Proll

Mr. Thos. F. Conley, FOIA Center U.S.Army Intelligence Agency Ft. Roads, Md. 20755 Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 6/22/77 IACL-CAF FPA-460 PA 77-137

Dear Mr. Conley.

There is such in your letter of June 21 I appreciate. There are also meanings in it I suggest the Army might want to appreciate.

Your letter opens with references that include referrals to you but not my last letter.

While it is generally andward for me to consult my files today it is impossible. If I have not told you I suffered soute thrombophlebitis in both lags and thighs. There has been permanent damage, permanent limitation. This always includes bending and equatting. For the past several days I've also had ambulatory difficulties. This seems I cannot cite nemes and dates in some enses. While I'm also getting eld and tired and my memory is not what it was I believe it has not pet failed me.

While I have no idea what is included in the files allegedly searched "under the various titles" in your 2. as "relating th the John F. Kennedy assessmention" I have been told before " that no Ammy intelligence investigative records exist." (My request was not limited to "Army intelligence investigative records." whetever this may encompase.)

You do not may that a) there never were any or b) they have been destroyed. But then this orime that negated an entire system of seciety is to you an "incident" and JYX is not once identified or described as a President. Henceholees your letter simply is not truthful when you refer to "the existence of any records relating to the incident" in seying there are none. There are. The Feedes of destruction do relate. Tears ago when I was told of this destruction (which I believe was not of all copies) I asked for and have not been given the records of the alloged destruction.

Impossible as it is to ball evo that the Army would destroy any records in any way relating to an event like a Presidential assausination, what as I to believe that any such destruction was elserly also illegal? There was an executive order requiring the transfer of all records to the Agtional Archives.

If I understand your abbreviations and bureassnatic structure correctly the index used includes only your own investigations. If we assume that this would not include the presence of one of your agents at the scene of the orise and actually confined for a while by the police perhaps that can explain your lack of citation of any record relating to Mr. Pesall, whether of an existing or a destroyed record. (You have sent no record of Sentruction, which is a record within my requests.) But I do not believe we can assume that this index includes records of other origin, also included within my requests. It also does not include what is not indexed in DCII and may be kept elsewhere. Who any 'hicego, Kinnespolis or Baltimore if not also Dallas.

I believe this constitutes less than a definitive response to any of my requests, including under PA.

In your 3. you refer correctly to correspondence of 1971 and 1972, if incompletely and limited to the non-responsive and the self-cerving. In this you also identify my requests as under the 1966 Act, which according to the Attorney General's Memorandum on it, the official interpretation of what it required of all agencies. I believe the current Act inposes the same oblightion but you ove me responsibilities under the 1966 Act. These included either obtaining relevant records for other spurces or referring my request to those sources. In this paragraph you limit yourself to James Fowell. In 4. you refer to the OASD letter of 5/31 to no but not to my response or correction. Nou then say that the "Mistory records" of Mr. Fowell's 112th ¹mbelligence Corps were searched and that they "revealed no information relating the the assessination." Fortage by this you also men to include no record of any FMI investigation, no record of any transformal of any kind of record, no receipt of any kind as well as that Army ¹ntelligence was totally indifferent when its agent was within the building from which the orime is officially stated to have been considted and had his camera with his while the building was sealed off and being searched.

There is, of course, the alternative that it hid and then destroyed any records.

About the assanciantion of its own Commander-do-Chief!

If this is true it certainly should be known, to the Congress and to the people.

When I first made these requests it became impossible for me to permists against what I regard as stonewalling and typical stonewalling. I then said nothing but I did distribute copies of what $\frac{1}{2}$ had been sunt referring to the destruction of records, as I will also de with this correspondence.

I would prefer to bolieve that these conducting the searches for you have not searched all available and known sources that to believe the Army had so little regard for either such a erime or its ean President/Commander-du#Chief. I would also like to hope that the records that did exist may still be tracked down and delivered.

L do not believe my request relating to Mr. Powell and his ploture(s) was limited Army/ to/"investigative records." I did not make this request of the Army alone. I do believe that the law required the Army to inquire where also it mow there were relevant record, that it did not and that it still over this to me under the Act(s). I think it worth noting that from about 1968 on there has been total official non-compliance with these requests.

"espite the kind of life I have led mince the President was assausinated, despite what ¹ have learned of efficialbebayier I would mee have believe impossible; I as shocked that you can write me in language that can be taken as disparaging to the President; that you describe this meet monstrous of erimes as no more than an "insident;" that you are less than forthright in not including either any reference to the alloged destruction of records or proof of such destruction; and that anyons reading your letter would be led to believe that there mover was any Army record that was in any way relevant.

Should I not also be shocked that the Army violated an executive order designed to prevent the possibility of precisely that which stune net

I containly would veloces any explanations other than these that you may provide. I would also approximite compliance with my FA request. From the recerds I have already obtained not from the Army there is no doubt it had records on me. As I believe I told you. I was a soldier, was cleared on security and then was given further clearance to handle classified materials. I know there now are supposed to be no records on me at the St. you's contar but I am confident the memory hole did not smallew all.

Sincerely,

Sarold Weisberg



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AGENCY US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND Fort george G. Meade, Maryland 20755

IACI-CAF

21 June 1977

SUBJECT: Freedom of Information Request

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Old Receiver Road Fredrick, Maryland 21701

L. References:

a. Your letter, dated 5 February 1977, to the Director, FOIA and Security Review, Office of The Assistant Secretary of Defense (OASD), in which you requested copies of records pertaining to yourself and also copies of records relating to the John F. Kennedy assassination. The Office of The Adjutant General, Department of the Army, by letter dated 8 June 1977, referred your letter to this office for appropriate action. This letter was received in this office on 10 June 1977.

b. Letter, FPA-460, Director, FOIA and Security Review, OASD, dated 31 May 1977, in which you were advised a search would be conducted by the Department of the Army, for records relating to your request.

2. Addressing specifically your request for information relating to the John F. Kennedy assissination, a check of the Impersonal Title Index of the Defense Central Index of Investigations (DCII) was conducted under various titles relating to the John F. Kennedy assassination, to determine the existence of any records pertaining to the incident. The results of the DCII check indicate that no Army intelligence investigative records exist concerning the John F. Kennedy assassination.

3. Regarding the reference to James Powell made in reference 1b above, as the Office of The General Counsel, Department of the Army, has previously advised you on 22 June 1971 and 16 February 1972, there are no Army reports, records or photographs of Mr. Powell's presence at the assassination scene.

4. In addition, the OASD, in their letter (reference 1b above), advised this office that contact with the National Archives indicated that information relating to the J. F. Kennedy assassination may exist in the records of the IACI-CAF SUBJECT: Freedom of Information Request 21 June 1977

112th Intelligence Corps, which in 1963-64 maintained offices in the Rio Grande Building, Dallas, Texas. Based on the above information, the 112th Military Intelligence Group history records maintained by the US Army Military History Institute, Carlisle Barracks, were retrieved and reviewed for any information relating to the J. F. Kennedy assassination. Review of the records revealed no information relating to the assassination.

5. Should you have any questions, you may contact the Freedom of Information Center, US Army Intelligence Agency, US Army Intelligence and Security Command, ATTN: IACI-CAF, Fort Meade, Maryland 20755. The telephone number is Area Code 301, 677-4501/4743.

2

FOR THE COMMANDER:

CONLEY THOMAS

Chief, Freedom of Information Center

CF: OASD (FPA-460) HQDA(DAAG-AMR-S) (PA 77-137)