During the days of the Dies committeenwhen Donner was a lakyer on the staff bf the CIO and represented sowe of their members against who there were charges he borrowed some of my Dies files - and never returned them.

VIr. Frank Donaer
30 Dook Zoad South Dorwall, Comn. 06854
Dear Frunk;
A copy of your Uivil Ldbeaties Revinw comentary on Lane'z Code Hane Toro hab just rached me. I reed the begiuning with ounsidsrable pospect, oniy to rvaoh the end and ifind that in your asating or motes jou culld not jurge your wan aye.

Iou list Bocks About the King Assessination and you linft yourgelf to the sycophantic. This is not lecaune you vere umarame of the only one thet is not in accomi with the official explemation. An mositng Lane'a your rand what ha rippod off and then corrupted, 暗 work as his source.

Thu record of the ACLU in general and Iiberal types in particular is no eredit to the owliais eapoused. When I approeclact the ACDH for Foth halp in 1966 I novar cot
 When thi suljegt of asmerninntiona bentedi up la! there wsa the sefis to fillo aufte for Ho other than Iene. End rhat suits! For whet ras riadily availablo and hea beon pob1ishod.

Your sommon frow thia rount debesee the intollect ant abondons zespecteble lergal cunorpts. Whethar or not any crime is a conspiracy is a question of fiact, not of tho opinion of vell-jedia hhomse. If you pent the ziIte rioonds melating to how it inegifed ond/or intended to insjure and nurture - even arrange - the books you praiae, just asik.

If auy of the asaussinations was begond she copeinility of a singie porson then you vell low that there wsa a conspiracy. 0 you avoid eny evidenoo and stalep jour
 are totelly contenptapus of evidence. You make no singie raferencs to ffolt.

You naver heand of a corpas delicti? You an yorte as you have vithost a single rofercnce to the Ray hibens corpus, the grecedent funder (arms. V (Velson) established in it? Tou lmos nothing of tas wo lou of oviduntiary hearing In October 1974 and the avidenoe soduced and antojactali to crosa expmination?

The thoucht may not plase you but I assure you that thia unbeconing, unneceasary and Imelevant aditition to on otharriae eacellent joi is pwoisely the line of think the spook/nollce-stete uind plotted endlesely to accompllsh. Hy Illes bulge with tha virtualiy idastionl achemings of the CIA and the FiS.

What in the worlif drives dacunt peoplo like you to mach self-defarsation, to such dehasement of the mind? Are you humg up over Johrimon's titr wilinecie in putting the hat on Vament On your sab abdicsticing fin tines of ariain?

Leneds bock is sven morse than you say. But I lenent the fact thet your oim writiing congs straitht fros the filea or the vil, alucst axactly in the worde of Certhe Dethach, T. B. Biahop end many othirs, ineluding the Pounding Diractor hinoolf.

In Looking for your adidress I found my letter of $9 / 10 / 75$. (Shortiy after that I sas hdapitaliged with soute thrvaboghLibithla in both lega and thithos. The demege is irreversible and has beon folloarad by acterial impedment.) I Ifnd that I offered to
 I offernd to meet with you and to introfluce you to the lavyer kho was then handlins ny poIA casas. He also hovilee the Rey eridastiary baarlinge. I find eleo thet you know how to reach ae. So in adaition to knoring about ny woric on tha King assassination and in true ACLU tradikion suppossing it is your revion you eleo twaz hon you could hava Ioamod more about what wes rolerant in your writirs. Instent you vore dishonest and fell in step with tha J. Bidgar bowors of our soniety. I*h eorry,

Harold Weiaberg

