4 Lt return

Route 8, Frederick, Hd. 21701 1/2/72

Dear Mr. Dinacci,

Your registered letter of the 21 was forwarded to me at the address at which we have lived for more than five years only because I was able to learn of it. The post office forwards mail for a year only. It took some doing to get your letter! From this I take it that you are not familiar with my more recent work, only some of which has been printed. One of the books is out of print. (Lists enclosed.)

I have continued my work since Whitewash II, the writing of which dates to the summer of 1966. There is now significant error in it, although there are a few places in which I was led astray by a lingering little trust in anything official.

I am familiar with the other works you mention having read. They are unoriginal, dated, seriously flawed or not worthy of serious consideration, depending upon the work.

However, it is possible that I have overlooked some thingsin this early work, my second, that I failed to mention certain pertinent facts, and that to date I have not caught up with them. However, if you have in mind what you have learned from the books you cite, this is not likely to be true and what they present as "facts" may appear to be because they are persuasively presented rather than because they are really facts.

If what you say you have is indeed factual and unknown to me, I would welcome it. If as is usually the case, it is a version of what has appeared in other writing, it would waste time at do not have to spare and your own. From your ellipsis it is impossible for me to form an opinion.

There is no need to write me registered. It slows the mail down and it is intellectually crippling to live in fear. And it costs you more. By own finances are so poor I can't really afford stamps.

None of those you mention, including the apologists, are longer active. I don't think any of the original critics besides me still is. If they are, I know of nothing new they have developed.

Infrequently I do hear from those who do have original information. It is sometimes of value, so I am not discouraging your writing me further if what you have in mind does not come from the works you list. If you have in mind my going to be York, it is now impossible for mebecause I have neither the time nor the money to spare.

I am pleased that you found my second book worthwhile. Thanks for taking the time to write me.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg





Mrs. Alfred Dinacci 653 Barlow Ave. Staten Island, N. Y. 10312

December 21, 1972

Dear Mr. Weisberg, I have just read your book," Whitewark II, which I read several times, and also the following books: "Warren Report, by N. y. Times; Kush To Judgment" by Mark Lane. Sex Seconds on Wallas by Josish Thompson"; lossessenation of John F. Stone", by Jem Gavison; "The Kennedy Conspiracy" by Paris Flammonde: a Positive appraisal of the Warren Report "by John Garrow", & "Four Days (Journal Cemerican), all over the past year. after reading Whitewash It's number of times over that you overlooked some very important details & failed to mention certain pertinent facts. I would sencerely like to discuss this matter with you since I feel behat it would be most help-Jul to you when you next write or book. You may already have knowledge of what I expect to reveal to you. However, if you don't I am certain that you would evelsome the facts

surrounding the conclusion I have arrived at There are many details that I would like to discuss with you If nothing comes of this, then there would be no harm in your contacting me. But, on the other hand if you agree with the information I have, then I am almost positive that it would help you in writing another Please know that I thoroughly enjoyed your information book and think that it was perhaps the hest among the others of have mentioned abone Hoping to hear from you, I remain Very truly yours, alfred Dinacci