Professor of Criminal Law Yale University New Haven, Conn.

Dear Ar. Duke,

I can the author of FRANK-UP, a study of the assassination of Dr. Partin Luther King and of the James Earl May case, of which I enclose a few reviews. It includes a rather vigorous examination of Percy Foreman's performance, about which I have the deepest misgivings.

The New York Times of 3/15/69 reports your interest in and success on behalf of James Filler, whose case had been handled to conviction by Foreman. There is elliptical reference to what might be this case in Michael Downan's biography of Foreman.

I am writing to ask for whatever information tou can give me about the facts of the case, which remarkably parallels some of kay's career, and about Foreman's performance. I recognize there may be some professional limitations on the latter. I am not asking the unethical of you, merely what you feel you may properly let me have. My own belief is that Foreman from the first had the intention of selling kay out, of arranging a quick and politically-desired "solution" to the case, although I am not suggesting and have no reason to believe, as may does, that this was his motive.

Believems or not, there is simply no case at all against May. Foreman made no investigation at all. What evidence is available is exculpatory, and since writing the book I have obtained what I regard as totally exculpatory evidence, as it relates to the shooting. There is no doubt of Ray's conscious criminal activity. But that does not make him an assassin.

The facts in the Miller case as reported by the Pimes fascinate me, they are that close to duplication of some in May's case. Including narcotics, Canada and Mexico. And Foreman in similar, uncharacteristic roles in each case?

I do hope you can help. Thanks for anything you may do.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg

New Narcotics Trial Is Won on Issue of Hypnosis

By EDITH EVANS ASBURY

A new trial was ordered yesterday for James Miller, a Connecticut hairdresser who had been convicted of partic-ipating in an international narcotics smuggling ring.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed his conviction and ordered a new trial because the defense and jury had not been told by the Government that it had questioned its promition, with ness under hypnosis before the

The three-judge court headed by Henry J. Friendly, and sit-ting at Foley Square, had or-held Miller's conviction parts

ously, Miller, the 42-year old owner and eperator of several beauty shops in the New Haven area. shops in the New Haven area, was convicted on June 2, 1960, after a jury trial in Hartford, and was sentenced to 12 years in prison. He has been free in \$200,000 bail as Steven B. Duke, professor of criminal law at Yale University, pressed his appeal without fee, and a committee of citizens campaigned in his behalf.

Driver Is key Witness

The professor of priminal law at Yale University, pressed his appeal without fee, and a committee of citizens campaigned in his behalf.

After the trial was core said to the professor of priminal law at the Malter from photographs, and was the Government's steel witness at the Malter from that it is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from that is a feet witness at the Malter from the feet witness at the feet witnes

Miller was arrested June 19. After the trial was consulted 1 1964, and was charged with Professor Boller from that I being the man to whom 72 Caron had been a satisfact a pounds of pure horoin. I had ander hydrogen of a correspond at \$56 million, was accessed by Versial and the hydrogen of a corresponding the heroin was accessed by Versial and the hydrogen of the heroin was accessed by Versial and the hydrogen of the heroin was accessed to the heroin was accessed to the heroid was accessed to the heroi

prived the detense of its right percy Foreman, engaged by to cross-examine Caron con-Miller when he was indicted

to disclose the hypnosis de-during the trial at Hartord.

Miller when he was indicted in Texas, represented him at the trial but withdrew after the conviction.

A new trial is necessary, he continued, because "there is a significant possibility that the midisclose evidence might have led to an acquittal or a though jury"

Carect testimony, the opinion stated, may have been the truth, but it was hardly the whole truth as known to Butier, and a Member of Science and the hypnosis performed only force months before, and the hypnosis performed only force months before, and the hypnosis performed only force months before, and the five other indeed who has maintained since his great that the two other judges who that he was the victim of the father of a 6-year-old boy, has maintained since his great.

The two open judges who that he was the victim of me readers and P. Moure and Wilford sor Duke also Ergined in the capture.

The two opens who that he was the victim of me readers and P. Moure and Wilford sor Duke also Ergined in the capture.

The Both specificación Caron who the read culp t yes.

Texas-basier

Michigan Cap-