## \$100,000 Dodd Dinner Held in 1965; cians Differ on Tax-Free Claim

HVVIV By Richard Harwood Washington Post Staff Writer

The chairman of the Dem-Conn., reported yesterday that poses. It was turned over to a personal gift to Dodd. a \$100,000 testimonial dinner the Senator to be used at his for Sen. Thomas J. Dodd's personal discretion." personal financial benefit was held in Hartford on March 6,

Confirmation of this new "testimonial" to the Connecticut Democratic Senator came on a day in which Sen. John J. Williams (R-Del.) was challenging Dodd's contention that a reported \$100,000 raised at two previous dinners—in 1961 and 1963—was a personal gift from friends and was therefore tax-free.

Williams, ranking Republican on the Senate Finance Committee, said the proceeds from political dinners are taxable as income unless used for campaign purposes.

"I don't see how it could be otherwise," he said.

The principal speaker at last year's testimonial for Sen. Dodd was 'Vice President Humphrey. The dinner was held at the Statler-Hilton Hotel in Hartford and attracted about 1000 persons at \$100 a plate.

The Hartford Courant reported at the time that the purpose of the dinner was to "wipe out the deficit" from Sen. Dodd's successful 1964 re-election campaign.

## 'Personal Use' Cited

But Arthur Barbieri, the New Haven Party chairman, who was chairman of the dincommittee, told The Washington Post the net proceeds were turned over to Dodd for his personal use.

"It was a testimonial tribute to Sen. Dodd for his services to Connecticut," said Barbieri.

"I was proud to be the chair-who attended the 1965 dinner. man and I would do it again He said he was unaware that The chairman of the Dem-tomorrow. The money was not designated for campaign pur-the proceeds were intended as

This explanation came as a said. surprise to Democratic Na-tional Chairman John Bailey unaware that proceeds for the who lives in Hartford and

"I never knew what hap pened to the money," Bailey

He said he also had been

See DODD, A7, Col. 4

## \$100,000 Dodd Dinner Held in '65; Bailey, Humphrey Unaware of 'Gift'

1961 and 1963 "testimonials" tor's campaign balance sheet outside assistance to meet his to Dodd had been turned over for 1964. to the Senator for his per- In a legally required statesonal use.

apparently was unaware of were \$11,891.60 and that they gifts, they said, the money the purposes of the 1965 din were exactly offert by a not taxable. the purposes of the 1965 din- were exactly offset by contriner, according to his office. A butions of \$11,891.60. spokesman for Humphrey said He suffered, in other words, to his associates, are residual the Vice President had as no personal financial losses in fees from his former law firm sumed that the dinner was a routine campaign fund-raising official report, and thus had affair. He was not informed the campaign, according to his in Hartford, and lecture fees. As a radio broadcaster for the "militantly anti-Communist" affair. He was not informed, the proceeds from a post-electhe spokesman said, that the tion dinner. proceeds were intended as a In addition to Dodd's perpersonal gift to Dodd.

comment the 1961 and 1963 "testimo-almost \$6700. nials" to Dodd, Pinney said it There has been no public United States." Dodd appears was difficult "to accept the financial report on the results on the program twice a week Senator's definition of these of the 1985 Hartford dinner on the average, according to contributions as gifts. To do for Dodd. Barbieri said the Fisher. so would undermine public money was turned over to confidence in campaign giving, since, to the best of my a Manchester, Conn., automoknowledge, this concept has bile dealer, and by Edward never existed in Connecticut. Sullivan, a member of Sen with contributions to testing certainly not in the Republi- Dodd's staff in Hartford. can Party. It becomes even Neither Moriarity nor Sullimore important now to have van could be reached by tele. Thomas J. Dodd (D-Conn.), a full public examination of all phone to give an accounting. the charges made against Sen.

New Haven tion of the 1965 dinner as a from an appendectomy. personal benefit for Sen. Dodd is consistent with the of the Senator pictured him company reported facts on the Sena- as a "poor man" who required Delaware.

ment filed with the Secretary said, that Dodd's friends and "I thought we were just of the United States Senate, constituents made cash gifts having a dinner," Bailey said. Dodd stated that his personal to him through the device of Vice President Humphrey expenses in the 1964 campaign "testimonial"

sonal campaign report, his ident John Fisher of Chicago. came Connecticut organization re- The daily radio program is defrom the Republican state ported for 1964 expenditures voted "exclusively to Cold chairman in Connecticut, A. of \$174,159.44 and receipts of War developments" and is de-Searcle Pinney. In reference to \$167,497.67, leaving a deficit of scribed by A.S.C. as the "larg-

Washington

financial obligations as a public figure. It was for this readinners.

Among Dodd's outside sources of income, according "militantly anti-Communist" American Security Council, Council, Dodd received \$6373.69 last year, according to A.S.C. Presest daily radio program in the

monial dinners for Sen. The Washington Post incor-The Senator was en route to rectly identified the International Latex Co. as a Con-Democratic where he had been visiting necticut firm and incorrectly Chairman Barbieri's descrip-his son, who is recovering identified A. N. Spanel as president of the firm. Spanel's Earlier this week, associates title is board chairman. The is chartered in