Dear Jim, Domestic Intelıigence/Suits 服 o/5/75
I'li be rambling in thas as I try to reall anu reports a nawber of asparete but i think interrelatad items. I hope that as you read this you uill make reminders git $^{\prime}$ about what you think you should and somehow manage to keep track of them.

In the past the tradstionel response of the FBI when it was under eriticism (the (IA had escaped it) was to come up with some new sensation, like spies or alleged spies who hat been mader survesllance 1.11 along. Hy recollection is not clear on all of these cases but I do assume that many were legiticate cases of espionage. So, I would not be a ift surprised if sonethine like this is pulled now. There are thoso luft who can counsel kelley if he does not have the same inatincts.
a logical veriant more aceful today xould be aome kind of sengetional oharge that need only seem credible for a short perdod of time, one that it might be afficially expactad would fall apart aftar it serves its insuediate public relations intentions. The possdbilities are close to limitless. Te question is daring and that is conditioned by perceived noed neasured against expectable countering by the several. Congressional comittees and sone of the new Members.

Yesteriby I heard briefly from Ford Rowan. I had called my NDC news friend and in his absence was transferred. Rowen was in New York, apparently workinte on the excellent story he aired on last night's TV news, regeated on the last half of the first sagment of the ioday show today, plus longer diakogue with Bdwin sommen. I did zake a few suggestions and he said he was on dualine und would cail today or tomorrow, that he did want us to ait down and talk. He remembers me from N.0., wheme during the Garrison days he was with a local stetion. I abiced him te sialp today and make it tomorrow because I have a very long day today and want to try to nap. in aaid in he coula not make it Firday he'll call Monday.

One of the thinga I've have to decide is whether to guve them the CIA domestiointelligence front setipp if they will not buy ite Heve you advice on this?

Another, if he and NiC are wilieng, is to give him the chance to take a test case, me, and foliow it through whon there is the existing evidence and the proven lies. (I also sugested be try to have a friendly chat with bverett Mamn. I told hini that the indications I have from several conversations is that Col. ifann did not apizove these doing. I did not see any account of Mann's Absug subcomitee testimony anywhore. Day before yeaterday.)

If you did not catch his roports, he has a fire-source account of a nem system vhereby the various computer systons aan be traniated into the unique language of each, interlocked and fed and then disconnected with no rocords of the exhanges left.

He added this morning in the dialogue that it stems from a comunications problem after the JPK assasaination and was initiated on LET's order to tied the ${ }^{2}$ ational Security Council into the Gla directly. I take it that this communications problem was the breakdem from overload of the DC phone system. It lasted about 2 hours.

He now have proof of faloifications, lyine and I think perjuxy by all of those we ${ }^{2}$ ve asked for thely rocords on me. I think wo should as yoon as possible get to a new preparation in the light of the various disclosures and lay the foundation for a broader suit, coubining all in one. I thillk that tia should begin witi nerr writton inquiries to all tho cibinet-lovel heads of the various agenciea with oopies to the next level, feee Levi copy to Kelleg. And we should invoke paivacy act as aell哣 as if not mom than POI. I bulleve one the new lsw provisions is that lying tolls the run ing of the stetutas. Ono reason ?or thic i: the practiteal imposalbility of knowine the Alenes of all the mony military agencies alone. Another is that they have fronts, seats, proprictaries, etc. and can pretend ilseasociation, the spook woj.
fion seems to be a lost cause. "e is undependable if only from his own affairs. Whatever tho scason I think we phould soek other co-counsel as poon as possible. Phd?

Heine cases I think that we now have enough to take a chance with Judge Roszel Thomsen, a conservative, He soaled the helms affidavit(s) on the representation of national security. I think you should consider writing him ana sending excerpts from the various transcripts, nut just the two most recent, that all say these cats lie and swere falsoly, slleging that that he also was lied to. If you vant jou an add that in diacovery in the civil suit the Air Iroce withheld a file, or perhapa DJ did,
 a.klod for it and was lisd to through Harvey blapp, who thon filled to send me copies of the entraspondence as I asiced. You or Dick heve these meorts. That whe a 118 within his jurisdiction and the information was mine as a fietter of right under the diacovery unciar him.

If this falls or porhaps as an alternative, out I think each a separate stop,
 false swearer aside from the thetne case.

Thore is ouxrant falsehood by the proaent gnersl counsel.
there is the gene:al truth from Dulles and Russell that they all lie and perfure.

## All of this also is relevent to Williams.

 rosponse I think it is tise to eliusnato the pobsibility of a toominited inquiry/ requesi ant of their resont to senantios and make the pequet(a) unler both FOIA and the Privact Act. They have to have filus on wee going back to the iate 30 s . Some can fally be alleged to be for lamenforcoment purposes. Others aro security investigations (Pnased). Then there nere security cases. (Reverged in my favor.) I wae in invoent contect with thase in whow they had interest and hud wier surw veillanoe. I had liafion functions with the FEI when I was in ess. All prior to the preanit. They jice work on the first dasuace suit ans ous agent did corrugt wy wajor witness, hils uncle, who later confegsed to $4 d$ and wo and chereaitar never entared our hom ajain. What other inveethbators cuyld the isaty in tiltisiore have? I did tum over infornation on more than one occasionds. There simply is no possibility that. Ansde fro: that is denied aypeificolity thery are no filas on le. I can add details, but this would becose one of tose oral histories in which Wrone is interested.

CIA: Spocificaliy add satil intorception or intrusion. Include Post verice? I atill hava the overt fraud case evidonce seuled as returned and thare were sugposedly investigations, ono at Hyattatown and one after wo noved here. I was toldin both aases that there was no asil. interference and now from the Cotter testimony there is no possibility of cloubt that there was. On tinis I also have the correspondence with wy ritish azent an there ere two probailt relovant incideatas:

Verbal: Collins had givon editorial approval to Whitawash in 1965, asked a mading of John Spamow (whose deniol as I recall wes less than somplato and definitive when I raised this with him after his articlo) tho racolmended against ite Writtens Leslita Frewin hari grone for Whitewaih ani was actwally writing the contract when he was fod bum information.

Speculative: if Ilewan was CTA, as JP seoms to think there is meget to believe, he blocied publication of thitewash by sarullax khlla The tat ath Autobiography of Halcon is was a beat seller and they hat flar distribution.

The rest you know, You do with Axny ant we now have proof of lyinge Ditto for Air Force. They can all retrieve now.
(I bellave the lav aiso says that if there is lying costs can't be assessed.)
Tyming: with disclosure of not less than $15,000,000$ computerized files added to what hae already cone out the poegibility of $a_{1}$ flood os suite and on official determination that there are so many nothing oan be done can t be ienored. I thinic these Imppl an offort at speed, which would seem to be not easy. Wo arn into too asich we can't drop. Whis again Indicates the need for comcounsel. I thinik the ACLU is a lost cause on this. Ditto from Ron, who has been inlent for three weeks. However, i also think the possibiilties are exceptionally good. Aside from what we have and the changing attitudes toward
what I've been dping, the recond in and the lact of these five FOI cases, whether or not there ever is roference to them, will tell even the judges that there is no possibility that there has been no improper interest in me.

I'm not suggesting that this would overcome oither press or judicial prejudice but I am sugsesting that there might well be expectation that something might out and be abarrassing because of it.

There are a number of conflicting considerations.
for and
cash rewowery, which we both need urgently.
I thus also think that these kinds of ondeavors should take priority, if only because thoy can make a significant difference in what we can be able to do with the other interests we share.

All we do and what we cannot do are controlled by our resources. Be have none.
These cases ean provide them.
I, for exampie, will soon faoe reprinting neods and easts. PW will cost more than WW IV and I may want to add, not only to extond the copyright but bocause an addition could be indicated.

I have to prepare to leave on an errand.
I'll try to writo you separately today on Hagell.

