

ML
8/11/67

Dear Mr. Davidson,

Your point on the film is well taken. This is one of the problems of being 100% of an involuntary publishing enterprise. I meant the effect in the 16 mm version was that of...;

I was aware of the possibility these could be sizes other than our own, although some do not fit that. However, this is no explanation for his preparing for his arrest by having such notations in his pocket, when virtually all the others are consistent and have significance. ...I do appreciate the type list. I'll check it against my own edition to see if those errors are Dell's or mine. They apparently did no editing at all.

Thanks also for the possession chain note on 399. On the Sibert O'Neill report, it is inconceivable to me that no pictures and X-rays of the back were taken. I have not lost my interest or finished my work in this. If you are getting only the reprints, it will be some time before you see my latest work, PHOTOGRAPHIC WHITEASH and longer on the next book, coming soon, which will have a considerable amount of data bearing on the autopsy, new stuff, that is. I think all major X-rays and pix were taken before the examination began.

The doctors did see these film where they were returned to the government. I'll have more on that, too.

Your conclusions are my own. I wish the people of influence, especially the people who control the media, felt so.

If you have an extra copy of the booklet, "Stifle the Legend", I'd appreciate it.

Your enclosures are interesting.

Sincerely yours,

Harold Weisberg