Dear Mr. Dworetsky,

10/14/81

Your books will go out tomorrow, with this. It should take them about three weeks longer to reach you.

AsII now recall, it took some time for the bullet that did not penetrate Tippit's body to catch up with the other three.

It also was some time before the Tippit autopsy was filed. I do not recall the reason, if I even knew it.

I presume that you also are aware that still another bullet is required to have the bullets and empty shell match.

With regard to Alvarez and his article, my recollection may be wrong but I think that article was published in <u>Science</u>. Or, there was a second article. His connections there lead me to believe they would not welcome anything contradiction his eminence.

Actually, the reprints were paid for by ERDA, then successor to AEC. This was done through the overagl contract with U. C., Berkeley. I have the records, from ERDA, under FOIA.

Alvarez and the magazine arranged to hold his article for what they regarded as the one of most influence on the campus.

I can't see how this could fit properly in any energy-related contract or studies of any kind.

The news about Sadit is distressing but once again there will probably be prolonged questions. At the least because of the failure of his security people to provide any security at all and because of the apparent total absence of what in this country has become normal, such things as bullet-proof glass. It seems entirely incredible that no effort was made to frustrate the assassins at all, until too late one person armed with a handgum made some kind of futile effort. The motion pictures aired here and pretty clear on all these failures. So, at the least there will be the why question, and what were his protectors doing if not protecting? There will, I think, be suspicions that they were involved.

I've heard nothing more on what interests you, the new report of an analysis of the police tape.

As of my last information, Alvarez was responsible for the long delays as he works to keep the conclusions from not agreeing with his preconceptions.

Best wishes,

Larold Weisberg

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON

GOWER STREET LONDON WCIE 6BT

Telex 28722

7th October 1981

Telephone: 01-387 7050

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12--Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701 USA

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Enclosed please find my check for \$40 for your five books, not including Frame-Up. Surface mail will be satisfactory, and there will be a few cents left over (probably); do not bother to refund the balance if it is less than two dollars.

Thanks for pointing out my error on one of the Tippet bullets not being in the body, but found at the scene of the shooting. That bullet did cause bruising and is evidence for at least 4 shots. My statement about what witnesses described (an average of 3 shots heard) is true, but now I see why DA Wade said Tippet had been shot 3 times (Wade's remarks are in various press conference transcripts in the WC volumes) even though four shots (at least)were fired at him.

Thank you also for sending me some useful addresses of contacts. I really need to trace the Couch film. Also, I wrote to Groden last year but did not get a reply.

I am considering starting off by writing an article for the same journal (American Journal of Physics) in which Alvarez published his article in 1976. What do you think? At least it will then be a matter of public record.

Mike Divotty
M. M. Dworetsky

PS Yesterday we did of course hear the very distressing news from Egypt about Sadat. I doubt that there is much need to analyze this very distressing event, as the conspirators have begun to claim that they did it.

* If total is over \$40 please advise me.