Mr. Mcnry Wade Bistriot Attorney Dullaus Jesses

Dour Herry.

The records I send you rem time to time are far from all that relate to you.

At I read them I make duplicate copies for subject filing, meetly for historical purpose because the sheer volume of all of them defies access and nebody will take all the time required. Some of the copies, like the enclosed, are a guide to future scholars who can use them to locate other such outbursts.

They also run a real vendetta against Jesse Curry over the disclosure of the Revill affidavit giving his version of what Hosty said that first day. That was one of the most tender alvas and of greatest even-reaction. If it interests you er if Hrs. Revill is still your sometary, there is abundant confirmation of the shwill version in the 1975 self-daysetication despite the great officers the largestion Division made to restrict and control it. I have a separate and very large file of copies if there is ever any interests.

Ther is a real question in my minds about how much in control Heover actually was and how much he was controlled in subtle ways by those Schott calls "the palege gaurd." A cureful reading of the enclosed by those who are amove of the actualities and of the times can raise such questions. I believe these ware designed to amove and control Loover. To a degree also Tolama. They had this offent anyway.

From other records I believe I sent you it is clear that many months before these FHIHQ was well aware that you had had nothing to do with Mill Alumnder's leaking to Hugh Aynessorth. But you'd never tell if from the emplosed.

after the assessmentation with Malley. Of the deplicate fillings indicated for 4632 62-109090 is the Commission file, 94 is the Deleach/"Crime Records"/ public relations file and if the other number begins with 67 that is personnel records. If it is a 62, which means administrative mattern, I am not familiar with that particular file. This was sent to be included in your personnel file in any sweet, common practice of that period. The initials indice these of Meover, Telesco and Belment, 100-10461 is the main Dellas "Ossald" file.

Se now you know - you were "negligent" and a "thorn in the side."

Bout 'tcishes,

Harald Vedsbore

# 1emorandu**m**

Mr. Belmont

A. Roset

7

DATE August 3, 1964

1 - C. D. DeLoach

1 - W. C. Sullivan (R. E. Lenihan) Trong

1 - I. W. Conrad (L. L. Shaneyfelt)

- Mr. Belmont

1 - Mr. Rosen

UBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, AKA. 1 - Mr. Malley IS - R - CULA

1 - Mr. Shroder

1 - Mr. Rogge

This is being prepared in connection with the leak of the Onwald diary to "The Dallas Morning News" and "Life" magazine.

## **PURPOSE**

To recommend the attached airtel be sent turning down the suggestion of our Dallas Office that the Commission be requested to officially advise Dallas District Attorney Henry hade of information previously furnished the Commission concerning our Laboratory's establishing that "Life" magazine" copies of the diary originated with Wade's Office.

### DETAILS

Dallas by tel 7-29-64, suggested the Bureau request the Commission to advise Wade of our Laboratory's findings establishing that "Life" magazine's copies of the diary originated with Wade's Office and that we be permitted to orally advise Wade that the Commission would so advise him. Dallas pointed out that the reason for this suggestion was that should the results of this examination become known to Kade officially or unofficially, the Bureau would be put in an embarrassing position and further that Wade had fully cooperated in this particular inquiry

# BACKGROUND

F. 109

a AUG 11 1964

Bureau letter 7-27-64, advised the Commission our Laboratory had established "Life" magazine's Xerox copies of the diary originated from 35 mm. film maintained by the Dallas District Attorney's Office. In addition five latent. prints were developed on Xerox copies of the diary from the

Enclosure

1 - 62-109090 (President's Commission)

RDR: las.

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. Belmont RE: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, AKA.

District Attorney's Office and one latent was identified the left thumb print of William Franklin Alexander, who may be identical with Assistant Dallas District Attorney Alexander. Our investigation strongly suggests that Alexander may be responsible for this leak, although he denies same.

Since the initiation of this investigation District Attorney hade has been a thorn in the side of the Bureau by his impulsive manner of making inaccurate press releases resulting in confusion. Wade's Office has been negligent in the handling of evidence and he has shown a lackadaisical attitude in this regard. Further, in his testimony before the President's Commission Wade raised several points which apparently were construed by the Commission to substantiate uninformed charges that Oswald could have been an informant of this Bureau. This necessitated an unusual amount of work on our part to refute.

#### **OBSERVATIONS**

We are under no obligation to Henry Wade or the Dallas District Attorney's Office to advise them of the results of our investigation. This particular inquiry was made at the specific request of the President's Commission and Wade as well as members of his staff know that the results were furnished to the Commission. It is also pointed out Wade would no doubt humediately advise William Alexander of his staff concerning owr findings. Whether Wade learns of our findings officially brounofficially is of no concern to us and how the Bureau can be embarrassed by such a disclosure as suggested by Dallas is not apparent. Although Wade has cooperated in this particular inquiry, it is still not felt the suggestion of Dallas has any merit.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

That the attached airtel turning down the suggestion made by Dallas be sent pointing out to Dallas the impropriety of this suggestion.

- C. D. DeLoach - W. C. Sullivan (R. E. Lenihan) - I. W. Conrad (L. L. Shaneyfelt) - Mr. Belmont Airtel - Mr. Rosen EX 103 1 - Mr. Malley 1 - Mr. Shroder SAC, Dallage (100-10461) - Mr. Rogge Tot Director, FBI (105-82555). Fromt LER HARVEY OSWALD, AKA. IS - R - CUIM ATTENTION: CRIMINAL SECTION RoDLtel 7-29-64, concerning the leak of the Oswald diary to "The Dallas Morning News" and "Life" magazine. Your suggestion to advise Dallas District Attorney Henry Wade of the findings of our Laboratory does not appear to have any merit and will not be acted upon. As you are well aware, Wade has created an unusual amount of trouble for this Bureau by his unwarranted and impulsive press releases, his lackadaisical manner in handling his own office, which has resulted in the similar handling of evidence and further, has made statements before the President's Commission concerning the Bureau's informant program which were unfounded. We are under no obligation to advise the Dallas District Attorney's Office of the results of this matter and Wade in fully aware that our investigative results are furnished to the Commission. The other observations made by you in retel are being handled separately. REC. UNIT 1 - 62-109090 (President's Commission) RDR:1 hs (13)Secondo Rosen to Belmont 8-3-64, RDR:188. NOTE: WAILED TO IN 31964 NUG COMMABI M. ii se da akq