Dear Mary,

We did enjoy your visit very much, and it helped us also to relax a bit with a friend, not to "work".

Enclosed is a copy of the letter I felt I had to write Sylvia lete lest night, when I was tied. After I wroteit I was again sleeplass, which is exceptional. I'm sneding Gery a copy of that also. But nobody else.

Apropos of Bud and the Bud problem, the letter to which I referred while we were driving to the sirport are enclosed. If you must to keep them, okey, but I'd prefer that you just know, for I would prefer to keep these things to the minimum. I have gone over my financial records and I assure you I did not make any mistake in what I told you. He has never given me a cent, for me. The cost of the most for the press conference, which he decided he didn't wont it in his office, was \$30.00. So, I ar left with the problem of considering what he considers what is ay due, or what I'm entitled to, in the light of what is must present in court what comes from my dook. As you know, when he called he indicated there was no rush, but when the second-hand report from Spregue got here yesterday it was three weeks. Today I aaked Bud. It is 9/15, end the book cannot even be in type by them.

Meanwhile, knowing as you do the content of CGUP II, and I tell you it is the easence, and that I got him his client, this giving him both his case and his evidence, I suggest you road the Enquirer story you got have and ask yourself if he isn't saying that his committee and he did these things with thick shey had no more connection than you. How long I can continue to accept this kind of thing and retain any cell-r spect I do not know.

Eat a more important question is how much of this kind of thing can we survive? It was different when I could print my work myself. Now I not only cannot but must spend a very considerable amount of time cleaning up needless measers and in attempting to prevent the catestrophes the egos and the muts spend so much time designing.

I've just glanced at my letter of 7/28, and I report to you that he did not pay for the costs of filing the clothing/pictures suit but asked it of my end I immediately gave him e check. I suggests this slac gives us a perspective on his committee and its real function. This eliminates the possibility that it is to edvence the work we ell do. It thus becomes an ego thing for him and possibly some of them. <u>However</u>, where this cost includes the mailing of a copy of the suit made an exceptional error, the only conclusion I can draw is that they were returned to his committee (he had no knowledge this s.m.0. Jim Lessr took them to the court for me. This was necessary only because they did not keep their expointment with me, delaying everything for several hours (that I wasted) until it was too late to get them to court that day, hence Jim offered to do it the next morning on the way in. It has just occurred to me that, with Jim having given me the number of the suit, he likely got it from the returned papers.

While I think you know I am reaching the end of what I can tolerate and I think most people would have reached that point long before this - you and Gary have my word I will do what I can to avoid any complications or unpleasantnesses, so that we may still be able to work togather.

By the way, Bud said he phoned several times and there was no answer. I said we went out only for suppor and he said that is when he phoned. Best,