

Dear Jim,

3/26/85

I've read the printed material received today and referred to in an earlier note. I have a few comments.

McCoy's Marseilles chapter is consistent with my recollection of what the NSA was up to then (except that there was a wider and deeper intrusion into French domestic affairs not relevant to what he was saying). Contemporaneously there was quite a commotion over interference in labor matters. Irving Brown developed into the man in charge of labor and related operations in at least Europe and Northern Africa (he also had access to the diplomatic pouch) and he was the equivalent for his territory of Serafino Romualdi for Latin America.

Crile began his Outlook piece on Concin quoting CIA sources on how crazy Concin was but he has little on Concin's craziness in and for CIA. Some might be of interest to you.

The copy of the Weicher memo in an article contains no source or author and + do not need either. I was particularly interested in Colson's fixation on Richard Ober. You may recall my interest in him and his antecedents and activities. That Hunt was feeding large packages of material to Ober is provocative. Ober then, I think, was Angleton. I think also that he was forced out with Angleton and along with some or all of his then staff, including people like Jeanne Davis, shifted over to the NSA.

Best,

In the FBI's Conducting Research In FBI Records, their listing of King assassination records includes nothing we did not force disclosed and does not include all we did, as best I can tell. This may have significance so many years after we filed suit.