## **Dodd Sues Columnists**, Asks \$5 Million Award

Senator Thomas J. Dodd (D-fessional reputation and . . Conn.) yesterday sued column-ists Drew Pearson and Jack nancial injury." Anderson for \$5 million.

Dodd accused them of conspiring since 1958 to "injure and destroy" his career in politics and of libeling him in a series of columns that began

The greatest part of his complaint—roughly 15 pages—is devoted to the Pearson-Anderson charges concerning his relationship with General Klein.

The columnists' charges that on January 24.

relating to Dodd's affairs.

job (in its investigation of leges. can make up for any Sena-torial oversight."

Pearson-Anderson charges Dodd's help in getting governthat Dodd improperly adment business. vanced the career of a forsums of campaign money to moted the interests of Dunbar his own use, and that he for improper reasons." helped businessmen get gov-

to remove and copy the Senator's personal records and correspondence. The manner in which these records were obtained, the Senator's complaint says, was "unlawful and

unauthorized."

He charged that Pearson Anderson "unlawfuly" published his income tax returns and published "false, malicious and defamatory" material to the effect that

Senator asserted, injury to his personal and pro-

The greatest part of his com-

The columnists' charges that The suit was filed in the his clients" in West Germany, Federal District Court here that he accepted "expensive by Dodd's lawyer, John F. sonnett, a former assistant attorney general.

The suit was intended in the his clients in west Germany was been that he accepted "expensive that he accepted "expensive that he accepted general statement of the his clients in west Germany that he delivered speeches "ghostwritten" by Klein, that he was an accepted that he has been that he accepted "expensive that he accepted general that he accepted general that he accepted general that he accepted "expensive that he accepted general that he accepted "expensive that he accepted general that he accepted that he acce elating to Dodd's affairs.
"If the Senate Ethics Com"malicious" and "defamatory," mittee fails to do a proper the Senator's complaint al-

It is also "false," the comthe power of subpoena, we plaint says, that Dodd's use of a car supplied free by a gov-The Committee has been examining in private the Pearson Anderson as a second of the private the contractor, Dunbar Associates of Connecticut, was in effect a "bribe" to secure

"Dunbar's loan of a car," eign agent, General Julius says the complaint, "was not Klein, that he diverted large a bribe and plaintiff never pro-

· The next charge against the ernment contracts after accolumnists was that they had cepting their gifts and hospublished a "false" malicious and defamatory" story to the The 27-page complaint filed effect that the Foreign Relaby the Senator said the "con- tions Committee had considspiracy" by the columnists ered removing Dodd from began at least as early as 1958 membership because he "had and involved the recruitment unlawfully and improperly of employees in Dodd's office conspired with an agent of a

foreign government (Klein)."

Dodd also denied in the complaint that he had acted 'unethically" in opposing U.S. policy in the Congo in 1961 or that he had been a spokesman for Michael Struelens, a dobbyist for the Katanga government of Moise Tshombe.

On the matter of his personal finances, Dodd denies in the complaint that he diverted—as the columnists charged—campaign Dodd "is unfit to be a United tions to his personal use and failed to report targets." This has caused him, the come on his Federal tax re-enator asserted, "grievous turns,"

"Contrary to those state-ments," the complaint says,

"plaintiff believes that the use of all funds contributed to him was proper and lawful and that his federal income tax return fully reflected his taxable income and, in this regard, plaintiff relied on independent legal advice." -The complaint claims that Dodd has never

"rendered service in his position as a Senator" in exchange for "alleged gifts of various kinds."

The cumulative effect of the Pearson-Anderson charges, the complaint says, was "intended to be understood as meaning that plaintiff is un-fit to be a United States Sen-