8/23/73

Dear Hr. Whituon,

Shortly after I received your latter of the 17th Hr. Montella phoned me. His letter of the 21st was written the next day. Its last paragraph does not reflect the message I asked him to give you. Taken with your letter of the 17th, a misapurchension on your part is possible. This may be accidental, but there are too many of these in the past for me to leave these of the present uncorrected, regardless of the time they waste.

I am not now nor have I introduced any new conditions. - have several times referred you to the hondwritten notes for - morae made and read back to me. I also told Mr. Montella of them.

There is no basis for the opening sentince of your second puragraph. The only reason Mr. Macrae did not then issue as a creak for the sum he computed for the calle of those books that were my property and them and there direct that shipment be made is because he elected to separate these things and swait a settlement with ¹r. Handloo on those things other than the remainders. On the other hand, I felt that both our interests were served by settling what we could and reducing the problems. I feel that I made a very considerable caerifice to these ond in the agreement on the remainders we did reach. I will not compromise it further. If you mean to by this second paragraph, I do not and will not accept it. My previous correspondence on this is, I believe, unequivocal. It is because such an interpretation is possible that I related this with ¹r. "outclla." His comment was that be also fait the comprisive I accepted was reasonable and he could see why I would not accept less than so small a part of what I expect to get for there books that were sold when they were my property.

Perhaps ordisation of payment to me of the money actually received for my property was accidental. If it was not, then this is too much like what I went through with David and I had better do what I went to New York for in Nay, turn all of this cordid business over to a lawyer. If that is the last thing I want, I see no real alternative if you intended trickery. When Mr. Montella's letter concludes as it does, the unvolcome possibility is not easily ignored. I would appreciate more explicitness from you. If you inhorit this mess, I have to learn by the lessons of it. I intend no personal affront, but I also dongt intend to be treated this way if you did intend what seems not impossible. Thus, in fairness to both of us, I seek explicitness.

The "within a week" forecast in your letter of the 17th has passed with no work from Mr. Hamilton. It is not three and a half months since he promised no that I know he could not give as and then told him he could not. Ponotheless he sent ne southing else. As you know, because I sent you a carbon, I told him this was not what is required and gave him a full and truthful representation of the fact. He has not to reamend. It should be obvious if production of this evidence were as simple as you seen to believe or to have been led to believe, all this time would not have elapsed. It should also be obvious that at this stage it should not be necessary for David to set with you "and personally rotrieve all the partinent information from his files" if he was, as I know he cannot have been, fully informative with Mr. Hemilton or with Dutton. Hefore writing mo at all "r. Headlton required this. Believe me or not, I tell you that if this is now produced you have now cause for concern. I as trying to be helpful to you and to Dutton and trying to be honest with you to the end that this be reaclyed without the masty potential it has. I have no desire to hart Dutton. But I also have no intertion of being gypped any further. It that I have been promised is new produced, as I have told you, it has to be fraudulent from repetitive, unasscilable proofs I do have. And if it is not produced, there can be no questioning of the logitimacy of what I have asked, which is considerable less than I can I believe reasonably and legitinately ask and expect.

Meanwhile, the costs to no escalate and I shall, unless this is actuled promptly, have to sock restitution. Investably there will be a resolution of all of this and at the present rate, "atton's ultimate costs are also climbing.

This does not ther of us any good.

For my part, I have tried to be considerate. I offered to forget the flats of unbound pages and to sign a release for them. I will if you will agree to hold them for a little while and provide me with what I have never gotten, on optimate of their size and weight. If I can't do anything with them, there is nothing for no in wasting this cost to you.

On the other side, these repeated undert privilees waste much time for me that I do not have to waste. So do the antiguous latters or these seeming to commit me to that to which I have not agreed. I would appreciate it if we could get on a better basis. And I do believe we will both be better off for it in the end.

Sinceroly,

Harold Veisberg