any earlier than toy to three years ago.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know what motivation he may have had to make these allegations?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't know. In fact, I never even thought about it until people probed, I had the Canadian broadcasting system send a representative down to interview me. He kept asking me why would he do anything like that. I began to get the impression it was a leading question as to whether or not perhaps some organization or group was trying to muddy the waters by making that type of thing.

It seemed to make sense. But my attitude right along would have been just to disregard the thing completely and go on to other things although it is annoying. I do know that some two years ago or more after the CBS documentary on the assassination of Kennedy, President Kennedy, I had been left with the distinct impression that anyone looking at that docymentary might believe that indeed Oswald was my informant or would have serious doubt that he was not, which prompted me to write a letter to headquarters asking them to get permission for me to talk to the Senate Select Committee under oath.

, 7

-.... 13

4 5

The reason I did it, and it is in the transcript of my testimony with the committee, was that I felt I may die and this would further divide the American people in believing whatever any writer may choose to write about was a new theory of the assassination.

So I wanted to go on the record under oath, and I did.

When I mentioned that to the Senate Committee they told me they were not aware that I had written but I told them the Bureau would have a copy of my letter on file and possibly their request for me to come up: may have crossed in the mail with my request to talk to the committee.

Mr. Genzman. Are you speaking of the Senate Select Intelligence Committee?

Mr. de Brueys. That is right.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever meet Lee Harvey Oswald or speak to him over the phone?

Mr: de Brueys. Never knowingly, no. I said never knowingly, because if one asked if I spoke to him he may have called under pretention.

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware of Orest Pena's testimony before the Warren Commission that you threatened him?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, I am aware of that. I deny that categorically. May I elaborate?

Mr. GEnzman. Certainly.

Mr. de Brueys. I think perhaps it is wise to mention

¥. 25

that having left New Orleans I have been since that time very busy with whatever tasks I have had and being away from New Orleans I have just forgotten about those things except as as reminded about it by somebody asking the question. I have never made a fetish of a study of the assassination. A lot of people have, reading books and going over testimony.

Those things slip your memory. Here lately, having been produced with questions, I began to think a little bit more about it. I think only yesterday I began to realize that as I thought about it, having been asked again why would he do such a thing, it occurred to me at that time that I was very persistent in going back to see Orest Pena about his knowledge of the pertinent aspects of the assassination investigation and he never gave a complete answer on something that he had and my persistance may have irritated him, too.

He told another Cuban that he had seen Oswald in his bar along with another Latin sometime during the summer of 1963, and for a Latin to have to admit that this statement was not true is very embarrassing. It would be embarrassing to most people, but I find the Latins, like the Easterners, have to save face about a lot of things. I think this may have distrubed him and embarrassed him and I was the cause of that embarrassment.

I also, reluctantly because I don't like to discuss hearsay, but in dealing with him and talking to people who

女

4

3

5

9

8

10

12

13

14 15

16

. 17

18 19

21

22

23

25

1 knew him, he had an unsavory reputation for being a pervert: By that I don't know whether he did abnormal acts on females or what, but that is the impression I had, that he was a very cocky type person and had on a number of occasions beaten people in his bar. He was alleged to have had contacts within the police department in New Orleans, so that if he did something like that he could do it with impunity.

I don't know whether tose are factual or not, but here is a man who was apparently successful because he was able to travel to Europe and was making money off his bar, and I don't know whether it was all legitimate. I have no idea. But if it was important to him to be successful and to have a good reputation -- as I say it just occurred to me yesterday, having been asked this question a number of times, it seems to me that that is as logical a reply as I can give to you. He was embarrassed because he had to admit or at least deny that Oswald was ever in his bar or that he had ever said that Oswald was in his bar, which he said when we interviewed him in the presence of his attorney after the assassination.

You see, this was after the assassination and I think after he may have been interviewed by an investor of the Warren Commission.

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware of Pena's allegation that you and the FBI coerced him into changing his story concerning Oswald's visit to his bar?

/ 2

/ 20

Mr. de Brueys. No, which is absurb. How am I going to coerce him? I don't like to be put in the position of asking you a question but did he say how he was coerced? I can't conceive of any way I could coerce somebody like Orest Pena who is making statements as he made. It does not make any sense at all.

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware of his allegation that you threatened to get him if he did not cooperate with the FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. I am aware of that. That is as absurd as the other statements he made. Bear in mind he was very unhappy with me for continuing to come back and talk to him about a very important thing, but this having been the assassination of the President of the United States and he being a a person that allegedly had information that was very pertinent to that inquiry, it behooved me to be persistent and go back but in a professional manner.

I may have been annoyed or frustrated by his unwillingness to reveal what he knew since it was something he knew
and he could say it and get it over with. I did have a
determination in a professional way to get that information
out of him one way or another in the sense that if he did
not do it, then I would suggest that maybe he ought to be
called before the Commission under oath or some other way.
But we resolved it so that was not necessary.

Bear in mind that I was gone two months between the

... 21

. . 25

.... 23

23rd of November and perhaps the 23rd or 27th of January and my interview of him had to have occurred after the 23rd or 27th of January. I assume in the meantime he must have been interviewed by other agents during my absence on special assignemnt in Dallas.

Mr. Genzman. Was Lee Harvey Oswald ever an FBI informant or source of information or asset?

Mr. de Brueys. Never an asset. We did not use that term at that time. It would have been tantamount to saying he was an informant. He was never an informant. I am disinclined to believe he was ever recorded as a source but that possibility exists because a source of information can be almost anybody. I would reiterate that he was not the type of person that would initiate information flowing to us. He would be somebody who would be in the area, somebody else who could tell us about what went on in the bar. We may have talked to him.

But he gave us bits and pieces of information, that is SOP, I guess, and it satisfied our needs at the time. He would not have been somebody I would have said "Here is somebody we ought to consider developing as a confidential informant."

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware that a number of FBI agents submitted affidavits to the Warren Commission stating that Osward had not been an FBI informant?

/ 2

 Mr. Genzman:. Yes. I am also aware that I was not called on to do it. It surprises me. When they did call upon me in very recent years -- I don't know whether it was not. I am surprised that I was not called before that time except it may have been so obvious sense the files did not show anything in the New Orleans office.

Mr. Genzman. Did you say you recently signed an affidavit.

Mr. de Brueys. It seems to me I signed one in the last two years, three years. It might have been when I was up at the Bureau. Suddenly somebody recognized that I had not been asked or someone from outside the bureau said, well, "What about de Brueys and maybe some other people."

So, I did it and did it in a minute or two after reading it. I think I signed something. It is in the file if I did. I am inclined to believe I did.

Mr: Genzman. Who asked you at that time to sign the affidavit?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't know. It would have been whoever was the supervisor over me. I don't know. I just know it was one of the thousands of things I have done in the last few years.

Mr. Genzman. Can you pin down the date?

Mr. de Brueys. No, I can't. Let us say I am quite sure it was not while I was serving overseas in South

.

 America or Mexico. So I am quite certain it is not any time between October 1969 and March of 1975. It could have happened when I was in San Juan. It could have happened while I was at headquarters between '67 and September of 1969. It seemed to me I signed a statement. That matter was resolved. I don't give it much importance other than some other loose end that was not done. There was no hesitancy about doing it.

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware that Milton Kaack also was not asked to sign an affidavit and submit it to the Warren Commission?

Mr. de Brueys. Not particularly, but that does not suprise me because I think there was somebody else that didn't do it. That is a thought that is a residual in my memory.

Mr. Genzman. Did you know that Harry Meyner who had been the special agent in charge in New Orleans had signed such an affidavit?

Mr. de Brueys. No, I was not aware that he signed one or when he signed it.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether any other FBI agents in New Orleans signed such an affidavit?

Mr. de Brueys. No, I am not aware of that. One thing that may be pertinent to your research on it would be if those that were in the New Orleans office signed it after March 1,

4

5

7

8

10

13

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

24

1 1967. I had left New Orleans to go to the bureau by that time. Sometimes in the bureaucracy maybe they came in and said "Get everybody that worked on the assassination to sign ! an affidavit." I was not in the office. I was in headquarters.

Mr. Genzman. Have you ever suspected that Oswald's . activities in New Orleans were related to the COINTEL program or otherwise were not actions of the authentic left?

Mr. de Brueys. That Oswald's program was part of a COINTEL program? No. I never suspected it for a moment, to categorically answer your question.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever suspect that Oswald's leangings were not toward the authentic left?

Mr. de Brueys. No, right or wrong I would certainly be disinclined to believe that his leanings were not toward the left. I would be inclined to believe that he had left inclinations. My inclination and evaluation of him, which may not be of too much value, is that I think he was a lonely individual, screwed up in many ways, trying to be somebody and had been a looser for years, and felt that his knowledge of some other form of Government that other people in the United States were not aware of would put him in a very special category and make him seem important. That is my opinion of him. I think he wanted to be somebody important and just didn't have the ability to do it and these was something wrong with the man from a psychological standpoint,

V 1

80 99

probably as a result of his mother's influence. I am no expert but that is my feeling, trying to express what I thought about it.

Mr. Genzman. Have you ever suspected that Oswald was an informant, agent or source for some local or Federal or even private intelligency agency?

Mr. de Brueys. No.

Mr. Genzman. By that I mean including the FBI, CIA, any local policy department or even Guy Bannister?

Mr. de Brueys. My impression is that anyone who would have hired him in that capacity would have been stupid because I think the guy was just too erratic. He was a bumbler I would say. I may be a hundred percent wrong, but I am giving you my impression of him.

Mr. Genzman. Haveyou ever suspected that Oswals was an agent or asset of any foreign intelligence agency?

Mr. de Brueys. The thought crosses your mind because he was over there and this is a possibility. But as a result of watching his activities or reading about his background, the Russians might be stupid enough to do it, but I don't think he would have been a good person to develop as such because he had, I thought, habits that were erratic and something that he would do on the spur of the monent rather than someone who would think it out judiciously.

Yet, I thought he had that capacity, too. He worked on the job and he was unhappy. He was a guy at loose ends.

I don't think he ever tried to get into the area where there was classified information until he made an effort to open the Fair Play for Cuba Committee, which was done in a very slip-shod way, I thought.

He didn't have any success, to my knowledge, in that field. I just don't tjink the man had the capacity for being an informant or someone that any one could trust as being dependable in that type of work.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. de Brueys, do you recall writing a report on the Fair Play for Cuba Committee on October 25,

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, I just looked at the report and it refreshed my memory.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know why this report made no mention of Oswald's use of the address 544 Camp Street?

Mr. de Brueys. It may have been that I didn't have that information at the time. I am certain that there were other reports but I can't be positive, but I feel certain that there were. There may have been a previous report or there may have been a subsequent report. The FPCC only needed to know the initial bit of information about Oswald because now a full field investigation was being conducted of him by Milton Kaack and anything that Kaack would develop would have been made available to the New York division for the FPCC file and it would have meant carrying on a parallel

. 25

. 15

 investigation which would have been a duplication of manpower and effort and stenographers, and it just was not logical to do it, I would say.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. de Brueys, did you know an FBI security clerk in New Orleans named William S. Walters?

Mr. de Brueys. Are you aware of his allegation that he received an FBI teletype on November 17, 1963 regarding the threat against the President in Dallas?

Mr. de Brueys. Specific dates I can't confirm, but I do know that he has made that allegation, reading it in the press. I don't know whether I was asked about that or not, frankly. It is all confused in my mind. I just don't know. I do know that I don't recall having received, the office having received such a teletype and I would have because you give a date very close to the assassination.

If that had come through and in another week there would have been an assassination the first thing I would have said is "My God, that is what they were talking about in that teletype." But no such thought came to mind.

Mr. Genzman. Are you also aware of Walters' allegation that when Oswald was arrested in New Orleans that Walters looked up his name in the FBI indices and found that he was listed as an FBI informant?

Mr. de Brueys. The first time I heard that was yesterday in talking to you. I had not heard that previously. **/** 2

, 11

· 15

I just don't believe it because if you had been an informant,

I, of all people, would have know he was an informant in the

New Orleans office.

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware of Walters' allegation that the FBI headquarters told the FBI field agents who were investigating the assassination of President Kennedy to coordinate their reports to change any information which conflicted between reports?

Mr. de Brueys. No, except that I got a letter, I receive a letter of censure subsequent to my special assignment in Dallas because in reviewing the reports submitted by the New Orleans office they found a discrepancy between a date listed in my report and one listed in Kaack's report having to do with the transcript of Oswald's debate on a local radio or TV station in New Orleans.

The date involved was the date that it was received from that radio or TV station. Mine showed one date and Kaack showed another. I got the inforantion from Mr. Kaack's file. from the cover of an exhibit envelope which shows date received, file number, so on and so forth, and may have given it to a stenographer and said "Copy the content and show the date on top." How the dates were changed I don't know. I don't think it is very relevant except I got a letter of censure on it.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Chairman, before I ask Mr. de Brueys

7 8

questions about the investigation of the Kennedy assassination, are there any questions from the committee members?

Mr. Dodd. I would like, if I could, to try to focus in on a couple of things. Let me tell you what I am trying to get at. I am curious as to the amount of work that your office accumulated and the time you spent on Lee Harvey Oswald prior to the assassination in New Orleans and how many cases there were like that. In retrospect after an assassination obviously the importance of an individual is magnization. I am trying to assess for my own self what sort of attention was paid to Lee Harvey Oswald as a result of his Fair Play Cuba activities in relation to other types of cases like that.

What sort of numbers are we talking about?

Mr. de Brueys. I think that I had possibly as little as 40 and maybe as many as 60 or 70 or 80 cases at that time. That is a fact that can be determined from the bureau files. The only way I could really tell is to review the office records and files. I think that we gave that rather quick attention. The Fair Play for Cuba part is a secondary thing because you would give them the initiatial information to the New York office and then they would have to make the decision whether they felt you ought to do some more because of what you sent in.

Mr. Dodd. Can you recall what the time frame was between the initiation of an investigation in regard to Lee

Harvey Oswald's activities and when that started, over what period of time that was?

Mr. de Brueys. We certainly know it had to be at least as early as August 9th. Then there may have been other communications that went out of the New Orleans office on that that I don't have access to. It is very difficult after all these years to try to remember the time frame. I would suspect you are talking about a three-month period or two-and-a-half month period.

Then you have a new case being opened by Milton Kaack. It seems to me that considerable investigation was done on him by Kaack after he opened his case on it which made mine secondary really because with minimal manpower and larger case work to duplicate effort.

So we did not go out on a boondoggle, he and I together. I am quite sure we didn't do that. He investigated his part of that case along with other cases he had.

Mr. Dodd. You stated that you never had knowingly had the opportunity to talk or meet with Lee Harvey Osward.

Mr. de Brueys. Yes.

Mr. Dodd. Is that common?

Mr. de Brueys. Very definitely. If you are a security agent, based on the rules of the bureau at that time, right or wrong, you would not go out and talk to a security subject until the investigation was completed and a report submitted,

accompanied by a letter setting forth a synopsis of the salient facts developed and asking for authority to interview the subject of a security case and perhaps also setting forth what you felt you could gain by such an interivew?.

Mr. Dodd. How would you collect infoη@mtion on a security case then?

Mr. de Brueys. You would conduct inquiry through established sources, known sources, neighborhoods, talk to people in the organizations they may belong to and that could be done as correctly, use sources, confidential informants.

Mr. Dodd. . Anything but direct contact?

Mr. de Brueys. Anything but direct contact. Now, if he were to walk into the office, then you were relieved from the rule. You could then interview him at length.

Mr. Dodd. Could you recall during that three month period the type of information and the sources of information that you would have used in order to develop the conclusions that you did with regard to Lee Harvey Oswald and his activities that you were investigating?

Mr. de Brueŷs. Let me say that probably the other case
was opened at least by August 9th. My case may not have been
opened much earlier than that. It may have been simultaneously.
I would have been inclined to talk to everybody I knew in the
Latin American field and also people -- if he had used a
Post Office address I would have checked on how he signed that.

8 9

I would have done everything that would have been logical to do.

Mr. Dodd. Would you have checked that yourself or had someone to check it?

Mr. de Brueys. I would have checked it mayself I think unless Kaack had done it.

Mr. Dodd. Do you recall doing that?

Mr. de Brueys. Offhand I don't. Knowing that Kaack was conducting his investigation in the field, it would have been logical for me to cover the area where I could do it more in-depth and more quickly than he could and I would have made available for his file what I gathered for the FPCC, which is very limited for the FPCC file.

So I definitely would have done all the Cuban sources and the other sources. Whether or not I would have done the neighborhood would depend on whether Kaack was doing it. I don't recall at this time whether he did or not. I would not have been obliged to do any more than determine his employment, his address and send up what I gathered from the sources and at that time let them know that individual case was being worked and that they would get the results of that subsequently.

Mr. Dodd: Can you enumerate as best you can, and
I appreciate the time frame, but without having necessarily
the specific reports in front of you, the names of people that

*

--- 10

you would have used as your sources or informants? I presume you would have repeaters, people that you had used in the past in gathering other information.

Mr. de Brueys. A lot of these Cuban Agmes like Quiroga, I may have talked to him.

Mr. Dodd. Do you remember anyone other than that?

Mr. de Brueys. I would say one person I contacted quite frankly was I think if Frank Bartes had been in town I would have contacted him. I suppose that I would have contacted Bringuier. There were some other Cubans that had been high in the Cuban Government that for their own reason liked to know about Cubans, a man by the name of Pettis. I forget his first name. I said a minute ago that you felt that, and again I appreciate you were sort of reaching for an opinion, not anything based on fact, that Larry Oswald had legitimate left leanings.

Mr. de Brueys. When you say legitimate, I would say he was inclined ---

Mr. Dodd. As opposed to phony left leanings in terms of where he was coming from?

Mr. de Brueys. Now that you put it that way, I don't know how deep his leanings were. You again prod my memory. I think in asking Maren about Oswald after the fact about whether he really knew communism she said he didn't know really too much about that, his Russian was not very good. I asked her about his liking for Cuba. She said, It stands out

in my mind, Lee no like United States, Lee no like Russia, Lee no like Cuba. Lee liked Moon." I think she was trying to tell me the kid was way out and had problems. He was grasping for something. Again, that is his wife telling me this.

Mr. Dodd. This is after the fact.

Mr. de Brueys. After the fact.

Mr. Codd. The reason I am asking the question is because as a trained investigator investigating the activities of an individual who had held himself out as the head of the Fair Play for Cuba Committee which would have been by any objective test certainly a more left than right group in those days, did you make any effort to contact people in the New Orleans area who would have been identified with the leftist tendencies rather than the anti-castro normally perceived rightest tendencies in order to determine the legitimacy of his activities?

Mr. de Brueys. I probably did but I don't recall that I did. Now, you have to bear in mind still that Milton Kaack -- my only obligation to the FPCC case was to get his employment, his address, and anything additional, if he was not employed in a sensitive area, send it up. Now, Kaack as of August 9th had opened a full field investigation on him.

Anything I did after that was gratuitous. I think I did do some more. I can't recall now what I did. You are

Mr. Dodd.

Mr. de Brueys. I think the facts as existed in the policy report would have been sufficient criteria for that to be done. It would have been done by whoever was on the desk Mr. Dodd. There was no requirement that that kind of investigation be approved at headquarters.

Mr. de Brueys. No. Over the years the bureau rules in that regard changed. I think that there possibly may have had a requirement to send a letter saying open the case.

right, I would have sat down and tried to figure somebody who

would know about the Fair Play for Cuba Committee. Now, had

he not left town and had it worked out that Kaack had finished

his investigation and come back and said "Discover more about

the Chapter," I certainly would have had to do more but at

that time I did all that was essential to do plus some more.

Who recommended or initiated the full field

Mr. Dodd. It is your testimony that as a result of the altercation on August 9th this would have been sufficient to open up a full field investigation?

On the other hand, it may not have been demanded at that time.

Mr. de Brueys. The altercation showing that he was distributing leterature on behalf of another nation or Cuba would have been sufficient to determine whether he was acting as an agent for Cuba or groups of people from Cuba or a Foreign Agents registration Act case and then the general

/ 16

catch-all category of internal security, maybe "-C," Cuba.

Mr. Dobb. Again I can only be emphatic in trying to appreciate reaching back that many years. Would it be to open up an investigation of that magnitude, and "magnitude" is my own choice of words, a full field investigation, as a result of an altercation and the fact that someone was handing out leaflets in support of a different U. S. policy with regard to another nation?

Mr. de Brueys. It was not a question of difference in policy. Rather it was the implication that may have been contained in the handbills that this man appears to be acting on behalf of a foreign government or foreign group, which is enough to justify an inquiry to find out if indeed he was representing a foreign group or foreign government. That is why it was opened. There again I am really hesitant about answering questions with any specifity at this time because I could get embarrassed by going back and looking at files and find out the reason we did it.

Mr. Dodd. Going back to my question a minute ago with regard to the possible contacts you might have had with the informants, and we will use my words, legitimate left leaning tendencies, do you have any recollection of any specific people you might have contacted?

Mr. de Brueys. No recollection. Let me say that there were very few overt organizations that were pro-Castro.

Everything was anti-Castro. But the anti-Castro organizations were very vigorous in trying to root out the existence of pro-Castro groups or pro-Castro individuals.

5

3

Mr. Dodd. How about pro-Soviet or Bolshevic or anything that might come into that?

6

7

Mr. de Brueys. There again you didn't have any group except maybe at that time there may have been some leftist type groups that were handled by other agents in the office. What you would do is send out a lead to other agents saying to contact this, somebody in the communist party, somebody if they

10

11

had a socialist party activity in New Orleans at that time. Mr. Dodd. Were there members of the communist party

12

operating in New Orleans at this time?

13

Mr. de Brueys. Yes, there were.

14 15

Mr. Dodd. Did you make any contacts with any of them or informants in any of those organizations about Lee Harvey

16

Oswald. -

17 18

Mr. de Brueys. I can't recall I did but I am sure it was done by me or by Kaack or simply a canvassing of people handling informants for the communist party. But I can't be sure at this time.

20

21

19

Mr. Dodd. Should or would your report indicate whether

22

23

or not in fact you had done that? If it had been done by Kaack -- in his case Mr. Dodd.

24

it should have been done. If I had done it on behalf of

of Kaack, then it would have been shown. It was so easy to do because you just send out a member or routing slip and say "Please contact your sources about this fellow."

Mr. Dodd. I meant specific names, addresses of people like that.

Mr. de Brueys. Those would have been informants and I would not even know their names. They would have an informant number if they were actually informants within a party, a subversive party.

Mr. Dodd. To your recollection, was there anything else other than the handing out of a flyer, the Fair Play for Cuba flyer, that would confirm or deny Lee Harvey Oswald's activities on behalf of any communist organization or would have led you to believe that in fact he was operating as an agent of the Soviet Union or Cuba or any other communist country?

Mr. de Brueys. No. Bear in mind that I left town in November and this thing was opened in August. There was a letter from the New York headquarters that I referred to before that they got from inside the Fair Play for Cuba Committee showing precisely what he said in his overtures to get them to open a chpater in New Orleans.

Mr. Dodd. Let me jump to two other areas and I apologize to counsel for taking so much time.

Mr. Genzman. That is quite all right.

Mr. Dodd. I didn't really follow your response as to

12.

how you decided or made your decision that A. J. Hidell and Lee Harvey Oswald were one and the same person.

Mr. de Brueys. Here again without reading reports and having the full file before me -- no one knew him. No one knew anybody by the name of Hidell. In a very short period of time I think I talked to quite a number of people. At this time I can't remember who they were. It has been very common for me in conducting a large number of cases to do anything I was doing in as great a depth as possible and as completely as possible.

Once having done it, put it aside and get on to something else. You develop a poor memory about some of the things you have done when you do it that way.

Mr. Dodd. I know we are about to approach another area of inquiry regarding the investigation in Dallas and I recognize we are looking back 15 years is difficult, but three months before you would become actively involved in an investigation of the assassination do you not recall any specific people you would have talked to in order to confirm the fact that A. J. Hidell and Lee Harvey Oswald were the same person?

Mr. de Brueys. You are talking about when I was in Dallas for the two months period?

Mr. Dodd. Yes.

Mr. de Brueys. If you want me to go into this era I will

V 7

bear that in mind when I am trying to answer questions.

Mr. Dodd. Maybe I am jumptin a head a little bit. What I hear you telling me is that no one knew A. J. Hidell. Therefore the conclusion was drawn that they must be the same person. Was that basically the logic?

Mr. de Brueys. The logic was that investigation just failed to show the existence of A. J. Hidell. Bear in mind that all this became premature, I mean the investigation came to an end because of Oswald's moving out of town and assassinating a President and getting killed. Had he stayed there, there would be more time to do more things and more coordination with the man handling the subject case. You are talking about a relatively short period of time. You are talking about an ear in the bureau when 45 days would have possibly --you would have been concerned about delinquent reporting or getting communication in 45 days, bearing in mind that you might have on file, say, 60 days of work to perform in all your other investigations.

At that time Oswald was an important case only to the extent that he was passing out literature for, it looks like he might have been trhing to represent another government.

I had 40 or 50 or 60 other cases which were equally, and in a great deal perhaps more important than that. To keep up with all of them you just don't get it all done in a week or two weeks. You never close it out until you have done it

completely.

Mr. Dodd. Maybe that is something we can go into when we get to the next area.

The other two points were the visit to the jail by Quigley, Jack Quigley.

Mr. Dodd. Let me look over my notes for a second here.

The report indicates that Lee Harvey Osward requested that someone from the FBI come and interview him when he was in jail. I am referring now to his incarceration. Do you know whether or not anyone ever received a letter of censure on this matter as a result of going to visit him? As I thought you described him, it would have been at least a deviation only if he had done that on his own, Quigley had done that on his own without an overture from someone that you were interviewing.

Mr. Daß.

As I mentioned before, you would not internview a security subject unless he came in on his own in the office in which case the rule no longer applied. Anybody asking for an interview, you are not violating any rule.

Mr. Dodd. I presume that Quigley was aware that Lee
Harvey Oswald was a security ---

Mr. de Brueys. At this date I don't know whether he was or he was not. I don't know that I ever knew that he was aware beforehand. I don't know whether he checked indices before he went over and talked to Oswald.

Mr. Dodd. You never asked Quigley whether or not?

Mr. de Brueys. It is possible I may have asked him.

With hundreds of thousands of questions after all the years
I dont' recall. The same question was posed to me yesterday
and last night I gave it some addtional thought. It seems
to me I either thought that there was something unusual about
it or may have asked Caack or Quigley, "You talked to this
guy who is a security subject. Did you get bureau authority?"
I do know the final analysis was that he had asked to see an
FBI agent and that is as much as I remember about it. Having
asked for him there would have been no violation of a bureau
regulation. He he not asked for him and had Quigley done this
it is possible that Quigley may have gotten a letter of
censure, a midly letter, saying in the future don't do this,
particularly since Quigley was not a security agent, but that
does not get him off the hook.

Mr. Dodd. It intrigues me because I presume that you would get an awful lot of requests from the local jail house by people incarcerated there to speak with the FBI. The reason I raise that is because I know from time to time even my office gets inquiries, they want to speak to a Congressman, they want to speak to the Judge or they want to speak to the President or something. It is not an uncommon occurrence.

Mr. de Brueys. That is right.

Mr. Dodd. But it is very uncommon for anyone to go

4 5

down and respond to that kind of request without being conscious of some particular suggestion with regard to an individual. My question to you is, one, was this common for the FBI to send an agent down whether it was on a Monday or Saturday?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes. In fact, part of the Saturday duty, when I was a Saturday supervisor ---

Mr. Dodd. I mean at the request of the individual. You might make a decision on your own to go down and talk to someone because of an ongoing investigation.

Mr. de Brueys. That happened with enough frequency for itnot to be unusual. That is the only answer I can give to that.

Mr. Dodd. At the request of an incarcerated individual to talk to the FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. That is right. That has happened. If you canvassed people today or had somebody go in and check FBI offices you would find that there are a number of people who ask to talk to FBI agents.

Mr. Dodd. The FBI responds affirmatively?

Mr. de Brueys. Oh, yes, any request we get. As I was trying to explain before, at that time I am sure that different personnel were assigned to different precinct stations to go over daily and talk to the Sargeant or ask him, "Do you have anybody who has asked for us" or "Do you have anybody on the

books charged with something that may look like a Federal violation?" And they would talk to them. On Saturday they would pick up the phone, or I would, the first thing and call all precinct stations and ask if they had anybody over there. I don't know what happened on that morning. I am a little surprised to learn at this date that it was a Saturday that Quigley went over. I had not given much thought to it at all.

. 15

Mr. Dodd. I did not hear or understand your response entirely in regard to any report that Quigley may have prepared as a result of his interview. Was such a report prepared?

Mr. de Brueys. He submitted a 309. It is an interview report form, very similar to the one I have here -- maybe I don't have one -- but you submit that when anybody gives you information about themselves or a third party. It is included. It is an interivew report form which is included and made part of a larger report at the time it is assembled. Now, I don't recall. I glanced at Quigley's interview report form yesterday, not in detail. I glanced at it. It just seemed vague to me. I know that I would have included it in my communication to the bureau or Kaack would have had to do it, one or the other.

Mr. Dodd. You have seen the report?

Mr. de Brueys. I have seen it. I glanced at it. I did not read it in great detail.

Mr. Dodd. It is actually six pages. Am I to understand that a six-page report would be prepared by an agent for someone who was by your own testimony at this particular point really nothing more than the subject of an inquiry?

I am impressed ---

Mr. de Brueys. It is not unusual.

Mr. Dodd. This would not be unusual?

Mr. de Brueys. You might even have a 12-page report.

And you may have one or two agents in the office that write something on a match box. It depends on the personnel and the agent. In a security report you would list as much detail as possible while you are talking to somebody to avoid the need to go back and talk to him again. Some people are more verbal than others in writing these things up. It is not unusual.

Mr. Dodd. You were familiar with the work of Mr. Quigley?

Mr. de Brueys. At this point I am familiar with

Mr. Quigley, but not his work, no. I probably never saw any

of Quigley's work other than that and maybe one or two other

things that had a security angle since I was not a supervisor

at that time. I would not go looking at what he did.

Mr. Dodd. Let me ask you anyway, does this strike you as the kind of work product that Mr. Quigley would produce? Washe that thorough an individual that he would prepare a six-page report of an interview in jail that someone had

requested?

Mr. de Brueys. I really can't answer that question.

Mr. Pena, the individual who alleged you had coerced

him into withholding information in regard to Lee Harvey

Osward, you described some of your meetings with him as being

ones in which you asked rather persistently?

Mr. de Brueys. Yes.

Mr. Dodd. Do you recall the number of meetings you might have had with Mr. Pena?

Mr. de Brueys. The few interviews I attempted to conduct with him after the assassination of necessity would have had to have been after January 27 or 23, whenever I returned to New Orleans. I am guessing at that time I don't think it could have been more than three or four, maybe five.

Mr. Dodd. Where would you generally meet with him?

Mr. de Brueys. It was not a question of meeting with
him but going to his bar and looking for him. I would say,
subject to contradition, that they would be at his bar.

Mr. Dodd. None ever occurred at the offices of the FBI?

Mr. de Brueys. The last interview with his attorney

present occurred at the FBI office.

Mr. de Brueys. All the rest occurred at his bar?

Mr. Dodd. Were they private meetings? Did you go into an office or side room or were they conducted pretty much at the bar itself?

7 8

. 15

/ 24

Mr. de Brueys. It is a little vague, but it seems to me that whenever I was talking to him, even if it was in the bar it would have been on the side, maybe a corner of the bar. I can't envision the bar now, whether it had a little hallway. It seems to me I talked to him. I don't picture myself talking to him in the presence of other people, maybe within the view of other people, but not within earshot of other people. Those interviews after the assassination, I am sure, would have been conducted by me and another agent.

Mr. Dodd. You would not have been alone?

Mr. de Brueys. I would not have been alone.

Mr. Dodd. Who would have been with you?

Mr. de Brueys. I don't know. It could have been anyone else in the office. I think Earnest C. Wall on one occasion accompanied me. I don't know -- maybe Steve Calendar. I don't think so. Maybe he tried to interview him or knew something about it. It was just one interview and I don't recall.

Mr. Dodd. I would like you to amplify a bit, if you would, on the word "persistent" because I can see where this is going to cause some question. What do you mean by the word "persistent"?

Mr. de Brueys. I think first you must go back to the fact that there was a Presidential assassination. If someone has been reported by another agent or somebody else as

4 5

/ 21

having said something that is very pertinent to the assassination investigation and go to him and you ask him about that, and I don't recall the guy along, comments or anything else, the overriding thing that surfaces in my mind was that as a good investigator here if somebody has information, you have to find the answer. The residual in my mind is that if you had to go back 10 times I would have gone back 10 times.

As a result of these efforts he did acquiesce but with his attorney present. Now, we certainly would have been derelict in saying here is a man who knows something but he does not want to talk or he is giving me evasive answers.

I don't recall at this date whether he was evasive or what his answers were. I was not getting responses to my inquiries. Here again it must be in the file. He may have said A, B and C and I wanted D, E and F or he may have just refused to answer or maybe I went back and did more research and came back this time, I don't know, because it is just one of thousands of inquiries.

Even though it involved an assassination, I don't recall after this passage of time precisely how he was avoiding it.

I just know he was not giving the answer, namely, he was alleged to have seen in his bar sometime during the summer of 1963 Oswald in the presence of another Latin American and had told it I think to Carlos Bringuier that had been put in one of the many reports of the assassination investigation

.

 $\sqrt{^{12}}$

and it was an outstanding lead that needed to be developed.

If you don't get the answer there, you go back two days later or three days later. Finally, he just said "I don't want to talk to you," he may have said without his attorney.

I don't know how that attorney got in, but as a result of my persistence on a professional level we finally talked to him with his attorney. He at that time, if I remember correctly, denied he ever said that he saw Osward in his bar.

Now, as a matter of time sequence and framework again,

I think after he said that or maybe before he did, I got,
thorugh other inquiry, that maybe it was not he, maybe it was

Evaristo Rodriguez or his brother Ruperto, or both, whose names
I would not have remembered if I had not seen a report
yesterday.

It seems to me there was a female in the bar. I can'g give you any of the details except the final conclusion that nobody can realy say that Oswald was in that bar with any definity. I may be wrong. Maybe one of them was not certain and he thought it was somebody who was Oswald. I suspect there were leads carrying on in other divisions to try to find out who those people were. But he denied having said that to Bringuier.

Mr. Dodd. But he would not talk to you on the first two or three occasions. He only denied it in the presence of an attorney.

Mr. de Brueys. He may have talked to me. He may have been telling me stuff. I don't recall what happened during those interviews. The only thing I knew, this is something he has and he ought to give it to me and through all legitimate channels we ought to get it from him. The legitimate channel finally used was to have his attorney present.

Mr. Dodd. It is obviously a very serious allegation he has made, not necessarily in itself, I mean the fact that you or anybody else might have been meeting with Lee Harvey Oswald as not something that I would in itself find so terribly shocking except for the fact over the years there has been such a denial of any contact whatsoever between the various agencies and Lee Harvey Oswald. That allegation by Mr. Pena is therefore a serious one?

Mr. de Brueys. It is.

Mr. Dodd. I am a little intrigued, given the seriousness of the allegation and the light that that shed upon you
as a professional and in light of the fact that you claim that
you met on every occasion with him with another agent present

Mr. de Brueys. After the assassination?

Mr. Dodd. After the assassination.

Mr. de Brueys. I am quite sure it was. I could be wrong. In other words, if I had a bet I would say every time I saw him it had to be with another agent after the assassination because it was policy at that time.

Mr. Dodd. I have taken up far too much time. I guess I am acting Chairman here. Mr. Sawyer, do you have any questions you want to pose? Mr. Sawyer. I have no questions. Mr. Dodd. Counsel, why don't you proceed. end sim ?? fols

.Assn.

ance/mh

1

3

imony

Mr. Genzman. I would like to follow up on a couple of points and then proceed to the third area of questioning.

Mr. DeBrueys, are you saying it was your policy to interview people after the assassination with another agent present?

Mr. DeBrueys. I think it was the policy of the office when you were going out to talk to somebody, and here again I am vague, but I am almost certain that anything after the assassination, particularly with Pena, where we had some information that was very pertinent to the assassination investigation, who had been reluctant to or evasive, then certainly if this was happening tomorrow I would say based on past practice I would not go out and talk to him unless I had somebody else present.

Now, whether or not that was the policy in the New Orleans office I can't answer that question at this time. Too much time has gone by. It would not be fair to make a categorical answer to that. It would cloud the issue.

Mr. Genzman. Before the assassination when you contacted Pena, were you always alone?

Mr. DeBrueys. Sometimes I was with somebody else. There were other times when I contacted him alone. Yet if I went back to the file I might review all and find I was always with somebody. I don't recall.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall any interviews with Pena after the assassination when you were alone?

13 14

15

17

18 19

20

/ 1

.

Mr. DeBrueys. No, I don't recall any such interview.

Mr. Genzman. Referring to Quigley's interview of Oswald when Oswald was in jail in New Orleans, did you say earlier it was normal for a person who had been arrested on a misdemeanor to request an FBI interview?

Mr. DeBrueys. I did not say it was normal for a person arrested on a misdemeanor to request an FBI interview. I said it was not unusual to receive such a request for a person who had been incarcerated and wanted to talk to the Feds or the FBI. Perhaps I would go over and it would be something of substance. There are a lot of reasons.

They may want to work a deal. All I can say with specificity is that it is something that does occur from time to time. It is not unusual for it to occur.

Mr. Genzman. Would you then comment as to whether it was unusual for a person who had been arrested on a misdemeanor or more serious charge to request an FBI interview.

Mr. DeBrueys. I would say while it was a misdemeanor for fighting you had this other thing of seemingly trying to promote communism or the communist cause in the country.

Mr. Genzman. Are you sure that John Quigley knew that Oswald was a security case when this request came into the FBI office?

Mr. DeBrueys. I have no idea about that, nonewhatsoever.

I don't know.

/

0.4

Mr. Genzman. Will you comment whether it was normal or common for the FBI to respond to a request for an interview by a person charged with a misdemeanor.

Mr. DeBrueys. Absolutely. If anybody asked to talk to an FBI agent in jail, whether a lost person, or has no charges against him, you could be subject to censure for not responding to a request from somebody who wants to talk to you. It may have been some agent that neglected to do that but only at the risk of censure or a more severe reprimand.

Mr. Genzman. Was it common policy to speak to anybody who requested an FBI interview whether the jail or a private citizen?

Mr. DeBrueys. It would be a policy to respond to any request unless you knew he was a nut and you had a catelog of such you know, you would have information. You would go back to the files and this fellow has a psychological problem, he always wants to talk to an FBI agent or to the President of the U.S. You would not respond to anyone like that.

Mr: Genzman. Was it common to conduct an interview lasting between an hour and hour and a half with a person who had been charged with a misdemeanor and was in a local jail.

Mr. DeBrueys. I suppose not. The subject of the inquiry had nothing to do with the misdemeanor charge against him.

It had something to do with a matter in which we had an official interest.

Mr. Genzman. Again though did you say earlier that you are not sure that John Quigley knew of any FBI interest in Oswald at the time he went to interview Oswald?

Mr. DeBrueys. Unless someone over there told him he was in the newspaper, when he got over there he saw him passing out communist literature, I don't know. If he simply saw him passing out communist literature I would think he would have known it was the Fair Play for Cuba Committee and would have done something about it. Perhaps if it was a misdemeanor charge he may have well have thought this man will be out of jail tomorrow or tomorrow and I will not get a crack at him again, so I will interview him at length so I will not be saddled with looking him up again.

Mr. Genzman. Would you say it was normal or common for an FBI agent to write up a rather long report of an interview of a person who had been charged with a misdemeanor in a local jail?

Mr. DeBrueys. Using the word misdemeanor, that is not a criteria on which the long report was based. It was based on what he knew, the factual information he had about matters that brought him within the purview of FBI jurisdiction.

I say that the misdemeanor was irrelevant. He could have been over there as a missing person and had no charges against him. It depends on how probative the agent was inclined to be in making these inquiries.

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware of John Quigley's reputation for not being verbose or wordy.

3

Mr. DeBrueys. No, I am not aware of John Quigley's reputation in that regard.

5

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware of allegations by persons in the New Orleans Police Department that the interview lasted about five minutes.

•

Mr. DeBrueys. No. I believe this is the first time I have heard that. I may say that if I had been assigned the Oswals case, I would have known a lot more about it than I do.

10

Mr. Genzman. Are you aware of allegations that Quigley wrote one report of this interview and that this report was

12

13

11

later altered or expanded?

14

Mr. DeBrueys. No, no knowledge whatsoever about any such change, none whatsoever. If he had done something like that I am sure he would want to keep it to himself as much

17

16

as possible.

18

19

questions from the committee I would like to move on to ques-

20

tions concerning the FBI investigation of the Kennedy assassina-

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Chairman, unless there are any further

21

tion.

Mr. Preyer. Are there further questions? You may proceed, counsel.

22

Mr. Genzman. Mr. DeBrueys, were you sent to Dallas after the assassination?

24

25

Mr. DeBrueys. Yes, I was.

2

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall the date?

3

5

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Mr. DeBrueys. The day after, which I think was a Saturday. I was ordered to go on the day of the assassination sometime late in the afternoon. The reason that evolved is that I happened to be in the SAC's office along with several other people when he either had previously in his posession the request for "X" number of agents to be sent to Dallas or had just received it while I was there.

Being there he said something to the effect, "Since you are familiar with this type of thing perhaps you ought to go." I said fine, and I guess later that day we must have made reservations and left the next morning, which was Saturday, for Dallas.

Mr. Genzman. Had you ever been in Dallas before this time.

Mr. DeBrueys. I had never been in Dallas previously.

Mr. Genzman. Was Special Agent Regis Kennedy ever sent to Dallas?

Mr. DeBrueys. Not to my knowledge. He was not sent during the assassination investigation when I was there.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether he was sent to Dallas before the assassination?

Mr. DeBrueys. I don't know but I doubt it. I think if he had been I would have been aware of it.

Mr. Genzman. Would you not have been more useful to the FBI by staying in New Orleans because of your previous investigations there and because of your information and contacts in New Oreleans?

Mr. DeBrueys. I would say after the two month assignment when I returned that was the position of the SAC who said, there has been so much trouble conducting inquiries in my absence because of people not familiar with things that he regretted having made that spontaneous decision to send me over there simply because he felt they ought to send somebody over there who could be helpful for this investigation in Dallas who knew something about security work, and we didn't have too many people like that.

Mr. Genzman. What are your feelings about being sent to Dallas opposed to staying in New Orleans and following up the issues in New Orleans on the assassination?

Mr. DeBrueys. I was glad to go because that is where the action was.

As to whether it was right or not I think I performed several functions that because of my background may have been more difficult for someone without it.

I remember spending a whole night compiling a report after the 38 hour period and getting something done on an expedited basis. I would say they were glad to have me over there because I got a letter, a meritorious award for my ef-

forts there.

Mr. Genzman. Was Milton Kaack sent to Dallas from New Oeleans?

Mr. DeBrueys. No. I think there were only three others sent. Robert Basham, Joe Peggs, a third young agent whose name I do not presently recall.

Mr. Genzman. Since you knew quite a bit about Oswald's New Orleans activities --

Mr. DeBrueys. May I interject and say that was at the outset of the inquiry and I knew about it but not the in-depth information that had been going on for half a year or a year.

Mr. Genzman. Was there anyone in Dallas who knew more about Oswald's New Orleans activities than you?

Mr. DeBrueys. New Orleans activities?

Mr. Genzman. Yes.

Mr. DeBrueys. I would think not.

Mr. Genzman. Since you were probably the most knowledgeable FBI agent investigating the assassination on Oswald's activities in New Orleans why weren't you asked to participate in the questioning of Oswald?

Mr. DeBrueys. You have to understand the way things operate in the Bureau. My little knowledge of him in New Orleans was all contained in reports that came in from the New Orleans office and elsewhere which resulted in a compilation of a report. Of greater interest at that time was immediately

/

1

3

8

6

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

19

da

22

21

23

24

25

before the assassination, the main thrust being on the crime of assault on a Federal officer and the thrust there was to develop the facts that you would in a murder case or an assault case and worry about the intelligence information after you got the immediate things that you needed.

After I got there as best I can recall -- at this time I don't remember whether Oswald was shot on the first Sunday following the assassination or a week later, but it seems to me that I went out and helped somebody on a lead, who was a Dallas agent, as a witness to an interview of one or two people.

It was Sunday. We got there on a Saturday and got established. Maybe we did an interview on Saturday and then Sunday we were out talking to somebody else when we hear that Oswald had been shot on TV.

You have a lot of people in Dallas that got involved with the Police Department and the investigation on Friday. We didn't get there perhaps until late Saturday. Those people were well into this matter of inquiry and dealing with the police department, there was a great deal of pandemonium, a lot of momentum, of agents coming in, logistics and everything else, and then he was shot Sunday.

That sort of thing would not occur to anybody until later on.

Mr. Genzman. Did you review the FBI files in New Orleans on Oswald before you left for Dallas?

. 15

Mr. DeBrueys. No, there was no time for that. I say

Mr. Kenzman. Isn't it true that after the assassination when the FBI did interview Oswald they asked a number of questions concerning his background and his leftist leanings and the Fair Play for Cuba Committee in addition to the physical evidence.

Mr. DeBrueys. Let me tell you what I did. One of the first things I did was to get this report compiled which had to do with the background, the "IS-Cuba" or the IS-whatever it was, Russia investigation, more of sifting all the background information and nothing on the assault against the Federal officer which was the only crime that the Bureau had jurisdiction over at that time, nothing of the mechanics of how it was done. That was Gimberling. We were so occupied we didn't know what they were doing. I was another-agent. The inspector in the office, SAC people there would be coordinating this as the information flowed in. We were very busy doing what we had to do in a very limited time.

I have no idea what was asked of Oswald at the jail, very ignorant of a lot of things that were done, the Ruby Case and the assault case.

Mr. Genzman. In retrospect do you think that you should have participated in the interrogation of Oswald following the assassination?

7 8

Mr. DeBrueys. No, I don't think so. There was enough information on the file that they got over the telephone, by teletype, in which I played no role. They had at their disposal I think all the information as far as initial inquiry.

Now perhaps if he had not been killed and later on something could have been done in a studious way, methodical way, they may have considered that maybe I ought to go along.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall going on an interview with special agent Manning Clements and talking to a car salesman named Guy Bogard?

Mr. DeBrueys. I vaguely recall that. That was one of the loads I had. I don't know whether it was Saturday or Sunday.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall asking Guy Bogard questions concerning his allegations that he had dealt with Oswald and Oswale had come in as a customer to his car dealership.

Mr. DeBrueys. Let me try to explain the circumstances.

I was there in the office. Clements came by. He had a lead assigned to him. I went along as a witness on the lead. I am not certain at this date, not having been primed as to what all the information was about, as to whether I asked any questions at all. I was a witness as to what he said. After he wrote the interview form I had to initial it to say that is what it was and there was nothing inaccurate.

It is possible I could have been prompted to ask a ques-

tion of him but it was his assignment and my nature would be to let him do the job since he knew the background.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know why Guy Bogard was not brought to a line-up of Oswald and asked to identify Oswald?

Mr. DeBrueys. Is Guy Bogard the salesman?

Mr. Genzman. Yes.

Mr. DeBrueys. Then I would say that you may have the information there as to whether that was done on Saturday or Sunday, but I think at that time -- you are talking about a time problem here.

You have the police with their problem of custody of Oswald and his protection. You have people from the Dallas office maybe interviewing him at that time, I am not certain.

I would say that had he not been shot the next day that when it could have been conveniently done in an atmosphere of quiescence that I think it would have been good at the earliest possible date to have this gentleman, who was a salesman, look at or view him in a line-up.

I would say that possibly there were a lot of other people to interview. You had to get them all together. You must remember the pandemonium at the time, all complicated by the fact that the man was killed.

Mr. Genzman. Would you care to comment further as to how you made the determination that Oswald and A. J. Hidell were one and the same person?

7 8

Mr. DeBrueys. Only because after a lot of inquiry I could not establish any activity of the chapter other than what Oswald was doing and no information whatsoever to point up the existence of anybody by the name of Hidell.

Now, all of a sudden I get whisked out of New Orleans to go somewhere else with no chance to conduct any further inquiry. Then I have to remind you that that inquiry would have been Kaack's, not mine.

Mr. Genzman. I believe you mentioned speaking to Marena Oswald on this issue.

Mr. DeBrueys. Yes. I mentioned in that report that I talked to her. She said, as I said previously, no one by the name of Hidell existed; it was a figment of his imagination and his reason for the selection of the name of Hidell, the way she put it, was because it sounds like Fidel and he kind of liked Fidel Castro.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall that you interviewed Guy Bogard, the car salesman, on November 23, 1963?

Mr. DeBrueys. I recall I interviewed somebody who was a salesman with Clements. But let me qualify that by saying that the interview was conducted by Clements. May I say that he obviously got all the background on it, studied it, and I was an out-of-town agent in the office and he came by and said "I would like to have you accompany me on an inquiry" and I went as a witness. I doubt whether I asked any questions. I

may have. It was his responsibility. I was a witness so
there would be no question that when he said something that
was put in the report I attested this is what he said or I could
say no, this is erroneous, we will have to resolve that. I
would say he took the notes and he dictated them and my name
was inserted. He dropped me and I got to doing something
else and he pursued that.

Mr. Genzman. Were you ever asked to testify before the Warren Commission?

Mr. DeBrueys. I understand I was asked to testify. I was up there thinking they were responding to my request -- I am sorry.

Mr. Genzman. The Warren Commission?

Mr. DeBrueys. No. I was interviewed by an investigator I think. I think I was interviewed by an investigator of the Warren Commission.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall who would that be?

Mr. DeBrueys. No. I don't even recall what he looked

like.

Mr. Genzman. Have you formed any opinion on the assassing tion as to whether Oswald was a lone assassin or as to whether others were involved?

. Mr. DeBrueys. By the preponderance of information or evidence that is known to me as a result of our inquiries and things I have read, I have every inclination to believe he acter

/

24

10

11

12

14

16

18

19

20

alone.

The thing that impresses me most about that is, as I recall, and here again I did not even recall whether Oswald was shot the first Sunday or the second Sunday after the assassination because I have not kept up with it, I have been involved with things that have completely absorbed my time and attention and I did not make a fettish out of studying it, but the thing that I recall at the time when I was there, from what I read, is that Oswald worked for the Texas School Book Depository

I seem to recall that he was not very happy with that job and I seem to recall that somebody conducted an inquiry showing that he was looking for another job, that he made applications for another job.

I also seem to recall that he stayed at Paine's house with Marena and would come in to Dallas on a Monday morning in a car of a next-door neighbor or a neighbor of Mrs. Paine, would stay all week in Dallas in his apartment and would come back on a Friday evening.

Also I seem to recall that he got into a very heated argument with Marena and left. Whether it was one week before the assassination, two weeks or three weeks, it is all too vague in my mind now.

Those are facts that are there for review. But I do recall when he was estranged from her he did not come in on a week-end. At no time do I recall during the investigation --

I could be proven wrong -- did he ever come in on a Tuesday,
Wednesday or Thursday night or a Monday night: It was always
a Friday, go back on Monday morning and stay in downtown
Dallas during the week.

Here again from memory I am positive that no public notification of the parade route was ever published until the day before the assassination.

I seem also to recall that he went out to lunch and it was after he came back from lunch on the Thursday before the assassination it is conjectural on my part that he went out and saw the newspapers and for the first time was aware that President Kennedy's route would take him right in front of the Texas School Book Depository. It was after he came back from lunch, if I remember correctly again after all these years, that he approached the neighbor who also worked for the School Book Depository and said he wanted to go home that night with him albeit he was really estranged with Marena and probably had no intention of ever doing it.

He went out with her that night, the excuse being that he wanted to pick up some curtain rods for his apartment.

Obviously in retrospect he went out only to get the rifle. Obviously in retrospect, here again conjecture, the thought of putting a bullet through the President's head was the same abberation, mental abberation, he obviously had when he took a shot at General Walker sometime previously, and missed him, at

the head area again.

I think that he simply carried out this thing. That is why I have the inclination to believe that this man acted spontaneously because something in his personality had gone waskie, he would be doing something that would give him publicity and notoriety and apparently he didn't care what results were, but he may have said "If I can do it I can get away with it."

All this is conjecture. Everything else that people put in books is conjecture but I think this is basically backed up by facts. I think it is kind of hard to controvert that reasoning.

He had no time to collude with anyone else, no prior information that the President was going to be passing right in front of him. Prior effort to get another job would have taken him out of the School Book Depository. All coincidence.

That is my opinion of it for somebody who has not been studying the case. That is the definite impression I am left with.

When I left and came back to New Orleans in January of 1964, except for the few leads I conducted, a couple of which had to do with Orest Pena, I had nothing more to do to my knowledge with that assassination investigation.

Mr. Genzman. When you returned to New Orleans did
you ever contact your informant and sources there to follow up

V 13

14

10

1

2

. 15

17

18

19

20

/ 2

22

23

24

on some of the issues?

Mr. DeBrueys. I don't think so. I would only have done it if I had an official reason for doing it. I may have had a sporadic lead or two to gather up a loose end. Somebody else was assigned that, not I. It wasn't Kaack. I don't know whether it was Logan or Calendar or somebody else who had the case then.

Mr. Genzman. How would you characterize the performance of the American investigative and intelligency agencies who were involved in investigating the assassination?

Mr. DeBrueys. I would say considering the fact that it was basically a local matter until the President must have told somebody, whoever or someone, get in there and take control, I assume that is after Oswald got killed, that unfortunately those people in Dallas, it was something that they were not equipped to handle to start with. That is where some of the gross errors occurred that are haunting us today. There is no way you can do that over again except that Congress has passed laws making it a Federal violation now to kill a President and the officials, stipulated officials, which is a good fall-out.

I would say that there was a lot of pressure to get things done but I don't think agents cut short any inquiries.

Rather, there was an awful lot of investigative effort performed by the Bureau in a short period of time going out and

art

/ 12

...

talking to people in insame asylums I understand who claimed they had information that we knew beforehand could not possibly be true because it placed Oswald in some area of the country where we know for a fact he was not because we had incontrovertible evidence he was somewhere else. So I say there was no dereliction in my opinion.

Of course, I did not assemble all this stuff in the final analysis but I am impressed that they left very few stones unturned and a vast amount of inquiry was done, not only by the Bureau but by Secret Service.

After you look at the great maze of investigation you can always come in on Monday morning and say, "Heh, you did not do it I hear and this thing happened in the pandemonium at the time and the way his body was handled out at the hospital carlessly."

We know better now, people know better. At that time it was a local murder case. I might even say that I recall two instances that happened that show you that people were just inclined to want to focus in, take tunnel vision at the time to get something done. This is before we even got into it fully. I recall that nobody was thinking about opening a case on the Paines. If they didn't they should have. I suggested here is someone who spoke Russian with a background and certainly we ought to be opening a case and doing some investigation on the Paines. If not, we were going to be em-

, t

 barrassed later on because some loopholes or something would fall through the crack.

I think they opened cases on it. I tried to persuade someobdy. Here again I am nothing but an agent at the time. Somebody mentioned in my presence that there was a slug in the Irving Police Department or somewhere else that was taken out of the wall of General Walker's home. It was a rifle slug where somebody had shot at his head and missed him. Nobody was doing anything about it. They were all doing things at that time that had to be done and they were using every minute of their time doing it.

I happened to hear and I said somebody ought to get it.

They went out, got it and sent it to the lab and found it

came out of the same weapon. I would say this was something

new for the Bureau. They did it with a great deal of effic
iency but ovviously there were some omissions but I don't think

the omissions go to the merit of the investigation.

If anybody can at this day and age, because of the tranquility of the times and the long projected study, come up with something else I think it would be very helpful for the American public. It is very divisive to have all these contrary opinions the great bulk of which are only conjecture and would not withstand analysis by investigation.

Lots of times things are said as fact when only they are suppositions and create more divisions among the American public

I think it is very sad. I think agents worked there butts off. I personally remember getting up one morning at.six o'clock, went down to the office at the Adolphus Hotel and being told at five o'clock that evening that the President

wanted a report. I was named to compile it.

We had 20 guys and put this report together, worked all night long under unusual circumstances and compiled this thing. I recall that the report was so thick that it took an hour to number the pages. It took almost an hour to put holes in the report. Now days we have the equipment to do it a lot faster. The report went without the synopsis I think or without the Table of Contents because we wanted to get it on the plane. All these things were done, mind you, under this kind of thing.

For somebody else 10 or 15 years later to scrutenize that report of 800-some-odd pages with a great deal of venom saying what a sloppy job -- nobody said that about the report yet as far as I know but naturally mistakes could have been made when you consider how fast the thing was done at the time.

It is not because of any lack of zeal or lack of intent. Confusion, because who had investigative authority. I would say the FBI and the people in it at this day and age would welcome even something that would make them look bad if it would solve the problem.

In my opinion it would be a great benefit to the country and would not hurt the Bureau if somebody could say that is

. .

what happened.

Now, you have a Monday morning quarterback and now you have all the time to do all this analysis but all the stories and the conjectures about how the assassination occurred, people making statements that when you look at them you think are facts, but when you do a little probing you find it is conjecture on their part. A lot of people made a lot of money on it, a process that really hurt our country.

I think those people should be ashamed of themselves frankly.

Mr. Genzman. When did you first hear about the note which Oswald presented to the FBI office in Dallas?

Mr. DeBrueys. Long after apparently it happened. I read a newspaper account in recent months, or within the last year, whenever it happened. Time has a way of compressing on me. It may have been two years ago. Whenever it happened.

Mr. Genzman. When did you first hear that Special

Agent Hosty's name and address had been excluded from a transcription of Oswald's address book?

Mr. DeBrueys. I recall, and here again it is unfortunated to recall things like that when you can't picture where it happened but I recall the address book was part of the thing. I took up to the Bureau Lab on the second trip where I worked 38 hours without sleep, and I recall somebody mentioning that we have to set out leads on people whose names are in this

V

/

7 8

. 15

address book and somebody saying "Well, here is Hosty's name, we don't have to put him down. He doesn't have to be interviewed."

I am sure in that very innocuous way and most unfortunately his name was not included in it. I don't know who did it or didn't or would have argued at the time of getting things done in a case which had nothing to do with the intelligence case but rather the assault case which was Gemberling's.

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying then at the Hosty entry was excluded from the transcription as opposed to being originally included and later deleted?

Mr. DeBrueys. I am saying that I heard that and if I were to look at it today that would be my explanation. I thought it was pertinent to the inquiry.

Maybe all these other things did occur but I think it is important for me to say I heard that. I would be derelict if I did not say that.

If somebody said "We have to set out leads. Here is Hosty, we know who he is, we don't have to identify him and interview him", what happened after that I don't know. I did not handle that part of it.

Mr. Genzman. Were you transferred from New Orleans to Washington in 1967?

Mr. DeBrueys. I recall leaving on March 1, 1967 in my own car for Washington.

ру

· mr-1 fls.

> 554-2345 (202) С. ċ VACHIBITION, REPORTING MITERING. 5.11. STRFEF

assina. medy Sub. 3

> > 23

24

Mr. Genzman. Did your transfer occur around the time that the Garrison investigation was beginning in New Orleans?

Mr. DeBrueys. My only knowledge of that case was after I got up there and heard there was a subpoena for me that had been issued at the instructions of Garrison. I did not know about it at the time I left.

Mr. Genzman. Did you comply with that subpoena? Mr. DeBrueys. I did not comply with it because I was

not in the New Orleans area, never saw it, personally saw it, but was told by the Justice Department that one existed.

I was left with the impression that we were not going to comply with it because of the prior interest that the Federal Government had in the matter, whereas I do not know really what the reason was but I am surmising, because they felt Garrison's investigation was a fraud and had no true basis, in fact, as being relevant to the assassination investigation.

It would be absurd for a local D.A. to preempt the Federal Government in an area where they were conducting an investigation. These are all surmises on my part as a result of somebody having told me that I had the subpoena but they would take care of it, the Justice Department, not the bureau. I talked to somebody in the Justice Department. I do not know who it was.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know what interest Jim Garrison would have had in you?

DOO 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS RULLDING, VASHINGTON, D. C. 20024 (202) \$54-7345

Ìá

Mr. DeBrueys. Only from comments made in the press in recent years saying, "Sorry, I did not have a chance to talk to DeBrueys", because they would like to find cut why, when Oswald left New Orleans to move to Dallas, that I followed him and he would like to clarify why that happened and why I returned after the assassination.

I do not know whether that statement was cleverly couched in those terms by Garrison or whether his intelligence was poor. I did in a sense "follow" Oswald in the sense that I left for Dallas after he left New Orleans, that is the day after the assassination. Naturally, having left after the assassination, when I returned it was after the assassination.

If you just take the words that Garrison used, it is a half truth. I never went to Dallas until the day after the assassination. He knew that I was talking to Cubans. He felt, perhaps, that I could help his investigation.

I, personally, do believe that he is responsible for the death of Clay Shaw. That is from the top of my head. There may be other facts to show otherwise, but that man was harrassed by this investigation which was obviously a phony, for whatever nefarious reasons Garrison had for conducting one because nothing came out of it. Nor was anything developed of any consequence as a result of all the money he spent of public funds to do that.

I have lost track, I do not know whether there is anything

3

5

2

9

10

11

12

13

15

lá

18

19

20

554-2345 (202) 20024 n. c. REPORTERS' BUTLDING, VASHINGTON, 7TH STREET, else I should add to that.

Mr. Genzman. That is sufficient. Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.

Mr. Preyer. Mr. Sawyer?

Mr. Sawyer. No. I would make a comment though that

I have enjoyed listening to the witness. He has been very

perceptive and very forthright. He has been helpful to me.

Mr. DeBrueys. Thank you, sir.

Mr. Preyer. The Warren Commission made a mistake in not calling him as a witness.

Mr. Dodd, do you have any further questions?

Mr. Dodd. None other than to thank the witness for taking so much time. I appreciate your coming.

Mr. DeBrueys. Thank you. I appreciate your kindness and professional way of handling this.

Mr. Preyer. I have one question which may be a little off what we have been discussing. There has been a lot of discussion about Hosty's movement in Louisiana. Some of it has been rather murky. The Garrison trial brought out testimony to the effect that Oswald had gone to a small Louisiana town to register to vote, that Clay Shaw drove him there.

He also applied for a job there in a hospital, as I recall. Did you look into any of those allegations or what credence do you give to that testimony?

Mr. DeBrueys. Professionally, it would not be proper for

7万12

25

11

12

13

15

lá

17

x

19

4

me to malign Mr. Garrison and say that is another figment of his imagination, because I did no further inquiries in the assassination investigation with the exception of a very few dealing with Orest Pena after I came back from Dallas and I got off on to other investigative matters.

In 1967 I left and I had no further exposure to the thing. I am just speaking from what I know about Garrison and the intensive amount of investigation that was conducted by the bureau in New Orleans, Dallas and throughout the U.S.

I am left with some impressions that other agents had talked to people, some of them were of the seedy type. Maybe some of them had worked for Banxister, but it is all too vague now who I think came to the bureau in the New Orleans office and said maybe Ferrie may have been involved possibly in planning the escape route, an assumption on their part.

Since I had no further official interest in the investigation and never did any more work on it, to corroborate those things the bureau talked to quite a few people of their ilk who later, I understand, spoke to Garrison who later on projected these comments as being factual and used it as the groundwork for some of his contentions.

I feel very uneasy in talking about it. Personally, I can say he just used those things that people offer as conjecture and set it out as fact. I may be doing him an injustice in the process, but that is my personal opinion which

REPORTERS BUILDING, PASHTHETON, D. C. 20024 TTH STREET,

10

!!

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

22

3

lacks a lot of corroboration.

Mr. Preyer. Before you left the New Orleans office in 1967, had you not heard any of the allegations that Oswald had been in -- what is the name of that town -- Clinton, Louisiana? Had those allegations come to your attention?

Mr. DeBrueys. I do not think so. I heard a lot of them that at the time just seemed so hairbrained that I did not give much credence to them. I do not specifically recall Clinton, Louisiana, or the other thing.

Mr. Preyer. The bureau, as far as you know, made no investigation into that?

Mr. DeBrueys. I would hope and would suspect that if they had not made any investigation, they would have in the file adequate information for not doing it because I think they would have been derelict in not doing something about it.

Mr. Preyer. Thank you very much, Mr. DeBrueys.

Under your rules at the conclusion of the questioning, the witness is entitled to take five minutes to expand on what he may have said or clarify anything or just make any further statement he wishes.

If there is anything further you wish to state at this time, we will be glad to hear from you.

Mr. DeBrueys. The only thing I think, and I may be repeating myself, is that I feel uneasy sometimes in saying things are vague in my mind. I think it may be well to use

4.0

3

á

2

ta

11

12

13

14

. 15

lá

17

. 18

19

21

22

23

35

DOG 7TH STREET, S.M. REPONTERS BUILDING, PASHTHETON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

some of this time to say you have to see the picture.

I did not stay in that area and I did not get exposed and re-exposed to all the issues of the assassination. In 1965, for example, I spent six months in the Dominican Republic when President Johnson sent down a team of men during the revolution and the crisis. I got involved in that. When I got involved in that, I was just up to my armpits in that.

I got completely detached from all the other matters that seemed a little more parochial at the time. It was not too long after I got back from that that I had to catch up with the work I had left behind. Not long after that, I got assigned to Washington, D.C.

All of those are new jobs. I stayed in one division, General Criminal Investigative Division, for six months or so and Domestic Intelligence Division for 11 months, Inspection Staff for 11 months, then Training Division. Then I got assigned to something completely different in Mexico for a year, another year in Argentina and three years in Brazil.

The obligations and responsibilities in each one of those jobs was so demanding that in order to do a good job in spite of my inherent curiosity about other things, I just became as expert as I could in what I was doing at the time. I am a lot more ignorant about a lot of things on the assassinations than many people on the street that read this thing day after day.

ALDEDION REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

g

ş

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

17

20

I come back and people ask me questions about what I did in 1963 and I surprise myself, I hope it is not senility, but I just do not remember some of those things. I know they happened. Maybe if somebody can refresh my memory. If I can be of any more help by refreshing my memory, they will be welcomed to do it.

Mr. Preyer. You must be ready for retirement now and must be enjoying it.

Mr. DeBrueys. I am working for the Metropolitan Crime Commission and wonder sometimes whether it was a wise thing, because that is going to be an absorbing job.

Mr. Preyer. I wish you well on that.

Mr. DeBrueys. Thank you.

Mr. Preyer. You are not spending all your time fishing during retirement?

Mr. DeBrueys. Not at all.

Mr. Preyer. Thank you again, Mr. DeBrueys.

The committee stands recessed until 9:00 tomorrow morning.

Mr. DeBrueys. Thank you, sir.

(Whereupon, the committee adjourned at 11:55 a.m. to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., Thursday, May 4, 1978)



--

24