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"A CONSPIRACY IS RARELY, IF EVER, PROVED BY POSITIVE TESTIMONY. WHEN A CRIME OF HIGH MAGNITUDE IS ABOUT TO BE PERPETRATED BY A COMBINATION OF INDIVIDUALS, THEY DO NOT ACT OPENLY, BUT COVERTLY AND SECRETLY. THE PURPOSE FORMED IS KNOWN ONLY TO THOSE WHO ENTER INTO IT. UNLESS ONE OF THE CONSPIRATORS BETRAY HIS COMPANIONS AND GIVE EVIDENCE AGAINST THEM, THEIR GUILT CAN BE PROVED ONLY BY CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.

IT IS SAID BY SOME WRITERS ON EVIDENCE THAT SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES ARE STRONGER THAN POSITIVE PROOF. A WITNESS SWEARING POSITIVELY, IT IS SAID, MAY MISAPPREHEND THE FACTS OR SWEAR FALSELY, BUT THAT CIRCUMSTANCES CAN NOT LIE."

Special Judge Advocate John A. Bingham
--- during the trial of the conspirators
Washington, D.C. 1865

FOREWARD

Almost five years ago, a middle-aged man riding with a very lovely lady in a Presidential automobile, was shot and instantly killed on Elm Street in Dallas, Texas.

Because of the volumes of data and speculation now in print, it may be concluded that this was very probably the most famous murder in history. In spite of errors in both logic and physics, most Americans believe that they have a pretty good idea of how it all happened. Few, if asked, can advance any logical motive for the murder. Most are satisfied to dismiss it with a simple shrug of the shoulders.

Surprisingly, almost every account of the event, from the now generally suspect WARREN REPORT down to the most obscure speculator in print, contains enough of these errors and contradictions to discredit the work and open to question either the motives or the ability of the author.

Unlike most such authors, I pretend neither unfathomable wisdom nor special political sophistication. I claim neither privileged inside information as does Manchester, nor do I command the resources of investigative agencies. I am not, however, as gullible as the WARREN COMMISSION presumes. I simply believe that you will find the following to be thought-provoking, not because its implications can in any way help the man who now lies buried in Arlington, but because it will cast a spotlight upon the evil influences which now control the Government of the United States.

Nord Davis, Jr.
issued a statement:

"Bigot", according to the lexicon of the far right, means "Patriot" or "anti-Communist". They seldom use it in any other context. Well, no sooner had the Chief Justice issued that unfounded statement, than the Communist Lee Harvey Oswald was captured. Earl Warren was on the spot. Imagine, the President, Mr. Earl Warren, intended to refer to the actual assassin or to the "climate" which motivated the assassin. "Bigot", according to the lexicon of the far right---the Communists and their friends---is plural indicating two or more. It is not of concern just now whether the Chief Justice, Mr. Earl Warren, intended to refer to the actual assassin or to the "climate" which motivated the assassin. "Bigot", according to the lexicon of the far right, means "Patriot" or "anti-Communist". They seldom use it in any other context. Well, no sooner had the Chief Justice issued that unfounded statement, than the Communist Lee Harvey Oswald was captured. Earl Warren was on the spot. Imagine, the only person captured with a firearm anywhere near the scene of the murder was a Communist, not one presumes, a Bigot. Trapped in a situation such as this, Mr. Warren's flexible mind came up with the answer: Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone. You must get the proper perspective. Here was Communist Oswald who had renounced his American citizenship and gone to the Soviet Union. He chose to live in Minsk, the same town, as chance would have it, where the Soviet Secret Police-Unit 13, maintained their School for Assassins. While there, he married a Soviet national, a girl who just happened to live with her uncle, a Colonel in the Soviet KGB. Some time later, Communist Oswald, now a family man with one child, left the Soviet Union bound for America, bringing with him two Soviet citizens—his wife and child. From the Soviet point of view, this was a highly irregular procedure. Have you ever heard of any other Soviet citizens who have been so fortunate? And this was arranged for the Communist Oswald by our U.S. Embassy in Moscow. The trip, as well as some future monetary needs, was financed by a special State Department loan personally cleared by the Secretary of State himself, Dean Rusk. (Dean Rusk, as you recall, has always been in the Marxist movement and was a Director of the Communist Front, THE INSTITUTE FOR PACIFIC RELATIONS). Anyway, this lone assassin managed to travel here and there about the country with no means of support anywhere close to the amounts of money he obviously spent. One might expect this man with his "progressive" background might settle near Harvard or Columbia where he would find companionship among others of his own kind. Of all places, Communist Oswald decided to settle in Dallas, a city well known for its unusually high proportion of patriotic, anti-Communist Americans. Luckily, Communist Oswald happened to be fortunate enough to secure a job in a building overlooking the President's route a few weeks before that route was made public. Communist Oswald, who had gone through basic training in the U.S. Marine Corps and certainly knew about rifles, bought a mail order gun. Knowing that this gun could not be carried with him on his flight from the Texas School Book Depository, he would certainly have assumed that the weapon would be found no matter how carefully he hid it, yet somehow he never suspected that the gun could be traced to him! And of course being an expert on guns, and needing the very best for the most important job of his life---to murder the President of the United States---Communist Oswald carefully selected his gun. From a KLEIN'S SPORTING GOODS advertisement offering a wide selection of quality firearms, he selected a piece of junk, a vintage 6.5mm Mannlicher Carcano Italian Carbine. With this piece of junk, an inaccurate short-barrelled rifle with a long, clumsy bolt action especially awkward for a left-hander, left-hander Oswald took his position in the Book Depository. He figured himself such a crack shot that he took with him only four bullets. No more were ever found. If he missed his target with these four bullets, he would still expect to serve a life term for attempted murder. Should he hit his target and be captured, he could expect to be executed under Texas law. Certainly he couldn't really expect to get away, not with a mail-order gun left behind trappable to him. Even the odds of being able to escape the Depository building would seem rather high. Are we to believe that Communist Oswald took all this risk with a rifle and scope that had a combined value of less than $20? Now, I'm just a country boy passing through life, but if I were thinking of taking a pot-shot at the President and had the money that Oswald had, I would have purchased a very common modern rifle through a private sale and with cash. I would have selected a semi-automatic rifle capable of putting out a lot of bullets in a very short time. There are many of these available, and if I had been a Marine I might have selected the U.S. M-1 GARAND, a
As the long arm of coincidence would have it, another "lone assassin" somehow managed to get through the tight security at the Dallas police station at just the right moment to be filmed on nationwide television so that everyone could see that the first "lone assassin" had been killed. No conspiracy could have done a better job of eliminating the chief suspect before he could talk, than this strange coincidence...

Whether or not Communist Oswald was part of a conspiracy to murder the President is vitally important. If Oswald was not just a "lone assassin" then there are others still free and the case is not closed. Logically, if Oswald and Ruby were just the lone assassins in two different murders, which the WARREN COMMISSION says that they were, why should there be any point to the COMMISSION withholding vital data? Why should some of the data be kept secret for a century or more?

Who are they protecting? And why?

I think that by the time you have read this issue of PARDON ME, but... you will have an answer. I think that you will agree that Oswald did not act alone, but as part of a very well-drilled team. I believe that you will be convinced that a conspiracy to kill the President did exist. I intend to show that another conspiracy existed: that of the WARREN COMMISSION to cover up important details, to fail to question important eyewitnesses, and to deliberately alter vital photographs causing them to be printed as authentic in LIFE MAGAZINE. I will show that this was done in an effort to keep from the public the identity of the actual murderer who has not been arrested. Finally I hope to demonstrate that these two conspiracies are, in fact, related.

THE FIRST PIECES OF EVIDENCE

When one accuses the WARREN COMMISSION, in print, of a conspiracy to falsify the evidence to which it had been entrusted, he must be able to prove that statement. I am prepared to do just that. The space limitations of this special issue prevent me from exhausting all of the areas involved.

It must be remembered that the WARREN REPORT was the conclusion of the WARREN COMMISSION based upon the evidence which they found in the hearings. The complete text of the hearings fill 26 volumes of testimony by the various witnesses. The WARREN REPORT was the unanimous conclusion of all members of this bi-partisan panel. There were no dissenting opinions, which in itself seems quite unique. It should also be remembered that the Congress, the Senate, the FBI, the CIA, and the Secret Service already had professional investigative agencies established. One of these, it would seem, should have been assigned to do this important task instead of a quickly formed panel of amateurs. It is interesting to note that the first issue of the Official Communist newspaper, THE WORKER, printed after the murder, dated Nov. 26, 1963, called for an EXTRAORDINARY COMMISSION headed by Chief Justice Earl Warren, to investigate this crime.
President Johnson did just that four days later. I have reproduced the rare clipping from the Communist Worker here so that you can see for yourself that the Communists wanted Earl Warren to do the investigating.

Now the first piece of evidence showing that there are physical errors in the Warren Report can be seen by comparing the complete Altgens photograph as it appeared on pages 24 and 25 of the Saturday Evening Post dated Dec. 14, 1963 (which is reproduced above and on the next page) with the photograph from the air of Dealey Plaza which appeared on page 47 of Life Magazine dated October 2, 1964 which came out after the conclusions of the Warren Commission were announced. [see page 6]

Please note that the Warren Report states that the man beside the lovely lady was shot at the two locations along Elm Street marked with an "X". If you will look carefully, you will notice that these two points are essentially along the straighter portions of this street as evidenced by the almost straight curb line. Do you see that? Now look at the famous Altgens photograph. This is the first known picture of the motorcade after the first shots were fired. Note that the Secret Service personnel riding on the right side of the Presidential follow-up car (2nd car) are both looking in the general direction of the
This picture was taken by Associated Press Photographer James Altgens and was printed as shown above in the SATURDAY EVENING POST on December 14, 1963.

I call your attention to the fact that because of the distance which Altgens stood and the long focal length of his lens, this picture is perspective-sharpened. The perspective-sharpening tends to exaggerate the curve of the curb making it appear to be the curve at the corner of Elm and Houston Streets. This is not that corner, but the curved portion of the curb further up the street. This can be determined by drawing a tangent from the curb to the vertical white trim on the building behind the 4th car. This curb that you see here, while it looks like it is about 10 feet in length, is at least 40 feet long as you can see. It is as long as the two cars and the space between them. You can get your bearings also by noting the shadow of the tree across the road and noting where that tree is located relative to the north point on the enclosed map.

Book Depository. Note that the Vice-President’s follow-up car [4th car] shows a state of alarm. See that the left rear door is open and an agent is getting out. Note the inclination of this car showing that the brakes are being applied and the car is dipping to the front. Checking the various landmarks, between the two pictures, you can see that this 4th car has just barely turned onto Elm Street at the time of this picture. By looking through the windshield of the Presidential car [1st] you will see the gloved hand of the pretty lady holding the left arm of the man hit by the gun fire. Do you see that? Thus you can see that the man beside the pretty lady had been hit earlier than the instant of the ALTGENS photograph for the man and the lady both to have had time to react to the bullets. Now by scanning across the front bumper of the Presidential car to the curb, you will observe that this picture was taken at a time when the Presidential car was still opposite the curved outward portion of the curb. Thus you can see for yourself that the first shots were fired, not half-way down Elm Street as the WARREN REPORT claims, but sometime just after the Presidential car had rounded the corner onto Elm Street.

Now, while carefully examining the Altgens photograph, note that there is a tree in full foliage just behind the agents on the right side of the 2nd car. This tree is interposed, [and surely was several seconds before this picture was taken], between the Presidential car and Oswald’s position on the 6th floor of the Book Depository building. Although you can not determine it for sure with just this picture, this tree obscures Oswald’s line of
sight at the time the bullets must have been fired. This assumption is born out in the
WARREN REPORT on page 98, the last four lines:

"It is probable that the President was not shot before [Zapruder movie] frame 110 since it is unlikely that the assassin would have shot him with the view obstructed by an oak tree when he was about to have a clear opportunity."

Frame 210 is supposed to be the position of the first "X" in the above picture. Since the ALTGENS picture proves conclusively that the first shots were fired just after the Presidential car had rounded the corner, some time before the ALTGENS picture was taken, and not half-way down Elm Street, I wish to show you the first evidence of a tampered photograph. The Official WARREN REPORT, page 113, chops off the ALTGENS photograph at the point where I have drawn the solid white line, thus eliminating the curb portion, the significant details of the 4th car, and the shadow of the tree in the street which locates the position of the cars at this time. This "official" chopped-off ALTGENS picture also was offered as authentic on page 50A of LIFE Oct. 2, 1964.

This is, in my opinion, a key point in the solution to this murder. Thus I regard the altering of this picture as being very interesting as you will see...

THE SECOND INTERESTING POINT

Probably the most important part of this study lies in the number and the direction of the shots fired. The WARREN REPORT says that only three shots were fired, stating:

"The consensus among the witnesses at the scene was that there were three shots fired. However, some heard only two shots, while others testified that they heard four shots, and perhaps as many as five or six shots."

The COMMISSION based their decision on the fact that only three shell cases were found at Oswald's position. However, you will see that the COMMISSION wove the story to fit what it wanted to prove: That Oswald acted alone.

Here is the problem with Warren's story. According to the WARREN REPORT, the man beside the lovely lady was hit with two of the three shots. According to Warren, one hit him in the back of the head and the other hit him in the back, 5 and 3/8" below the collar. The third shot, according to Warren, missed completely. Oswald's fourth bullet was never fired. With that in mind, consider the sticky question of the bullet holes reported in Governor Connally's body. How did they get there, according to Warren? He tells us that the bullet that hit the man beside the lovely lady went in the back and out the throat just below the Adam's apple, then went through Connally's back, through his chest breaking a rib on the way, exited just below his right nipple, then slammed through his right wrist smashing a bone, and finally lodged in his left thigh. Then it somehow worked its way out of the thigh and fell onto the stretcher where it was found in almost perfect condition. Sketch #1, below, shows how this bullet travelled according to Mr. Warren.

However when you also consider the physical position of the man beside the lovely lady, and the reported bullet holes in his body, Mr.
Warren's trajectory becomes a hoax. According to Mr. Warren, the bullet left Oswald's rifle traveling downward at an angle of 21°34' until it hit the back of the man beside the lovely lady 5 and 3/8 below the collar. From there it went up and out his throat just under the Adam's apple. To do that it would have to travel upward at about 12°. Then to hit Connally where it did, it would have to turn again in mid-air, going downward somewhat through Connally's body passing through his right wrist and then into his left upper leg. The Warren version plus the physical evidence comes up with a bullet flight path as shown in sketch #2 below:

Now let's again review the neck wound of the man beside the lovely lady. Get a man's shirt and mark a spot 5 and 3/8 inches down from the collar and 1 and 1/2 inches to the right of center of the back and hold the shirt in an erect position. Now tell me, how could a bullet come down from the 6th story of a building and go out the area of the knot in the tie and not go upward? Such a wound might be possible if the man had had his head between his knees, but we know from the many pictures that this is not the case. That little fact may be the reason why the X-RAY pictures of the body have not been released from the Bethesda Naval Hospital. The bullet holes reported in the body differ between the Parkland and Bethesda hospitals, but examination will show that the wound in the neck was an entrance not an exit. I will cover this later, but to those who are impatient, read the testimony of Dr. Peters, Vol.6, p.71. Based on this and other evidence, the only logical conclusion is that this first bullet entered from the front and not the back. If this be the case, the bullet could not have come from Oswald, but must have originated from the south side of Elm Street, opposite the Book Depository, and travelled in an almost horizontal path. This would logically put the gunman somewhere on the ground and on the opposite side of the street. Such a bullet path would fit the description of the neck wound and is pictured in Sketch #3.

Based upon the position of the Presidential car during the ALTGENS photograph, and the conditions where a bullet could come in from the left while missing the windshield and side window, etc., one can just about plot in what position such a gunman would have to have been with relation to the man who would have to be somewhere on the grass almost directly south of the Book Depository.

Fortunately, we do have a number of pictures of the people standing in this general area. These are the frames of the ZAPRUDER movie film taken during the murder. Examining these photos carefully for what we suspect could be happening, we do find one person whose stance resembles that of a pistol marksman in several successive frames.

This is exciting! These are the ZAPRUDER film frames which you have seen in various issues of LIFE MAGAZINES. Because the back copies are so hard to obtain, I have reprinted them in the back of this issue although the reproduction is not as good as the original which you can find in most libraries. The man whom I suspect can be seen in only five published frames shown in three different copies of LIFE several years apart. The first two are in Frames #2 and #3 on page 24 of LIFE Nov. 29, 1963. The third is the top picture on page 43 of LIFE Oct. 2, 1964. The fourth and fifth pictures of this man are in LIFE Nov. 25, 1966 page 40 and marked as frames #193 and #206. For those who are unable to get out and find these back issues, I have printed a pen and ink sketch of the first three frames, taking the liberty of showing what I believe to be going on in them.

Let's first examine the 2nd frame on page 24 of LIFE Nov. 29, 1963. Beginning from the right and moving left, notice the man wearing a black coat and hat. Observe that his right arm is up and the elbow cocked as though ready to fire a pistol. Do you see that elbow? Notice the bend in his knees as he appears to be crouching to fire! Notice a little girl who is running (at his right) toward him. In the next frame she is passing behind this man. Still in frame #2, the next figure is that of a woman with her back to the camera stepping uponto the curb in front of the man with the black coat—probably to get out of the way of the motorcycle policemen. Do you see her one foot on the sidewalk and the other still in the gutter? Now look at these same two figures in the next frame. The men in black, still in a crouched position, now has his right arm extended toward the Presidential car. Has he, at this moment, just finished shooting? But notice the woman now! Her legs are splayed outward—do you see them?
Note that she seems to be falling as her height is now below the armpits of the man in black. This woman has walked directly into the path of the suspected gunman’s bullets. Was she hit by them? If so, did you ever hear of her? Remember, these two frames were printed in the first issue of LIFE after the assassination—before the WARREN COMMISSION came up with the “lone assassin” idea.

Now look at the picture on LIFE, Oct. 2, 1964, page 43. Do you see the gunman in black? He has turned now and appears about to open fire again toward the back of the Presidential car. Do you see the appearance of a small white puff of smoke from what might be the muzzle of his gun? A silencer screwed into the end of the barrel can account for a rather long profile of a pistol. A second pistol could possibly be what is in his left hand. Do you see it?

Now by noting the relative position of the front of the Presidential car in these three frames, and remembering that the car was traveling at a reported 12 mph or roughly 20 feet per second, it can be determined that these three pictures were taken just a few seconds apart. That being the case, point out the woman in the 3rd picture. Where is she? She has vanished from the picture. How did she do that in a split second? The woman who was hit has been removed from the picture, either by the WARREN COMMISSION or by LIFE MAGAZINE or as part of a conspiracy between them. This picture has been altered. The American people can not know whether the woman was hit, thus whether this man was the assassin. It is amazing what experts can do with a photo airbrush to alter photographs. It appears, perhaps, that they made a little mistake. In the third frame, LIFE, Nov. 29, 1963, where the woman is going down, note the light spot just under her left arm. Do you see it? Because it is slightly out of focus, one cannot determine exactly what it is—maybe a scarf or flag trailing out behind—who knows? But whatever it is, it is still in the altered picture while the woman who held it has vanished.

Just so that you do not think that this woman is a figment of my imagination or that the man in black is just a kindly old man who wears a coat when others find it warm enough without a coat, I will take the space to give you some interesting direct testimony relating to this matter. The two motorcycle officers are Mr. Bobby Hargis and Mr. B.J. Martin. Officer Martin is the one riding nearer the curb in the pictures. His testimony appears on page 292, Vol. 6 of the HEARINGS:

Mr. Martin: It was just to the left—of what would be the center of my forehead—approximately halfway, about a quarter of the helmet had spots of blood on it.

Mr. Ball: And were there any other spots of any other material on the helmet there besides blood?

Mr. Martin: Yes, sir; There was other matter that looked like pieces of flesh.

Mr. Ball: What about your uniform?

Mr. Martin: There was blood and matter on my left shoulder of my uniform.

Now if this be true, and we have no reason to believe that it is not true, then you will observe, again referring to the pictures, that the blood and flesh would have come from the direction of the suspected gunman in black who was to the left of the police officer, in order for this blood and flesh to be deposited on his left side. This blood and flesh may have come from this woman who was apparently hit and is seen going down in these pictures. Since the police officers are behind the Presidential car, there is no possible way that this blood could have come from the man riding beside the lovely lady, especially from a bullet fired from Oswald’s position.

It is my opinion that this man in black is using one and possibly two automatic machine pistols equipped with silencers. (This weapon and silencer are already illegal under severe Federal laws, so no further gun control laws are needed as a result of the actions of this man in black.)

GERMAN MAUSER 7.63-MM 1932 MACHINE PISTOL

In addition, I think it of value to reprint an excerpt from the testimony of the other police officer, Mr. Hargis (Vol. 6 p. 294):

Mr. Hargis: Well, at the time it sounded like the shots were right next to me.
ZAPRUDER FILM. SKETCHES DRAWN TO SHOW WHAT PHYSICAL EVIDENCE INDICATES IS HAPPENING IN THESE FRAMES. THE ABOVE TWO FRAMES CAN BE FOUND IN LIFE MAGAZINE NOVEMBER 29, 1963 PAGE 24. THESE ARE THE SECOND AND THIRD FRAMES RESPECTIVELY SHOWN ON THAT PAGE. SHOWN BELOW IS THE FRAME FROM LIFE MAGAZINE OCT. 2, 1964 SHOWN ON PAGE 43 THE TOP PICTURE.
And so they were. I suggest that the man in black was standing just 15 feet away calmly firing a series of bursts of shots from his silenced automatic machine pistol as these motorcycle officers were riding by. From the physical evidence, I believe that his first burst slammed out 4 bullets toward the car. One hit the man beside the pretty lady in the neck. Another hit the lady whose blood was spattered onto Officer Martin's helmet and uniform. Still another hit a person in the crowd standing on the sidewalk across the street just outside the Book Depository.

[Witness Carolyn Walter Vol. 6, p. 294] Other testimony which I will reprint later will show that it is possible that the man riding beside the pretty lady got hit in the chest and once or twice in the forehead, all from this burst of shots.

And so that you do not think this concept of an automatic weapon originated in some James Bond dream, let me point out that there are many witnesses who told about the noise of "bursts of shots", "flurry of shots", etc. Even the newspapers and the teletype reported the sound of an automatic weapon, such as:

LOS ANGELES HERALD EXAMINER 11/22/63 p.A4

reported:

"Three bursts of gunfire, apparently from automatic weapons were heard...blood was spattered all over the sidewalk."

TELETYPE FROM DALLAS—Nextman Smith reports:

"The gunfire might possibly have come from an automatic weapon. There were three loud bursts."

Thus far I have shown you several interesting things about the shot generally referred to as the "first shot." I have shown you that this first shot could not possibly have come from Oswald's gun because (1) the shot was fired while the Presidential car was at a point when Oswald did not have a clear line of sight, and (2) the "throat bullet" hit the man beside the lovely lady from the front and not the back. Next, I have shown you a man in the crowd, in the proper PHYSICAL location to have done the shooting, and provided you with three different pictures showing him in various body positions normally taken by a pistol marksman. In addition, I have included testimony that one person, other than the man riding beside the lovely lady, was hit by gunfire on the other side of the street near the Book Depository and another hit by blood and flesh, both opposite to Oswald's 6th floor location. Finally, I have shown that two of the vital pictures of the murder scene have been altered or chopped off: (1) an instant disappearance of a woman, and (2) the elimination of the two motorcycle policemen hit with blood and flesh.

Since there appear to be at least two persons involved in the murder—Oswald and the man in black—then the fact of a conspiracy to murder the President has been established.

Since two vital pictures have been changed by persons other than Oswald and the man in black, then the fact of a conspiracy to deceive the American people has also been established.

Since the man in black is still free this case should be reopened.

Pardon Me, Mr. Warren, but...

JUST WHO IS THAT MAN IN BLACK?

For several years I have had what I thought was a pretty good idea of how this crime had been committed. Many of you have heard me discuss it as early as 1964 and watched me draw out the sketches and point out the man in black. I have let this matter remain dormant until the murders of Dr. Martin Luther King and Senator Robert Kennedy. There seemed little point in my challenging the COMMISSION's weighty conclusions! Yet these recent tragic murders, which follow the same classic pattern of "Lone Assassin—no conspiracy" have caused me to reopen my thinking about the Dallas Conspiracy. It is my firm conviction that if the WARREN COMMISSION had told the American people the truth about what happened in Dallas, the murders in Memphis and Los Angeles would not have occurred. If today's "collective guilt" is to be applied at all, it should rest upon the WARREN COMMISSION.

One of the major problems in writing about the Dallas Affair lies in the task of trying to unravel the evidence in such a way as to provide as much of the truth as possible in just a few pages and still include enough of the documentation to make my conclusions at least sensible. It is impossible to uncover in a few pages what it took 26 Volumes to cover... It is impossible to unwind in these few pages the fabrications which took hundreds of pages and countless hours of TV dialog to develop. No one can do it, and least of all this Country Boy passing through life. One of the strangest temptations which I am trying the hardest to resist is to offer conclusions concerning a number of strange aspects of this Dallas Affair which I do not have the space to document. Even so, some things must be said where I do not have the room to quote the testimony. In those few instances I offer my apologies along with the volume and page. Serious students may then check it out for themselves.

SO, LET'S FORGE AHEAD AGAIN...

First, I want to include some interesting statements and testimony concerning a conflict regarding the injuries attributed to the man riding beside the lovely lady. The first of these appeared in the LOS ANGELES TIMES of Nov. 23, 1961 which reported:

"The wound in the throat was small and neat. Blood was running out of it. It was running out too fast."

Pardon Me, but...: We know about this bullet hole for it is the one we have been discussing and generally referred to as the "throat shot."

But continuing, they report:

"The occipito parietal, which is a part of the back of the head had a huge flap. The damage a .30 caliber bullet does as it comes out of a person's body is unbelievable. Blood from a head wound covered the floor. There was a mediastinal wound in the chest."

In the same issue of the LOS ANGELES TIMES, there appears another report of an eye-witness
regarding the injuries that he saw. He is a 9th grade pupil named Alan Smith who reports: "The crowds were cheering, but all at once they changed to screaming. The cat was about ten feet from me when a bullet hit the President in the forehead."

Here you have two eye-witnesses who report that the man beside the lovely lady got two bullets from the front--chest and forehead--wounds which most people have never heard about. The WARREN REPORT says the head bullet went in the back of the head and out the front, remember? Curiously, the two doctors who attended at the Dallas Parkland Hospital say almost the same thing. Dr. Akin states in Vol.6 p. 67: "I assume the right occipital region region was the exit."

Pardon me, Mr. Warren, but... Any bullet that went out the back of the head must have come in the front.

And still further, Dr. Peters testimony [Vol.6,p.71] also bears out this thesis:

MR. SPECTOR DID YOU NOTICE ANY HOLES BELOW THE OCCIPIT?

Dr. Peters No, I did not and at the time and moments immediately following the injury, we speculated as to whether he had been shot once or twice because we saw the wounds of entry in the throat and noticed a large occipital wound. And it is a known fact that high velocity missiles often have a small wound of entry and a large wound of exit and I am just giving you my honest impressions at the time."

Now here is where things really start to get interesting. Note that there are several witnesses to the bullet holes of entry in the forehead and the throat---two doctors, a news reporter, and a 9th grader standing ten feet away. After the famous body left the Parkland hospital in Dallas, it was shipped to the Bethesda Naval Hospital in Maryland, near Washington, D.C. Secret Service agent Kellerman presented to what he observed during the Bethesda autopsy. [Vol. 12, p. 61]:

Mr. Kellerman Entry to this man's head was right below that wound right here.

Mr. SPECTOR INDICATING THE BOTTOM OF THE HAIRLINE IMMEDIATELY TO THE RIGHT OF THE EAR, ABOUT THE LOWER THIRD OF THE EAR.

Mr. Kellerman Right, but it was in the hairline, sir.

Commander James J. Humes, a doctor and the Senior Pathologist at Bethesda stated almost the same thing. [Vol. 2, p.355]:

"We found the right cerebral hemisphere was markedly disrupted. There was a longitudinal laceration of the right hemisphere which was parasagittal in position."

So here we have two qualified witnesses who state just the opposite from what the equally qualified doctors at Parkland have stated. What confused this study is that it is impossible that these two sets of witnesses, especially seasoned pathologists, could be so far apart in their description. What do you think? The only conclusion that I can draw from that is that there must have been two different bodies.

I know how fantastic this sounds. Two Bodies? Please bear with me for it is just as painful for me as it is for you. Now go back and reread the reports of the two doctors at Parkland. Did they say anything about a bullet in the right shoulder? No, they did not, and I think this is very important. There was a naked on the table, surely they would have noticed it, wouldn't they? Now here is what Agent Kellerman states concerning the other wounds which he observed during the Bethesda autopsy. [Vol. 12, p. 61]:

MR. SPECTOR ALL RIGHT. WHAT OTHER WOUNDS, IF ANY, DID YOU OBSERVE ON THE PRESIDENT?

Mr. Kellerman The other wound that I noticed was on his right shoulder.

MR. SPECTOR WHICH SHOULDER?

Mr. Kellerman Right shoulder.

And Mr. Kellerman goes on to describe the wound in careful detail. Now if there were not two different bodies, how do you account for that? In fact, if there were two different bodies, HOW DO YOU ACCOUNT FOR THAT?

Strange as it seems, there is quite a bit of evidence that there were two different bodies, one at Parkland and the other at the Bethesda Naval Hospital. You can just imagine how I hesitate to bring this up for I know just how it sounds. Quite frankly, I can show that the man in black could have shot the man riding beside the lovely lady, without bring up the matter of the two different bodies. It is quite simple to show that the man in black could have hit the man beside the lovely lady in the forehead, throat, and chest using the autopsy reports from Parkland, and then show that he was hit from the rear by the man in black by offering the autopsy reports from Bethesda which say there was a wound in the right shoulder and an entry wound in the back of the head. Right? Well, if I were to do that some fellow would come along and add up the wounds, three from the front and two from the rear which equals five, and conclude that I am some kind of nut, for nobody says THAT in their autopsy. I guess I'd better add a little more documentation from Bethesda and Parkland:

From Parkland [Vol 21, p.153]:

"Dr. Clark pronounced the President dead at 1:01 PM exactly. All the President's belongings except his watch were given to the Secret Service. He was put in a bronze colored plastic casket after being wrapped in a blanket and was taken from the hospital..." (emphasis added- N.D.)

And from Bethesda [Vol.2, p.349 Dr. Humes] "I might preface my remarks by stating that the President's body was received in our morgue in a closed casket. We opened the casket, Dr. Boswell and I, and the President's body was unclined in the
casket, was wrapped in a sheet marked by the Parkland hospital, but was unclad once the sheet was removed from his body so we did not have at that time any clothing."

Here you have a body wrapped in a blanket at the Parkland hospital in Dallas and then unwrapped from a sheet at the Bethesda Hospital near Washington, D.C. If there really were two bodies, which one now lies buried under the Eternal Flame in Arlington? I know that it really doesn't matter, but in an academic question like this, I'd just like to know if it is the one with the hole in the neck or the one with the hole in the right shoulder, or is there really a hole in both the neck and right shoulder?

Having wondered about this question of the "second body" I tried to find out if other autopsy reports were more confusing. Except for Oswald's murder which happened later and whose autopsy is so interesting that a future issue of Pandora We, but... may be written about it, no one except the Dallas policeman, Officer J.D. Tippit was reported killed at the same time. So, I went looking for the report of Tippit's autopsy. Would you believe, no where in the 26 Volumes of testimony is there an autopsy on Officer Tippit? Incredible! An autopsy for everyone but Tippit, poor fellow. There is a record of the wounds in the body of Officer Tippit, however. It appears on the affidavit of Capt. Glenn King of the Dallas police. [Vol. 20, p. 465]:

"The three shots struck Officer Tippit in the temple, the forehead, and the chest."

Officer Tippit's wounds are also described in the Markham Exhibit #1 and Mrs. Markham said:

"...[Tippit]...was shot twice in the head and once in the chest."

Isn't it strange that the wounds found in Officer Tippit seem to closely match the wounds reported in the body being examined at the Parkland Hospital? Even stranger, these wounds more closely match the eye-witness reports of the wounds as they occurred to the man beside the lovely lady than do the wounds found in the body at Bethesda? If that should be the case, then the body buried at Arlington which was the one being examined at Bethesda, might not be the same one that was shot while riding in the Presidential car beside the lovely lady. It's your move...

Tippit, you say, was pronounced Dead on Arrival at the Methodist Hospital not the Parkland Hospital, so how could his wounds match up with any autopsy at Parkland? Good question. Here's a good answer: While it is true that Tippit was OFFICIALLY reported DOA at Methodist, the fact is, he was taken first to Parkland. Not only that, the Dallas police radio manned by officers on the scene reported Tippit DOA at Parkland on two different occasions while communicating with their station. [See Vol. 17, pages 376 and 379] Actually, it wasn't until later that the dead body of Officer Tippit was taken from Parkland and then reported Dead on Arrival at Methodist. [See Vol. 17, p. 472] Now why should they take a dead body from one hospital to another? I think it is highly irregular that a body would be reported DOA at two different hospitals and then neither hospital produce an autopsy for the record. What do you think?

Perhaps we shall never be certain of the answers to the questions thus raised. The X-RAYS of the body at Bethesda will not be made public, and for some strange reason, Dr. Humes at Bethesda burned his notes...

I have brought up the point of the two different bodies, or if you prefer, two conflicting descriptions of one body, for just one reason. I was unable to continue with the actions of the man in black until I made it quite clear to you that I know that there are conflicting reports. I am stating here that there is positive evidence that two bodies were wheeled into the Parkland Hospital, one head first and the other feet first. Both were dripping blood and each had a man's suit coat over the face and were dead on arrival. This point you can check for yourself if you care to do so. [I own the set of 26 Volumes of the WARREN HEARINGS which cost $576.00, and this is just one of the reasons that I must charge for this issue.]

It is my opinion that one of those bodies was that of Officer Tippit. Incidentally, have you ever seen a picture of Tippit? It has been in the papers but it has not been widely and frequently shown. As chance would have it, Tippit was very similar in build to JFK and had a striking resemblance in all but the way he wore his hair. Considering the wounds that Tippit received---two bullets in the front of the head--it would not be surprising if the Parkland Medical team confused him for the President. Shown below is a sketch of Officer Tippit and President John F. Kennedy.
Now we get down to the third burst of shots and one of these killed the man beside the lovely lady if you choose to reject the Parkland report and decide to accept the Bethesda autopsy. In any event, this is the "shot" generally regarded as the fatal one based on the conclusions of the WARREN REPORT. Thousands of column-inches have been written about this "bullet" by dozens of writers in an effort to explain it. Two Secret Service agents saw the bullets hit: Agent Hickey and Agent Bennett. What is generally not known is the fact that the affidavit of one of the agents was contrary to the conclusions of the WARREN REPORT. His affidavit appears on page 542 of Vol. 24. One of the eye-witnesses was asked to testify. The other was not. In any event the man in black was uncertain of the outcome and he kept on firing.

BULLET #5 -- Hit the inside of the windshield of the driver's compartment cracking the glass.

BULLET #6 -- Hit the windshield chrome. For references for these two, see Vol. 16, pages 945 and 946.

BULLET #7 -- Hit the sign. (Vol. 16, p. 19-24)

BULLET #8 -- Hit motorcycle officer on the far side of the motorcade. (Vol. 18, p. 763 & Vol. 19, p. 535)

BULLET #9 -- Known as the Koolade bullet. (Vol. 6, p. 212)

These five bullets were fired very rapidly, but probably not as a burst since they each take a slightly different angle relative to the position of the man in black. An engineering study of the path of these bullets was made by the GEORGE C. THOMSON ENGINEERING COMPANY of Glendale, California. This study proved with instruments that each of these bullets followed paths which originated from the position of the man in black.

The next three bullets came as a burst and was as is referred to as the "Connally shot". Connally maintains that he was hit by the second shot while the WARREN COMMISSION maintains that he was hit by the first shot, a bullet going through some amazing acrobatics. One can not establish whether there were 2, 3, or 4 shots in this burst as we do not know the exact position of Connally's wrist. Thus the wrist wound and shoulder/chest wound could have come from the same bullet. Knowing that such a gun is capable of this many shots, I have labeled each wound as a separate shot.

BULLET #10 -- Right shoulder/chest

BULLET #11 -- Right wrist. (Vol. 6, p. 92)

BULLET #12 -- Left thigh. (Vol. 6, p. 90)

Then there were two more shots.

BULLET #13 -- Hit child at curb on the north side of Elm St. (Vol. 11, p. 218)

BULLET #14 -- Hit man named John Wiseman. (Vol. 19, p. 535)

Well, which one? Agent Hickey says the President was hit in the rear of the head but mentions no hit in the shoulder. Agent Bennett mentions the President's car but he then talks about "the Boss" being hit. Was this Agent reasoning about the President of the United States as the Boss, or was there another man in the car, perhaps his Secret Service Boss? There is testimony (Vol. 20, p. 163) which indicates that there was another man in the car. The testimony states that there was another Secret Service agent in the car, armed with a gun who stood up and fell down again. An analysis of some of the pictures indicates that this could be true and I am checking into this possibility and will publish a postscript to this pamphlet if I can positively verify it. It would be important, for it would explain the "two bodies." As you must have guessed, Agent Bennett was not asked to testify.

Probably the best analysis of "this bullet" can be found in the September 1967 issue of...
LAI Evans. He concludes with far more scholarly logic than I can muster that the fatal bullet came not from the right and above, but from the left and below:

"...for if Kennedy was shot from behind and not from above and not from the right but from the left, then the list of suspects, broadened from the lone Oswald, yet remains shockingly narrow. Eliminating at once the widow, who was victimized almost as much as her husband and escaped just by inches from being killed herself, there remain three groups of possible suspects—persons physically in position to have fired such a shot. These were: (1) Spectators on the south side of Elm Street near the point of assassination, (2) members of the Dallas police force, and (3) members of the Secret Service."

I think that it can be said that the members of the Dallas police could not have done it as they would have had to shoot and ride at the same time. Further, no pictures indicate any such action on their part. It might be possible that one of the Secret Service agents riding on the Presidential follow-up car, left side, say agent Hill or McIntyre, could have fired the second or third burst of shots, but how could they have fired the first one toward the car from the front when they were riding behind? Of course, this is impossible. Also, no pictures indicate that they are doing this either.

Thus the only group left is the spectators, and the man in black is the only one of those who indicates from the pictures that he is up to anything unusual. But here is where Medford Evans drops the ball. He states:

"There were so few spectators that none of them could have possibly shot the President with a rifle or even a pistol without the virtual certainty of being seen...etc."

Yet Mr. Evans does not suggest who could have done it. His analysis is entirely correct and absolutely accurate, but he does not carry it to the logical conclusion. Perhaps he decided that he had said enough...and since there have been so many strange deaths... I cannot blame him for that. I have the utmost respect for Medford Evans and know him to be one of America's finest patriots. But he is not a mathematician. The assumption that a gunman would be seen is not proof that none was there. Assassins always assume these risks. But it was not as risky as it might seem to be. First, those who lined the street were, for the most part, Kennedy fans. The result came for a glimpse of THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, an event which is at least unusual for most of them. Thus having come for this purpose and having waited perhaps for quite a few minutes, their attention would have been focused on the President as he was riding by. Second, most of the spectators were on the north side of the street and the various vehicles of the motorcade were between them and the gunman in black during those few moments. Third, the motorcade was moving, depending upon the witnesses, between 15 and 20 feet per second. The maximum time that the gunman would have to have his weapon exposed would be less than ten seconds. Even if he had it out for as long as twenty seconds, how many would have seen it during the moments as the President went past, or even heard a silenced gun?

Finally, go back and read the data given by Agent Bennett. Note that he states that he heard the sound of firecrackers just before the shooting started. In the Warren hearings, no less than 20 expert witnesses testified to the noise of firecrackers. [Vol. 7, p. 107, 509, 517, 540, etc.] Most of these indicate that the noise was coming from the general area of the Book Depository. Without exception, every single Secret Service agent mentioned the noise of the firecrackers just before the shooting started. Some of the pieces of the exploded firecrackers were found near the front entrance of the Book Depository which is below Oswald's window. Secret Service agents are very well-trained agents. They have learned to identify the sounds of different firearms and certainly know that the sound of a firecracker is not the same as that of a rifle. Agent Kellerman was riding in the front seat of the President's car. He testified to the noise of the firecrackers and indicates that an automatic weapon was used. [Vol. 2, p. 76]

MR. SPECTOR: NOW IN YOUR PRIOR TESTIMONY YOU DESCRIBED A FLURRY OF SHELLS INTO THE CAR. HOW MANY SHOTS DID YOU HEAR AFTER THE FIRST NOISE WHICH YOU DESCRIBE AS SOUNDING LIKE A FIRECRACKER?

Mr. Kellerman: Mr. Spector, these shells came in all together.

MR. SPECTOR: ARE YOU ABLE TO SAY HOW MANY YOU HEARD?

Mr. Kellerman: I am going to say two, and it sounded like a double bang—bang, bang...

MR. SPECTOR: YOU MEAN TWO SHOTS IN ADDITION TO THE FIRST NOISE?

Mr. Kellerman: Yes, sir; Yes, sir. At least.

MR. SPECTOR: WHAT IS THE BEST ESTIMATE OF TIME IN SECONDS FROM THE FIRST NOISE SOUNDING LIKE A FIRECRACKER UNTIL THE SECOND NOISE YOU HEARD?

Mr. Kellerman: This was instantaneous.

MR. SPECTOR: NO, LET ME REPEAT THE QUESTION SO THAT YOU UNDERSTAND IT. FROM THE TIME YOU FIRST HEARD THE NOISE COMING FROM YOUR RIGHT REAR WHICH YOU DESCRIBE AS SOUNDING LIKE A FIRECRACKER, UNTIL YOU HEARD THE FLURRY OF SHOTS?

Mr. Kellerman: The answer here is long and drawn out, but he gives a time interval of no more than 2 or 3 seconds. So you can see, the firing started just seconds after the diversionary noise began. Evidence seems to me that the man in black was waiting for it. Please note that Oswald's clumsy bolt action carbine could not put out anything like a "flurry of shots." Apparently this is the reason that the Secret Service agents were looking toward the Book Depository as seen in the ALTGENS photograph. Certainly many of the spectators
did so soon after. These diversionary firecrackers held their attention just long enough for the man in black to complete his mission. It is possible that Oswald fired his gun at that time too, thus compounding the diversionary noise. Just the matter of the firecrackers alone is enough to suggest a conspiracy.

So far we have covered bullets through bullet #17:

- **BULLET #15** - Hit hair but missed the head of the man beside the pretty lady.
- **BULLET #16** - Hit back of his head and was the fatal bullet if you reject Parkland and accept the Bethesda autopsy.
- **BULLET #17** - Hit the "boss" in the right shoulder.

At this point, whether you chose Parkland or Bethesda, the man beside the lovely lady is quite dead. Nobody knew it for sure and he kept on shooting.

- **BULLET #18** - Hit pavement of Elm Street
- **BULLET #19** - Hit pavement at left of presidential car. Skeleton testimony [Vol. 6, p. 238]
- **BULLET #20** - Hit turf near underpass and was discovered by Patrolman Foster. [Vol. 6, p. 252]
- **BULLET #21** - Hit south curb of Main Street near underpass, a fragment of which hit Mr. James Tague in the cheek. [Vol. 7, p. 552]

So there you have the evidence of at least 21 bullets fired by someone in Dallas during the murder of the man riding beside the lovely lady. After sober deliberations, the WARREN COMMISSION unanimously concluded:

"The consensus among the witnesses at the scene was that there were three shots fired. However some heard only two shots, while others testified that they heard four shots and perhaps as many as five or six shots."

Now I know that I'm just a country boy passing through life and I dislike appearing unkindly toward the Chief Justice and his friends. But when they tell me, unanimously, that "Oswald acted alone--only three shots were fired--there was no conspiracy" I sort of get my dander up. What do they think we are, anyway, stupid? How long have we Americans got to put up with the likes of them anyway?

There you have it—the solution to the most famous murder in history. Like most murders, the solution once deduced, seems obvious and simple. Oswald, although captured, performed his assignment well. The killer escaped, or for some reason, the WARREN COMMISSION has not decided to arrest him. Whatever the reason, all America should start asking why.

OFF-THE-CUFF...

Frankly the foregoing was all I planned to include in this issue. Much more should be written, but at least for now, I feel that with this much data most anyone can find out for himself the rest of the story. Let's face it, if there has been this much fabrication in just the events around the first murder, imagine what a little investigation will discover about Ruby, and others...

Some of the folks who have had a chance to read these notes prior to publication have asked that I include a few OFF-THE-CUFF remarks concerning my opinions about these events in Dallas. Let's face it, I wasn't there. I did not see the exhibits nor listen to the testimony before the WARREN COMMISSION. But I have studied enough to have reached the conclusion, at least to my personal satisfaction, that two different conspiracies did exist: (1) to kill the President and (2) to bury the evidence. Since the second protected the first, to me they must have been related. Perhaps we shall never know for sure. In any case, what happened in Dallas did make LBJ our President.

It seems to be a political reality that there was no other way that the former Vice-President would ever become President. We also know that Kennedy suffered from a serious case of Addison's disease and according to some physicians who have watched his symptoms on TV, he would probably have been dead by now (1968) regardless of what happened in Dallas. Still, health permitting, it is certain that he would have been renominated in 1964. On the other hand, speculation was high that Johnson would have been "dumped", as Manchester put it, in favor of another closer to Kennedy concepts. Fate has a strange way of changing the calculations of men. At noon, Nov. 22, 1963, Johnson was a politician on the way out while a couple of hours later he was being sworn in as the President. Just who put Johnson in as the President might seem an academic question at this point... except for the allegation of a conspiracy. Mr. Warren and his unanimous company say that Oswald did it after being motivated by a "climate of hate" in Dallas. Considering the continuous promotion of the Communist cause during the Johnson years, it just might seem logical that the Communists would want to see Johnson in the White House. Yet there is considerable evidence that the Communists were doing just fine under Kennedy. I guess I don't have to document that, do I?

With reference to "lone gunned Oswald" it is a known fact that the Communists just do not act alone, but wait for orders under strict Party Discipline. Of course, we have now seen what the WARREN COMMISSION was unable to discover in its Volume of testimony, that Oswald did not act alone but in a team play with the man in black and perhaps a few others...

The man in black has not been identified, hence we cannot know his political persuasion. But it is certain that at least one Communist wanted to see Mr. Johnson in the White House. Since it would seem that Oswald had nothing...
personal to gain in the affair, normal speculation must certainly extend beyond the two assassins stationed on opposite sides of Elm Street.

There are some who scoff at the idea of a conspiracy. Yet even Mrs. Kennedy hints at it in her classic words:

"I want them to see what they have done!"

The reference was to the blood on her clothes as she walked down the ramp from the plane in Washington. As far as Mrs. Kennedy knew, he was safely locked up in the Dallas police station. At least he would not be seeing her coming down the ramp. What about the other events? Where was he? Who knows for sure? Even if Mrs. Kennedy did know about him, she certainly would not have expected he would have arrived in Washington ahead of her. No, one must assume that she was thinking of the great men of the Johnson, the power elite, whom she knew would be there—such as Aspen, McManus, etc.—and those whom she may have thought had the most to gain by her sorrow...

Anyway, they must have been properly impressed by her appearance.

So, for whatever the motive of the Dallas Conspiracy, it is a fact that a quick transfer of power was effected. Mr. Johnson does seem to have been the chief beneficiary. Now was this the first time that Mr. Johnson had been the beneficiary of the violent death of another, as so aptly pointed out in Hale's book, A TEXAN LOOKS AT LYNDON? Mr. Johnson certainly has been unlucky for several people. One soon chap, THEY SAY, committed suicide a number of times with a bolt action 22 rifle. Others have passed away at convenient times from such things as "carbon-monoxide poisoning." These tragic events were most unfortunate for they have reflected badly upon Mr. Johnson, tarnishing his otherwise sparkling career as a public servant.

Personally, I believe that a murder, such as the one in Dallas, so difficult to execute and so risky for the murderers, must have been very carefully planned. Further, unless THEY were somehow involved, such elaborate cover-up efforts would be unnecessary. I mean, what's the point? Is our two assassins concerned between them a clever scheme to kill the President, why should Warren and Company be a part of a cover-up?

Certainly, whatever the reasons, I am sure that they can argue that they have only the nation's best interests at heart. And I am sure that the liberal intellectuals would believe them.

Unfortunately, some of us average folks figure that Warren and Company covered up the facts to protect Dallas, so difficult to execute and so risky for the murderers. And we all know that they would not have done this had the assassin been a "nightclub," thus it follows that the assassins must have been some part of the "leftist" camp occupied by Warren and his friends.

Other average folks take the position that Warren did what he did to protect the Communist International from being a part of the murder of the President. They can effectively demonstrate that Warren has a lifetime record of helping and protecting the Communists, and this is just another step in character. Better to sacrifice one man, calling him a "freak" than to drag the whole Communist Movement through the gutter of public opinion. This could be...

Other average folks figure that Warren and Company did what they did to protect the Office of Some High Official, naturally to protect America's foreign Image. Taking that line of reasoning down its logical chain of command, they get "hung up" on the conclusion that since Johnson had the most to gain, he must have been involved somehow. This could be... Actually, if Johnson did not perform properly, he had the most to lose.

William Manchester writes in his book, DEATH OF A PRESIDENT:

"Shriver...realized that Asia, Africa, and South America would assume that 'whoever had killed Kennedy would now be President.'"

While this may be true for the backward and under-developed countries of Asia, Africa, and South America, such is not necessarily the case in sophisticated America. Here such political murders are the products of a ruthless group of INSIDERS whose ultimate goal is world domination. They have worked at it now for more than 50 years and do not intend to let anyone stand in their way, a study of the strange deaths of such men as Forrestal will disclose. Whether friend or foe, if his death will further their cause, he will be eliminated...even the President of the United States.

At their direction, mass murders almost beyond comprehension have come to pass during these past 50 years. Countless millions have been enslaved and are being tortured and starved this very moment, while America, like a summer grasshopper, covets itself material pleasures. And it is this group of INSIDERS who promote the concept of world government that is working side by side with the Socialists and the Communists toward that goal. Thus, by agreement and design, no Communist nation has ever been freed! Haven't you ever wondered about that?

By agreement and design, nations are divided in half. One half is given to the Communists and the other is fought over to some sort of compromise favorable to the Communist; Germany, Korea, and now Vietnam.

By agreement and design, Communist Dictators are supplied with goods and foreign aid which only help them secure a firmer grip on their hapless peoples. At the same time, the Communist Dictators send supplies to be used against America's youth fighting Communism with one hand tied behind their backs. Who makes these policies? It is the Nazis and the Rockefeller's, the Johnsons and Javits', the Lodges, McCattys, and Nixons who play this game. And once every few years, they choose up sides, pretend to be bitter opponents for the benefit of the Americans who like to take one side or the other just for
the sport. They then decide whether they will have a Democrat Socialist or a Republican Socialist, and once settled, go back to sleep for another four years.

By agreement and design, these INSIDERS manipulate us into wars which they do not intend to win, while dragging them out endlessly against enemies who have but a fraction of our strength.

By agreement and design, these INSIDERS manipulate our currency, inflating the dollar so that they can rob us of our savings. The golden age of America for the vaults of the world, our gold mines closed by the government, while our enemies mine it with slave labor. Our nation is nearly bankrupt, our debt higher than all other nations combined, and the Republican and Democrat INSIDERS still send foreign aid to the Communists around the world. And millions of us are lead to believe that the peanut-butter quarter has something to do with a silver shortage.

By agreement and design, these INSIDERS betrayed the Cubans into Communism, the Algerians into Communism, the people of China into Communism. These INSIDERS attacked and defeated the anti-Communists in Katanga while tricking the American people into thinking their savings bonds were being used to fight Communism. Now they want to try the same thing on anti-Communist Rhodesia and South Africa. And if they do, what will you bet that they will get away with it?

And these INSIDERS betray their own American servicemen by giving the enemy privileged sanctuaries in time of war. They let two-bit pirates steal the U.S.S. PUEBLO and do nothing to recover the ship or crew.

And having done all this and more, could these INSIDERS have any moral restrictions about the murder of the President? Or of a Senator? Or of a Civil Rights Leader?

There can be no other logical conclusion to the facts outlined in this pamphlet than there was a conspiracy to murder the President and that this conspiracy was somehow related to the conspiracy to cover-up the details of that murder after the fact.

There would be little point to bringing this matter to your attention if there were nothing that we average Americans could do about it. There is a great deal that we can do, and in fact must do, if we are to save our nation from the same fate that these INSIDERS have imposed upon nearly 40% of the rest of the world. As Americans we must first become informed as to just who these INSIDERS are and what their program is in our country. To do that, it will be necessary to do some homework. Write to J. Edgar Hoover, Director of the FBI, for advice as to where to begin. I suggest that you first read Hoover's two books, A STUDY OF COMMUNISM and MASTERS OF DECEIT. Or you may prefer W. Cleon Skousen's book, THE NAKED COMMUNIST. Skousen was on Hoover's staff for years. Once you have one of these books under your belt you should zero-in on one aspect of this conspiracy—the one which now controls the Government of the United States. Read another book by a former FBI agent from Hoover's staff, THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT. This one gives you the names of the very people involved. It gives you the motives for what they are trying to do.

By the time you have read just two of the above books you will begin to understand what is going on in America. Skousen shows you just how the Communists and their friends plan to have America by no later than 1973. Other experts state, based upon accelerated events since Skousen's book, give us less than a year before any effective opposition to them will be impossible! The best way that I can hope to convince you of the validity of that very serious statement, is to challenge you to try to prove it wrong. Find out who it is who controls the news media, who sets the policies of the State Department or the National Council of Churches.

Are Americans becoming "state of mind" Communists without knowing it? Try some simple experiments like flying your American flag every day for a while or putting an American flag lapel pin on your business dress. Then watch and see what happens. People who have done this get phone calls from the neighbors asking them, "What are you trying to prove with that flag?" Do you see what these INSIDERS have done to control your neighbors' thought patterns? Why do you suppose, a good average American, do not become engaged at these companies who trade with the enemy? Yet what would your reaction have been just twenty years ago had these same companies delivered war material to the Nazis? Do you see what is happening to us? We are slowly being conditioned to accept treason as a national policy. Is that what you want for America and the future of your children? It is not what I want either, but I am doing all that I can and it is not enough. We need you and we need you now!

Before you make that decision to publicly stand for America and against the Communist Movement and the INSIDERS who control it, consider carefully, and remember that you will be pitting yourself against the most highly financed programs in history. You see openly an anti-Communist, if you demand that we win the wars we commit our sons to fight, you will be branded as an "extremist." If you point out the Communist hand in the thefts, expect to be called a "racist." In every community in America there are groups of citizens who stand ready to ridicule and smear you, and will do anything they can think of to keep you silent. Can you take it? If you can't, brother, you had better forget it. I honestly and sincerely mean it.

There is nothing more frustrating to a group of patriots than someone who wants to fight these INSIDERS and their Communist friends without their friends, neighbors and business associates finding out.

If you mean business and want to help, remember that America's enemies know far better than most Americans, the persons and organizations who present the greatest threat to them. Take LIFE MAGAZINE as a typical...
example. We know that this magazine is owned and controlled by members of the COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS, one of the INSIDERS groups. It was LIFE who printed the altered photograph, misleading you about the truth of the Dallas Conspiracy. It was LIFE who had done a number of similar things over the years. Now doesn't it stand to reason that the men LIFE promotes as political candidates must be those who promote the ideas of the INSIDERS? Conversely, anyone whom LIFE attacks must be the patriots. Since you can expect that the Editorial Staff of LIFE is far more versed on the background of all issues and candidates than most Americans, doesn't it make sense that whoever LIFE is against, we should be supporting? I know it's not much of a simple rule to have been inaccurate. I suggest that you watch LIFE between now and November and make your choices accordingly.

As J. Edgar Hoover stated, if you are in any patriotic group that is not being smeared, you had better go out, for you can not be doing very much. Doesn't that make sense? Once again, may I suggest that you watch LIFE magazine for a guide? Have you ever seen LIFE expose a Communist Front? Have they even printed a list of the current Communist goals for America? Do they tell you the Communist background and affiliations of people whom they write about. Why not? do you suppose? Surely they know about them. I'm just a country boy and I know about them. So, I think that you can't go very wrong by saying that if LIFE is against any group of patriots, they just might be worthy of your support.

Take PASTOR JOHN for instance. I think so much of this minister that I have dedicated this booklet in his memory. It is not so much because he was a patriot and died for his country in a far-off land. Many have done that. It is not so much that he was a victim of the Communist Conspiracy, for there have been so many of those. Nor is it because the INSIDERS chose to conceal the truth about his death to protect the Communists. Nor did I pick him because of the fact that by pure chance he happened to be the first American to die at the hands of the Communists, thus through his death, World War III, the war with the Communists, had begun. No, I did not select him for any of these reasons even though every one would be valid because of the content of this issue. I chose PASTOR JOHN because he exemplifies to me what an American should be--a patriot dedicated to the service of God and Country and who died rather than moderate his principles. His name was Rev. John Birch, Captain, United States Army. The story of his life will make you proud to be an American. Naturally you can see why the INSIDERS want you to never know him, and make any who are dedicated to his principles seem an exception. So carefully and systematically have the INSIDERS degraded his name that almost any American thinks him to be bad; although they cannot provide one reason for the way they think. This is a classic symptom of psycho-politics commonly known as "brainwashing". I hope that you have not fallen for it but I am not surprised if you have--until now. And since the organization dedicated to his principles has met with an attack by the INSIDERS which far surpasses any other similar group, may I suggest that you examine its program as one of the best ways to roll back the Communist Conspiracy?

There are some who may ask if I have read every one of the books about the Dallas Conspiracy. The answer is, no. The mark of an educated man is to know what to read. Take this fellow, Mark Lane. He wrote a book that caused a lot of talk a while back. Since I know that Attorney Mark Lane has been in the employ of Lee Harvey Oswald's mother and is listed on the 1966 roster of the Communist Front known as the "National Lawyers Guild" what's the point in me reading his book?

What about Jim Garrison? You will note that I have not mentioned anything concerning this DALLAS CONSPIRACY which relates back to New Orleans. It is not because I am not familiar with it. I have what I feel are just reasons. Clue: As always, watch for who attacks him. Presently, his attackers in the New Orleans area, some rather prominent people down there, are INSIDERS whose connections reach into the center of the Communist Conspiracy. Enough said for now...

LOADED QUESTIONS FOR MR. WARREN

1. What was the purpose of the four white pillows seen on the front seat of the Vice Presidential car shown on page 23 of LIFE, Nov. 29, 1963?

2. Concerning the picture of Oswald on the cover of LIFE, Feb. 21, 1964, in what position, relative to Oswald, is the sun?

3. As we all know, Vice President Johnson was riding in the Vice Presidential car. Will you point him out to me in the ALTGENS photograph?

4. Since the top half of Ruby's left index finger was amputated as the result of a fight, how is it that so many pictures of him show a complete left hand?

5. Can you give me one good reason why different copies of LIFE, Oct. 2, 1964, show two different pictures identified as Frame #6. I have both magazines in my files. One shows the common "bloody head" shot, and another shows a rather clear picture of the man beside the lovely lady?

6. Since Mrs. Kennedy refused to take off her blood-soaked gloves, how did she manage to put her wedding ring on his finger? [Vol. 21, p. 155]

7. What time of day did Mrs. Connally arrive in Dallas?

8. Gov. Connally reportedly laundered his shirt removing all traces of blood. Why?

9. Could you please indicate the source of the gunpowder or smoke smelled by Senator Vachter (Vol. 20, p. 351) and nurse Lozano (Vol. 21, p. 213,214)?
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ARMED AND CONSIDERED DANGEROUS...

A surprising number of my readers, upon learning of my intention to expose the DALLAS CONSPIRACY, have written urging that I either abandon the idea or be very careful about my personal safety. They point out the remarkably high mortality rate among the witnesses and others who apparently could provide information embarrassing to these conspirators. Surely it is true that anyone who has made a study of this affair has enough understanding to be able to ask the questions on the preceding page, must know much more than is written here. Such a person just might be "considered dangerous" to the INSIDERS when "armed" with these facts.
In spite of that however, you can be assured that I am not the least bit concerned. Since this crime has now been exposed, and widely distributed, the most embarrassing thing that could happen, from the viewpoint of these INSIDERS, would be for me to meet with an unfortunate accident of some kind.

Further, my medical record shows that I have a very strong heart and it would be unlikely that I would have a heart attack as reported for Dorothy Kilgallen and Oswald's landlady.

A couple were reported "shot" but that could have been because they were unable to shoot back; still others committed "suicide" which, of course, is out of the question.

And there is an Unseen Hand which I am assured will protect me as long as I have a job to do for Christ and our country.

N.D.