

Cerald D. Rose  
State College of New York  
Fredonia, NY 14063

7/25/93

Dear Mr. Rose,

You complain that I wrote you at an address you have not had in five years so you neither use nor enclose your new address!

I presume Evica did not send you my response. You do not mention it. I told him as I tell you, feel free to give copies to the others if you desire. I won't waste any more time on those sh arrogant of mind, so insufferably self-important, so uninformed about what they write about and so unable to recognize the validity of what did not occur to them. As I told Dragh, who from his professional life knows it very well, merely recording that stupidity and ignorance of those who have prejudices is defamatory. And as I told Evica, he is not man enough to tell me who put him up to that shameful business. He since has not. So, he describes himself therewith.

Let me know when you solicit "scholarly" studies on the premise that the world is <sup>flat</sup> round.

I doubt very much if I used the words you attribute to me referring to your publication. I am certain that one way or another what I did tell you is that after my initial experiences with them I have not joined any assassination group or subscribed to any newsletter.

Where in the hell do you get off telling me that your publication has persevered without my support, as a complaint against me, when not a single one of you has done a damned thing to be of any help to me when for all those years when I was broke and in debt I fought all those many <sup>costly</sup> FOIA lawsuits, several for more than a decade. Without any of your scholars and experts even asking to see a single page of the third of a million pages I got. And with this the actuality of what I have done, beginning after the advent of serious illness, you've got the ball to <sup>†</sup> tell me I've not done anything at all, whereas look at what you have done!

Have <sup>you</sup> or any of you made any effort to learn if I have done anything else in that time? Not that <sup>†</sup> give a damn or want to take the time to tell you. Yet you say, "Unfortunately, as I have intimated, your (i.e. my) commitment seems to be a matter of past history, ~~while~~ while ~~there's~~ their's is ongoing." Is there any better <sup>example</sup> anyone needs for not having anything <sup>to</sup> with your fairies and needles business? In which as a matter of literal fact, you are all playing games many do not understand of only the past?

I suppose your concept of authentic scholarship is best expressed by Evica's promise that at your R.I. gathering you would name the assassins!

Not getting it I do not know what you publish but of what I saw in the past it is by those who imagine themselves to be Perry Mason's when they are junior-grade Keystone Kops apprentices....That you <sup>regret</sup> ~~Regret~~ that "I "seem to have drawn a 'statute of limitations' around assassination research." is your unintended confession of how utterly lost you are in that ~~grasy~~ kid stuff but you are not worth the time for any explanation. Sincerely,  
Harold Weisberg  
*Harold Weisberg*

In chuckling to <sup>the</sup> myself about your unrecognized spectacle you made of your own ignorance and stunningly inappropriate self-concept, I realized that you intended to insult me and that you also were, like Evica, not man enough to tell me the source of that crazy notion that I am a government disinformation agent. Evica did hint that there were two and only two, Marrs and White. But he did not say so and he failed to respond to my asking whether Harry <sup>was involved</sup> Livingstone directly or indirectly. Harry is the only one I've known to engage in such pretended self-justification of his failures and mistakes. But with those two as none of you has the knowledge to recognize, there is, with one, what is helpful to the official miscreants with the utter nonsense he published. I have seen countless records in which the FBI takes a few samples of that craziness and does a memo exploiting ~~it~~ their gross errors, and spreads the word, you see what these nuts say? We alone are and have been correct. And with the crazy stuff so readily available, they make a persuasive argument.

I welcome your intention to be unsytlting. It says more about you and your knowledge of the field and your understanding than anything anyone could invent.

Historically, what you publish will speak for itself with rare equiquence, as you are so blinded by your sense of self-importance and knowledge you lack to begin to understand.

And now I'll see if you are man enough to tell me the origin of that stupidity about me.

As for the commercialization of that crap, my reference was to Harry Livingstone and his coming high trash 3 titled Killers of Truth, approximately. <sup>of</sup> All ~~except~~ him and perhaps a few other nuts he will say what he and they do more than all others.

I doubt <sup>if</sup> you can or if you could would allow you to understand the genuineness with which I tell you that I am sorry for all of you and for the unrecognized great harm you have done to truth and understanding with those few articles I've seen.