Dear Henry Durkin,

When I worked on a morning newspapers during what used to be called The Great Depression I ate and drank (the latter to excess) in a fine Greek restaurant run by a fine Greek patriot and I ran a tab that I settled with each paycheck. I took it to Tom as soon as I got it, he took what was coming to me and gave me the little that remained.

Once Tom, who worked the night shift (meaning all night), got sick, and a friend or relative unknown to me took his place. He did not like the way I signed the check, so he insisted that I cross that out and sign it so he could read it.

Under repotest, and with ample warning, I did. The check bounced, of course. So I have had an experience with banks and signatures that, would I not have taken your word anyway, would have been persuasive.

However, you display the insensitivity of the self-righteous, all-knowing in believing that I wrote you in anger ("I can understand your anger about having been 'ripped off'...") I was needling you. If it was too obscure, believe me I was not angry. I did not really believe that you would deliberately send me a bad check. From what I have seen of your thinking, I had no trouble believing it extended to your bookkeeping.

and "outrage at an apparent rubber check.")

However, let us go back to your propaganda in what calls itself The New Guard but is neither "new" nor a "gmard."

You then had no concern with fact or truth. You had an ax to grind and if it meant choping up the truth and butchering fact to the end that you could believe the dishonesty you put on/paper and published, why let honesty get in the way?

You had belief; that was more than enough for you.

There need be no fidelity in your writing if it said what you wanted to say. And what you did say was no more than propaganda.

You took much of my time for no reason at all. You paid no attention. And I did tell you the truth.

This waste of time was more abusive than a bad check no matter how innocently it was not a good check.

The problem with maximum men like you who hew a line regardless is that you contort everything to fir the preconception. What the Warren Commission did.

Well, if Martin Dies went to his just reward, pity him.

And if your boy Nixon who was so faithfully served by so many of your fellow believers, felons charged and uncharged, ever goes to his earned one, Lucifer will be earning time and a half.

These belief you and yours have been spouting have had a chance. (Of course, once they failed they were not the true belief.) So did the men to your preference.

God save the country! I don't think that what has been done to it can be undone by any non-celestial power. Then there is this true belief as collated by your boy Huston. He wasn't charged but what he did was more genuinely subversive than what the crooks did.

I really was hoping to prod you into meditating the difference between talk and deed.

You kid yourself, young Mr. McKinley. As when you close, "Conservatives like to save money." When you put on a show with a printed "Speed Message" - two-color yet - where the kind of cheap paper I use would suffice?

Not without hope,

SPEED MESSAGE

то	Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 8 Frederick, Md 21701	FROM	Henry P. Durkin Box 74 Westfie ld NJ 07090
SUBJECT		-	DATE 12-26-74 19
	Dear Mr. Weisberg:		
	My letter of December 17th must of the 19th. Xerox copy of Bank's notification enclosed, with copy of the check the Bank's slip about insufficient would be a signed and the inconvenience two different circumstances. The really was a signature problem, and signed and returned to the bank here is another check for \$6.25. an account with another bank white	n to me about the you returned to nt funds eparticularly funds were not New signature called this one cau	when the Bank reports insufficient and it ards have been received now be in order and hence uses trouble, I'll start
	I can understand your anger about was—and—is—not the case, let me the people in the Bank who decide they didn't touch——even though detail of the handwriting in the unpleasantness would have ariser in expressing your disappointment P.S. I use the bank because them Conservatives like to save money	just say that in led to pick this themsignature di eir files) to que la No need to appoint and outrage at e's no monthly of	one check (and others idn't match the last estionnone of this blogizeyou acted honstly t an apparent rubber check. charge or cost per check.

GrayLine "Shap-a-Way" FORM 44-911 3-PARTS
WILSON JONES COMPANY 8 © 1888 9 PRINTED IN U. S. A.

THE NEW BOSTON BANK AND TRUST COMPANY WITHAVE CREDITED YOUR ACCOUNT FOR REASON OR REASONS INDICATED BELOW FOR MANAGEMENT AND 625 AND 144140 ACCT. NO. 0205825 TOTAL AMOUNT OF CREDIT	
CREDIT Lenny P. December 5.19 T4No. 40 5.145 RETURNED UNPAID FOR REASON INDICATED: DATE December 5.19 74No. 40 5.145 INSUFFICIENT FUNDS SIGNATURE WRONG BANK WOONG BANK SIGNATURE UNCOLLECTED FUNDS UNCOLLECTED FUNDS HENRY P. DURKIN	
ON BANK ON MASSACHUSETTS	

SPEED MESSAGE

то	Mr. Harold Weisberg	FROM	Henry P. Durkin Box 74		
	Frederick, MD 21701		Westfield, N. J. 07090		
SUBJECT -					
_			DATE December 17, 19749		
	Dear Mr. Weisberg:				
	I just received a note from my bank saying that my check to you of \$6.25 did not clear because the signature on it did not match my recorded signature. I just cal the bank in Boston and they informed me that the check was sent back to you. I ex that my handwriting had changed over the years and they are sending me a new sign card so that their files will match the handwriting on the checks. Therefore, please redeposit the check in about a Week from the time you get this letter. I should have rec eived the new signature card from the bank and returned it to them. Apologies for the problem. The check is good but the handwriting is bad.				
	Best wishes for the holidays.				
SIGNED					

GrayLine "SNAP-A-WAY" FORM 44-911 3-PARTE WILSON JONES COMPANY ® 1868 9 PRINTED IN U. S. A.

672



