11/8/69

## Dear Paul,

Your mailine of the fourth, with letters of the 3rd and 4th end assorted other goodiest, srived yesterdey in a rether heovy aill ame of Which + hed to teni to earlier todey (heving aen uneble to toch it yeaterday), and I an strangely weary. There is m much good in your mailing ${ }^{+}$may not be ablid to address it ell Et once.

Broshesrs: glat to be kept up to date, but he is a terrible person, unscrupulous and not in sny sey helvful.
our note 1087 to vohn. Higny thencs. That ia it. The irch sent me, a copy with no identification of zource.

Pirst let me addrese the davisan metter, without consuktation Nith the files, for I'm too tired to do the neding tais require of me, and the moving. and in sddressing it slone, in a sense I toke it out of co text.

Luy request of you and Gory that henceforth you no distrubute eny more information cones fromx a number of ressone and from instincts, for it is in on aree where have had experience in the post and wxe recent experi ne es ynu have not had. It'stems only in part iro mistrust of some of the people who might get it. Here I know we ore in disegreement, and aince I cennot trunspose my knowledge into your thinking beliefs snd you are unwilling to knoy of it any ay, I evoid that, save in generslities.

This is one of the bery most senstitive areas, if not the igele most sonsitive aress of of ciciel thin-skin resction. fem of the thing in tais aspect of which you kno are the comiasion refused to oxamine the pgi or IIA files, when produced and tae fact that the most crucial evidence wea destroyed. tou know enough of tha dissembline, falaificstion and withaoldine, ev $n$ fro the Comisaion ond 7 ou know the comisaion wes more then silent, nas in accord. The entire matter of oswald'd federel connections is corthpe the pooreat handed of gil, ond it is not by accident. It is potentiolly explosive, ond it is the kind of thing (you may never beve considered this) about wich there might more readily be reteliation. hey inn't go to all that trouble for nothing.

Now what I esked is not total silence. I saked for a need to know" atandard. This means that unless there is one who has been working in this area and is beyond any question completazy trustworthy, both in integrity end judgement, he should be givan nothing else. It is not the kind of cininge that should be aghred with those who are or may be st ell mentally uningad, who are blabbermouths, or who micht in ny way neke eny use $t$ oll until the entire thing hes been carried gs far as we can. I will return to intervienine D, for 1 believe we are far frow that point, that there ia much to be ione, and - nave initiated aome of it. I do not only urge taia, 1 practice it. as on axample, although + truat Dick Sernabis and like biti personally, and although ${ }^{+}$know he is aware of tois, - have not sent his what - have sent yousand Gary, and it certeinly is not becsuse heve ony uestion about lek. It just sorves no purpose to tell kim, the mere act of commuication car be kind of jeopardy, end coreleseness can result in word of it getting oround.

What are the poscibile dangers from word getting around? vell, we now heve enough-at least I do, and theve shared mnst of it with you- to make a prime facie csse of oswald's federel connection. There gre misny people with influence and certain capebilities an no reluctance to enply them who suy, at the very lesst, be displeased sbout this. Displeasure is likely a very considerable
ynderstatement. Second, there is a demonstrated closine of doors when we get Closs to really meaningful taings. I have experiences tuis quite often, and I think you are aware of it. When fhoads wites ne he will not let me have anything on the eutopsy other then the penel report ond the GSA-fumily contract, bnth of which I have, he is frussly in violation of the law and I would presure be has not made this decision on hie cwn....Someons i k epin" very securate records of who is getting whet, st least es it ppplies to me, for tiis is demonstrated, ond I do not believe nyone is nxicus to do westel whrk, thet this is the normel way that bureaucrecy worize. Thus, hile ne can ssaume soineone may bo anslyzing the requests, to learn where they point, wheed not assune it is in all cases d tected, not shoula we go out of our wey to flog their interest, for this can lead to the withdrawal of what may exiat oi which we now ase no knoaledee. I believe you have no trouble understending tiat the official representeti n of the 4 aison anttor is at the very bast hardily a dem natration of anytaing that can be termed sincereity or investigstion. "y memo pointad out enngh evidences of tais. I's is no more becouse they ar incomoetent or carelegs then the 54.4 bit was (and we heven't finished with that, either). This is conecious coveringup. That mens someone had to know what was reelly involved, innocent or not. In short, while this doe nnot exheust the possibilities, I thin's it demenstrates whet I sm trying to convince you of, that this is a ticklish aspect where wo run unneceesary risks in tresting it with any lonseness at allz. These jeorgpdize the success of the venture and it is neither parenold nor unreasonsble to consider other and more unwelcome consequences or retaliation. Becsuse there is no prospect of scemplishing anything worthwhile in ceing immature or overiy- cenerous in grotuitous in distributine this meterisl there it is not to be immediately uaed in secret and with discretion, by those willing to work toward full development of it and enotionslly and intellectual. 8 capable of doing this with the gre thest circumpection, whet should prevsil is the desiresbility of not runine ony unnecessary dengers, no matter how remote any on of ue might eonider them to be. If you get knocked on the bead, it will ce little comfort thot in unintendedly cousing it i had no evil intention....If all of tisis is removed frol gour experiencen dels with whet your own experience snd int lligence ere unwilling to gecept, I cen only of er my sssurances it is not unreal, not unususl and is, fo the interests involved, in no sense unusual. I cannot convey 11 my expe iences, recentiy and over 50 yeers, to you. But for whetever it is zorth, I give you my most sincere eseurances I hsve lived in this enviromment snd have had recont experiences werranting uach expression to you. I think Gary will sgree that there are recent evidence of mail interception, for exemple, ani resson to believe it is not impossible thst one 0." "us" tho I hove for lonz ragarded as undependsble at best is mosessed of momleige we cannot imnoist ly account for in sny other way.

Shorthend: if there is no certainly of noed, don't. In too meny other areas, there are powerful people ano are gatting $u_{k}$ ight. Lon't udi tuis. e hove nade aignificant advancea in knowledge. Let us ull both eurvive them and secomplish shot we mant. hen $y$ ou de. 1 with tae appose zou are not deeling with the lawyers, the doctors, tiae civilized. I the controlled or controleble. I could go much further with this but aope it isn't necesegry. s if now 1 would think this should involve only you, - im, Gary shd me.

Now + heve taken one sten out ide of this. it wes a decision I had to make on the spot ond I did it. beli ving it is to a person I cen trust on who
 mey, in tia lane run, be better than 7 ise. Is mowledge involves only ne, and if there is sny hazard, it will be to me and not $y \sim u$, for he trows nothinf of our comunicotion on this. Nore, ne knows only the simplest: thet there is this diary entry. he recognize the nome and knew enough about it, he will congult an extensive potential source for ue. now son te can I do not know, but 1 do expect to hear from uin soon end nay learn $2 i t$. he is a ruporter for major newspeper. Not tie ondon ${ }^{\text {imes } m a n \text {, of waom }+ \text { wrote earlier. }}$

Next, we'll hyve to wait snd see whether bui csn get a copy or the

British versions. - $f$ you esa get the names of the various published works on this, $\perp$ Lave other means, in iritsin, and 1 will try them. I think we should exheust ell source of avsilable informetiof before any finsl atops, snd speaking to Davison is reelly a final one. There is nuch that can be laerned before then, much thet is really prerecualt to it. We gave to consider the pagsibility if not the probsbility tu hai in intelligence function, so be is not obout to bleb thet be knew $1 H 0$ elso had. Whatever the Fussians put out on this se should s 11 zo over csrefully, whicn means a tranclution. I woull harily expect onyone else to put muei cut....
I tuink Kelley's MF $12 / 9$ may well be rorth consideryble effort, if we lesm only tat $1: i a \operatorname{classified....s~youself~why~oswald~mould~write~a~uropean~}$ 7. Would he?...I know of nh limitation on embsesy personnel imposed by either country, so there is no neod for the doctor to be military, wei her diplomatic nor budectary. It may have worked out tuat voy, but I doubt it. If it has no relevance in tais cese, it nonetheless gave savison a recognized status others did not heve. 11 in the verious military ottachos' offices are intelligence. -ifisxia as norisal sa breatining.... In your comment on : D337:4, I sug.tat you consider if there wha the lightest reason of need for the rgI to be miadirected or permit itadef to be, aince it aad primery information aviluble. That ith engages in $t$ is kind of paoudo-incompetence is in itaelf significent, sa is mant it, caul. not leeve out ad what it did. The connection of oither Devison with the fusaian Studies Inatitute is aignificant. This is one o the lesst popular ereas of advanced study, witu limited comercialization uvailable. he bigasest market for such treining is federsl. Whether 431 Fitberaide is on in ocen address is not materisl, for if it tod meaning ita in ncence would be an esset not o lisbility. It is prosisely the kind? address referred. I grant it can ke जitiout menning. hat is not, though, is that fter the mother wad int-rviawed, there Was, could of stoul he beon gny of icid doubt sbout, the wheresiouta of ier anns. Vot even the DBI 时its worat csa be thst bad. Ou should remember enough comparabls situations covered in raporte arere, if somonne seu tit was out ni town, hia return wao uasted. illia was inartant, but hiz ia a case tast cones immediately to mind. hat in $t .1 s$ case thay did not if he were only avay briefly magnifies his iuportance ont that $f$ ithe voids in $r$ porting. Do you think $h$ hed so littie contactxw th ita other or brother that ho wee several ronths behind in al. brotuer's loestion + doubt it.... Then sk youseli about the delayg, when this was known imaediately-by the poople who hid liesty in trist book.
Your nixt peragrpph leaves unclaar wheter you seree with my gicesestion about not diatributin or mantioning tils, one of the reasna for the lont foregint further effort at explenstion. Llowever, I expect a eraduate atudent at Uolumbl, a cloae iriend and truated ren, fomer ractrter, to geend tha next weeked here and I'll go oter thia with hin. If he csn find tine, he can do the checking thers. a is tas one responalble for severel of our successes of the pest, where be got others to speak to thage who would not speok to me.... Meanwhile, on e of the most secret instgilations is in the Charlottesville sres. It is CIf. If Jim, tho is wonderful at this, can chock the yellow pages of the charlottesville directory, it might be interestinc-if he has no office for privste practise. If I can think of it zaen I sh neer a pay phone, I'll try through iniormetion. But if there is no liating, I'd like a xerox of that page. The only people I know in that area ar in a position where I should not ask thi of them. fiowever, if he is there, I think that at the right time I might accept their invitation to visit themb... What is tiae liating for Alexis in the 1967 me. Med. Dir. Merely his name?...offhand, I think checkins the father is not wortiowhile. We can ossune he wos antiBoviet and a prominent man in his later vears, cut this meane nothine, reelly, except how the sons were indoctrinsted in their impresaionable yeers... I note in ny file several 1965 cilpinge. This indicetes to me that I wes avare of the possible significance then end forgot it entirely, for I had no other reason for cllpping tais subject. I believe I Blsn clipred the a riglization, but I connot finsit. These and the ondon limes clips are onclosel. + hoven't yet had time to read the. If you find gnything of svilue thet any reporter I might enlist would wont to knom, would you please make an extre copy for me for this purpose? Ditto for Gary if you think there is in them hat he shoult heve. Stpppine for while.

