Dear Paul,

Your mailing of the fourth, with letters of the 3rd and 4th end assorted other goodiest, arrived yesterday in a rather heavy mail some of which I had to tend to earlier today (having been unable to touch it yesterday), and I am strengely weary. There is so much good in your mailing I may not be able to address it all at once.

Broshears: glad to be kept up to date, but he is a terrible person, unscrupulous and not in any way helpful.

our note 10 27 to John. Highly thanks. That is it. The Arch sent me a copy with no identification of source.

First let me address the Davismon matter, without consuktation with the files, for I'm too tired to do the neding this require of me, and the moving. And in addressing it alone, in a sense I take it out of co text.

information comes from a number of reasons and from instincts, for it is in an area where I have had experience in the past and max recent experi mes you have not had. It stems only in part from mistrust of some of the people who might get it. here I know we are in disagreement, and since I cannot transpose my knowledge into your thinking beliefs and you are unwilling to know of it anyway, I evoid that, save in generalities.

This is one of the very most sensitive areas, if not the diggle most sensitive areas of official thin-skin reaction. I few of the things in this aspect of which you know are the Commission refused to examine the FMI or CIA files, when produced and the fact that the most crucial evidence was destroyed. Ou know enough of the dissembling, falsification and withholding, even from the Commission and you know the Commission was more than silent, was in accord. The entire matter of Oswald's federal connections is perhaps the poorest handled of all, and it is not by accident. It is potentially explosive, and it is the kind of thing (you may never have considered this) about which there might more readily be retaliation. They widn't go to all that trouble for nothing.

Now what I asked is not total silence. I asked for a "need to know" standard. This means that unless there is one who has been working in this area and is beyond any question completely trustworthy, both in integrity and judgement, he should be given nothing else. It is not the kind of things that should be sahred with those who are or may be at all mentally unbinged, who are blabber-mouths, or who might in any way make any use it all until the entire thing has been carried as far as we can. I will return to interviewing D, for I believe we are far from that point, that there is much to be ione, and "neve initiated some of it. I do not only urge this, " practice it. As an example, although " trust dick Pernable and like him personally, and although " know he is aware of this, " have not sent him what " have sent yourand Gary, and it certainly is not because I have any question about lick. It just serves no purpose to tell him, the mere act of communication can be a kind of jeopardy, and carelessness can result in word of it getting around.

What are the possibile dangers from word getting around? Well, we now have enough-at least I do, and have shared most of it with you- to make a prime facie case of Oswald's federal connection. There are many people with influence and certain capabilities and no reluctance to employ them who may, at the very least, be displeased about this. Displeasure is likely a very considerable

understatement. Second, there is a demonstrated closing of doors when we get floss to really meaningful things. I have experienced this quite often, and I think you are aware of it. When Rhoads writes me he will not let me have enything on the sutopsy other than the panel report and the GSA-family contract, both of which I have, he is frossly in violation of the law and I would presume he has not made this decision on his cwn....Someone is keepin very securate records of who is getting what, at least as it applies to me, for this is demonstrated, and I do not believe enyone is enxicus to do wested work, that this is the normal way that bureaucracy works. Thus, while we can assume someone may be analyzing the requests, to learn where they point, we need not assume it is in all cases d tected, not should we go out of our way to flag their interest, for this can lead to the withdrawal of what may exist of which we now have no knowledge. I believe you have no trouble understanding that the official representati n of the envisor matter is at the very best hardly a deministration of anything that can be termed sincereity or investigation. "y memo pointed out enough evidences of this. Is is no more because they are incompetent or careless then the 544 bit was (and we haven't finished with that, either). This is conscious coveringup. That meens someone had to know what was really involved, innocent or not. In short, while this doesnot exhaust the possibilities, I think it demonstrates what I om trying to convince you of, that this is a ticklish aspect where we run unnecessary risks in treating it with any looseness at allk. These jeorephize the success of the venture and it is neither parenoid nor unreasonable to consider other and more unwelcome consequences or retaliation. Because there is no prospect of accomplishing enything worthwhile in being immeture or overly-generous in gratuitous in distributing this material where it is not to be immediately used in secret and with discretion, by those willing to work toward full development of it and emotionally and intellectually capable of doing this with the greatest circumagection, what should prevail is the desireability of not running any unnecessary dangers, no metter how remote any one of us might consider them to be. If you get knocked on the head, it will be little comfort that in unintendedly causing it I had no evil intention ... If all of this is removed from your experiences do als with what your own experience and intilligence are unwilling to accept, I can only offer my assurances it is not unreal, not unusual and is, for the interests involved, in no sense unusual. I cannot convey all my experiences, recently and over 56 years, to you. But for whatever it is worth, I give you my most sincere assurances I have lived in this environment and have had recent experiences warranting usch expression to you. I think Cary will agree that there are recent evidence of mail interception, for exemple, and reason to believe it is not impossible that one of "us" who I have for long regarded as undependable at best is possessed of knowledge we cannot immediately account for in any other way.

Shorthand: if there is no certainly of need, don't. In too many other areas, there are powerful people who are getting up tight. Don't add this. We have made significant advances in knowledge. Let us all both survive them and accomplish what we want. Then you would with the appoke you are not dealing with the lawyers, the doctors, the civilized. Or the controlled or controlable. I could go much further with this but I have it isn't necessary. In gow I would think this should involve only you, I make Gary and me.

Now the vertaken one step outside of this. It was a decision I had to make on the spot and I did it. believing it is to a person I can trust an who can help us. It first of all is a person who may be available is wise isn't and may, in the long run, be better than Wise. His knowledge involves only me, and if there is any hazard, it will be to me and not you, for he knows nothing of our communication on this. More, he knows only the simplest: that there is this diary entry, he recognized the name and knew enough about it, he will consult an extensive potential source for us. How soon he can I do not know, but I do expect to hear from him soon and may learn of it. he is a reporter for a major newspaper. Not the -ondon 'imes man, of whom ' wrote earlier.

Next, we'll have to wait and see whether Bud can get a copy of the

ZXXXXX

British versions. If you can get the names of the various published works on this, I have other means, in Britsin, and I will try them. I think we should exhaust all source of available information before any final steps, and speaking to Davison is really a final one. There is much that can be learned before then, much that is really prerequeité to it. We gave to consider the possibility if not the probability he had an intelligence function, so he is not about to blab that he knew INO also had. Whatever the Russians put out on this we should all go over carefully, which means a translation. I would hardly expect anyone else to put much cut....

I think Kelley's MR 12/9 may well be worth considerable effort, if we learn only that it is classified ... s youself way Oswald would write a European 7: Would he?... I know of no limitation on embessy personnel imposed by either country, so there is no need for the doctor to be military, neither diplomatic nor budgetary. It may have worked out that way, but I doubt it. If it has no relevance in this case, it nonetheless gave Davison a recognized status others did not have. All in the verious military attaches' offices are intelligence. inisxis as normal as breathing.... In your comment on 3D337:4, I suggest you consider if there was the alightest reason of need for the TSI to be misdirected or permit itsadf to be, since it mad primary information eveilable. That it engages in this kind of pseudo-incompetence is in itself significant, as is want it could not leave out ad what it did. The connection of either Davison with the flussian Studies Institute is significant. This is one of the least popular areas of advanced study, with limited compercialization evailable. The biggest market for such training is federal. Whether 451 Feberside is an in ocent address is not material, for if it had meaning its innocence would be an esset not a lisbility. It is precisely the kind of address preferred. I grant it can be without meaning. That is not, though, is that after the bother was interviewed, there was, could are shoul have been any official doubt about the whereabouts of her sons. Not even the FBI at its worst can be that bad. ou should remember enough comparable situations covered in reports where, if someone sount was out of town, his return was a waited, willis was important, but his is a case that comes immediately to mind. That in this case they did not if he were only away briefly magnifies his importance and that of the voids in r porting. Ho you think he had so little contectxwith his other or brother that he was several months behind in all brother's location? I doubt it Then wak you self about the delays, when this was known immediately-by the people who hid mosty in that book.

Your next paragraph leaves unclear wheter you agree with my suggestion about not distributing or mentioning this, one of the reasons for the long foregoing further effort at explanation. However, I expect a graduate student at Columbia, a close friend and trusted men, former reporter, to spend the next weeked here and I'll go over this with him. If he can find time, he can do the checking there. .s is tas one responsible for several of our successes of the past, where he got others to speak to those who would not speak to me....Meanwhile, on e of the most secret installations is in the Charlottesville area. It is CIA. If Jim, who is wonderful at this, can check the yellow pages of the Charlottesville directory, it might be interesting-if he has no office for private practise. If I can think of it when I am near a pay phone, I'll try through information. But if there is no listing, I'd like a xerox of that page. The only people I know in that area ar- in a position where I should not ask this of them. However, if he is there, I think that at the right time I might accept their invitation to visit them! ... What is the listing for Alexis in the 1967 Amer. Med. Dir. Merely his name? ... offhand, I think checking the father is not worthwhile. We can assume he was anti-Soviet and a prominent man in his later uears, but this means nothing, really, except how the sons were indoctrinsted in their impressionable years ... I note in my file several 1965 clippings. This indicates to me that I was aware of the possible significance then end forgot it entirely, for I had no other reason for clipping this subject. I believe I also clipped the serialization, but I cannot find it. These and the condon limes clips are enclosed. haven't yet had time to read the. If you find enything of evlue that any reporter I might enlist would want to know, would you please make an extra copy for me for this purpose? Ditto for Cary if you think there is in them what he should have. Stopping for while.