


# DALLAS CONSPIRACY



\$ .50  
DONATION

PARDON ME, but...  
NUMBER FORTY FOUR

CONSPIRACY: a plot; a secret combination of persons,  
as for an unlawful or evil purpose...

This booklet is dedicated in loving memory of

PASTOR JOHN

a Christian brother of the author and whose personal life and testimony has meant so much to him. Pastor John was murdered more than twenty years ago by the same Conspiracy and to protect the same Conspiracy which operated in 1963 on the streets of Dallas;--and in a very similar way, the truth about his murder has been withheld from the American people by that Conspiracy. Buried without pageantry in a far-off land, Pastor John is almost unknown except for his family and a relatively few Americans who love their country.

This special issue of PARDON ME, but... is copyrighted.

Any reproduction of this pamphlet, in whole or in part including the drawings and sketches, is expressly prohibited without a release in writing from the author. All rights reserved under the International Copyright Convention.

*PARDON ME, but... is a free Christian and patriotic newsletter which is sent to anyone upon written request. Donations are encouraged and are used to meet expenses and expand the circulation. There is no subscription rate. Single copies of this issue may be had by sending a small donation to cover postage and handling. [50¢] Bulk rate on this edition, including postage: 11 for \$5.00, 50 for \$20.00 and 100 for \$38.00 all of which are free-will donations toward this work.*

*GREAT FISH EDITION THIRD PRINTING 1971*

Written and published by Nord Davis, Jr., Box 48, Hollis, New Hampshire  
Copyright 1968

THE DALLAS CONSPIRACY--- November 22, 1963

"A CONSPIRACY IS RARELY, IF EVER, PROVED BY POSITIVE TESTIMONY. WHEN A CRIME OF HIGH MAGNITUDE IS ABOUT TO BE PERPETRATED BY A COMBINATION OF INDIVIDUALS, THEY DO NOT ACT OPENLY, BUT COVERTLY AND SECRETLY. THE PURPOSE FORMED IS KNOWN ONLY TO THOSE WHO ENTER INTO IT. UNLESS ONE OF THE CONSPIRATORS BETRAY HIS COMPANIONS AND GIVE EVIDENCE AGAINST THEM, THEIR GUILT CAN BE PROVED ONLY BY CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.

IT IS SAID BY SOME WRITERS ON EVIDENCE THAT SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES ARE STRONGER THAN POSITIVE PROOF. A WITNESS SWEARING POSITIVELY, IT IS SAID, MAY MISAPPREHEND THE FACTS OR SWEAR FALSELY, BUT THAT CIRCUMSTANCES CAN NOT LIE."

*Special Judge Advocate John A. Bingham  
---during the trial of the conspirators  
Washington, D.C. 1865*

FOREWARD

Almost five years ago, a middle-aged man riding with a very lovely lady in a Presidential automobile, was shot and instantly killed on Elm Street in Dallas, Texas.

Because of the volumes of data and speculation now in print, it may be concluded that this was very probably the most famous murder in history. In spite of errors in both logic and physics, most Americans believe that they have a pretty good idea of how it all happened. Few, if asked, can advance any logical motive for the murder. Most are satisfied to dismiss it with a simple shrug of the shoulders.

Surprisingly, almost every account of the event, from the now generally suspect WARREN REPORT down to the most obscure speculator in

print, contains enough of these errors and contradictions to discredit the work and open to question either the motives or the ability of the author.

Unlike most such authors, I pretend neither unfathomable wisdom nor special political sophistication. I claim neither privileged inside information as does Manchester, nor do I command the resources of investigative agencies. I am not, however, as gullible as the WARREN COMMISSION presumes. I simply believe that you will find the following to be thought-provoking, not because its implications can in any way help the man who now lies buried in Arlington, but because it will cast a spotlight upon the evil influences which now control the Government of the United States.

*Nord Davis, Jr.*

PARDON ME, but...

August 1968  
Issue #44

Julius Caesar, Act III, Scene I.  
And Brutus said:

Stoop, Romans, Stoop,  
And let us bathe our hands in  
Caesar's blood.  
Up to the elbows, and besmear  
Our swords:  
Then walk we forth, even to the  
market place,  
And waving our red weapons o'er  
our heads,  
Let's all cry "Peace, freedom and  
liberty!"

And Cassius added,

Stoop, then, and wash. How many  
ages hence  
Shall this our lofty scene be acted  
over  
In states unborn and accents yet  
unknown!

Shakespeare

Soon after the man in the Presidential  
automobile was declared dead, the Chief  
Justice of the United States Supreme Court  
issued a statement:

"...A great and good President (had)  
suffered martyrdom as a result of the  
hatred and bitterness that (had) been  
injected into the life of our nation  
by bigots."

I guess we all remember that famous state-  
ment. "Bigots" is plural indicating two or  
more. It is not of concern just now whether  
the Chief Justice, Mr. Earl Warren, intended  
to refer to the actual assassin or to the  
"climate" which motivated the assassin.  
"Bigot", according to the lexicon of the far  
left---the Communists and their friends--  
means "Patriot" or "anti-Communist". They  
seldom use it in any other context. Well, no  
sooner had the Chief Justice issued that un-  
founded statement, than the Communist Lee  
Harvey Oswald was captured.

Earl Warren was on the spot. Imagine, the  
only person captured with a firearm anywhere  
near the scene of the murder was a Communist,  
not one presumes, a *bigot*. Trapped in a  
situation such as this, Mr. Warren's flexible  
mind came up with the answer: Lee Harvey  
Oswald acted alone.

You must get the proper perspective. Here  
was Communist Oswald who had renounced his  
American citizenship and gone to the Soviet  
Union. He chose to live in Minsk, the same  
town, as chance would have it, where the  
Soviet Secret Police-Unit 13, maintained  
their School for Assassins. While there, he  
married a Soviet national, a girl who just  
happened to live with her uncle, a Colonel in  
the Soviet KGB. Some time later, Communist  
Oswald, now a family man with one child, left  
the Soviet Union bound for America, bringing  
with him two Soviet citizens--his wife and  
child. From the Soviet point of view, this  
was a highly irregular procedure. Have you

ever heard of any other Soviet citizens who  
have been so fortunate? And this was arranged  
for the Communist Oswald by our U.S. Embassy  
in Moscow. The trip, as well as some future  
monetary needs, was financed by a special  
State Department loan personally cleared by  
the Secretary of State himself, Dean Rusk.  
[Dean Rusk, as you recall, has always been in  
the Marxist movement and was a Director of the  
Communist Front, THE INSTITUTE FOR PACIFIC  
RELATIONS]. Anyway, this lone assassin  
managed to travel here and there about the  
country with no means of support anywhere  
close to the amounts of money he obviously  
spent. One might expect this man with his  
"progressive" background might settle near  
Harvard or Columbia where he would find  
companionship among others of his own kind.  
Of all places, Communist Oswald decided to  
settle in Dallas, a city well known for its  
unusually high proportion of patriotic, anti-  
Communist Americans. Luckily, Communist  
Oswald happened to be fortunate enough to  
secure a job in a building overlooking the  
President's route a few weeks before that  
route was made public. Communist Oswald,  
who had gone through basic training in the  
U.S. Marine Corps and certainly knew about  
rifles, bought a mail order gun. Knowing  
that this gun could not be carried with him  
on his flight from the Texas School Book  
Depository, he would certainly have assumed  
that the weapon would be found no matter how  
carefully he hid it, yet somehow he never  
suspected that the gun could be traced to him!  
And of course being an expert on guns, and  
needing the very best for the most important  
job of his life---to murder the President of  
the United States---Communist Oswald carefully  
selected his gun. From a KLEIN'S SPORTING  
GOODS advertisement offering a wide selection  
of quality firearms, he selected a piece of  
junk, a vintage 6.5mm Mannlicher Carcano  
Italian Carbine.

With this piece of junk, an inaccurate  
short-barrelled rifle with a long, clumsy  
bolt action especially awkward for a left-  
hander, left-hander Oswald took his position  
in the Book Depository. He figured himself  
such a crack shot that he took with him only  
four bullets. No more were ever found. If he  
missed his target with these four bullets, he  
would still expect to serve a life term for  
attempted murder. Should he hit his target  
and be captured, he could expect to be execut-  
ed under Texas law. Certainly he couldn't  
really expect to get away, not with a mail-  
order gun left behind tracable to him. Even  
the odds of being able to escape the Deposito-  
ry building would seem rather high. Are we  
to believe that Communist Oswald took all this  
risk with a rifle and scope that had a com-  
bined value of less than \$20?

Now, I'm just a country boy passing through  
life, but if I were thinking of taking a pot-  
shot at the President and had the money that  
Oswald had, I would have purchased a very  
common modern rifle through a private sale and  
with cash. I would have selected a semi-auto-  
matic rifle capable of putting out a lot of  
bullets in a very short time. There are many  
of these available, and if I had been a Marine  
I might have selected the U.S. M-1 GARAND, a

gun which I had trained with. As it happens, the M-1 GARAND was pictured just above the Italian Carbine in that very same KLEIN ad.

**WAR SURPLUS**  
ALL MILITARY PAID  
ALL N.E.A.—Free  
or better!

**SPRINGFIELD M1903** U.S. SPRINGFIELD M1903—30/06  
Best of its kind! Limited quantity. Famous World War II  
rifle for sporting use or home use. Also this has an all metal  
action with high number accuracy. Military working parts.  
Magazine. Adjustable for left or right hand. 24" barrel. 4 shot  
American made steel. Made in very good condition. Price \$36.38  
CASH—15000. 20.00 100 pr. 120 rounds. . . . . \$7.00

**U.S. M-1 GARAND RIFLE** AUTO. 30/06  
Best of its kind! Limited quantity. Famous World War II  
rifle for sporting use or home use. Also this has an all metal  
action with high number accuracy. Military working parts.  
Magazine. Adjustable for left or right hand. 24" barrel. 4 shot  
American made steel. Made in very good condition. Price \$69.95  
CASH—15000. 20.00 100 pr. 120 rounds. . . . . \$7.00

**G.S. ITALIAN CARBINE** 30/06 W/M  
Best of its kind! Limited quantity. Famous World War II  
rifle for sporting use or home use. Also this has an all metal  
action with high number accuracy. Military working parts.  
Magazine. Adjustable for left or right hand. 24" barrel. 4 shot  
American made steel. Made in very good condition. Price \$19.95  
CASH—15000. 20.00 100 pr. 120 rounds. . . . . \$7.00

**TASCO VARIANTS** . . . . . \$7.00  
**SPECIAL PURCHASE! TOP QUALITY!**

U.S. NEWS & WORLD REPORT 12/9/1963

Then I would have ground off all the identifying serial numbers at least to try to protect myself. Now doesn't this make more sense than the action taken by Oswald?

The question remains: Was Communist Oswald stupid or did he have a different role to play in the Dallas Conspiracy? Could his role have been that of a decoy—a man rather easily captured so that the real triggerman could get away? Would a family man put himself in this predicament if he were not certain that he could be proven innocent in a court of law? This and similar speculations did not deter an adamant Chief Justice from concluding: Lone assassin, no conspiracy.

Oswald, according to the WARREN COMMISSION, acted alone. He did what he did because of the "climate of bigotry" found in Dallas. It would not do to have the murder a part of a Communist Conspiracy! Haven't the Communists and their friends like Earl Warren been insisting for twenty years that there was no such thing? Why, if gullible Americans were to learn that their beloved President had been murdered as part of a Communist Conspiracy, they just might insist that their government stop encouraging trade and aid to the Communist dictators. They might demand that Communist speakers be barred from college campuses and that the Communist leadership in the urban riots be made public. Why this could trigger another whole round of "McCarthyism" where Americans might demand a Congressional investigation of Communist penetration into the Federal Government, especially the Executive Branch. That, it is known, is the last thing that Earl Warren and his friends want to see happen. Thus the only alternative—logic, physics, and physical evidence to the contrary—"Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone."

Regular issues of PARDON ME, but... are sent free upon written request. Include zip-code. Circulation: 10,000

As the long arm of coincidence would have it, another "lone assassin" somehow managed to get through the tight security at the Dallas police station at just the right moment to be filmed on nation-wide television so that everyone could see that the first "lone assassin" had been killed. No conspiracy could have done a better job of eliminating the chief suspect before he could talk, than this strange coincidence...

Whether or not Communist Oswald was part of a conspiracy to murder the President is vitally important. If Oswald was not just a "lone assassin" then there are others still free and the case is not closed. Logically, if Oswald and Ruby were just the lone assassins in two different murders, which the WARREN COMMISSION says that they were, why should there be any point to the COMMISSION withholding vital data? Why should some of the data be kept secret for a century or more?

Who are they protecting? And why?

I think that by the time you have read this issue of PARDON ME, but... you will have an answer. I think that you will agree that Oswald did not act alone, but as part of a very well-drilled team. I believe that you will be convinced that a conspiracy to kill the President did exist. I intend to show that another conspiracy existed: that of the WARREN COMMISSION to cover up important details, to fail to question important eye-witnesses, and to deliberately alter vital photographs causing them to be printed as authentic in LIFE MAGAZINE. I will show that this was done in an effort to keep from the public the identity of the actual murderer who has not been arrested. Finally I hope to demonstrate that these two conspiracies are, in fact, related.

THE FIRST PIECES OF EVIDENCE

When one accuses the WARREN COMMISSION, in print, of a conspiracy to falsify the evidence to which it had been entrusted, he must be able to prove that statement. I am prepared to do just that. The space limitations of this special issue prevent me from exhausting all of the areas involved.

It must be remembered that the WARREN REPORT was the conclusion of the WARREN COMMISSION based upon the evidence which they found in the hearings. The complete text of the hearings fill 26 volumes of testimony by the various witnesses. The WARREN REPORT was the unanimous conclusion of all members of this bi-partisan panel. There were no dissenting opinions, which in itself seems quite unique. It should also be remembered that the Congress, the Senate, the FBI, the CIA, and the Secret Service already had professional investigative agencies established. One of these, it would seem, should have been assigned to do this important task instead of a quickly formed panel of amateurs. It is interesting to note that the first issue of the Official Communist newspaper, THE WORKER, printed after the murder, dated Nov. 26, 1963, called for an EXTRAORDINARY COMMISSION headed by Chief Justice Earl Warren, to investigate this crime.



# Midweek

EDITION

## The Worker

Vol. XXVIII—No. 198      November 26, 1963

(8 Pages) Return Postage Guaranteed      Price 10 Cents


Justice and the internal security of the nation call for the most complete investigation and revelation of all factors which brought forth this heinous assassination of President Kennedy. We believe that President Johnson on the one hand and Congress on the other should act at once to appoint respective Extraordinary Investigation Commissions with full powers to conduct a searching inquiry into all the circumstances around the assassination of the President and the murder of the suspect.

Bring all parties whose hands are bloody with performance or complicity in this crime against the nation, to full justice, in conformity with respect for the Constitutional rights for the suspected or accused. Such an investigating committee, headed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, should be composed of citizens and experts who enjoy the confidence of the nation.

President Johnson did just that four days later. I have reproduced the rare clipping from the Communist WORKER here so that you can see for yourself that the Communists wanted Earl Warren to do the investigating.

Now the first piece of evidence showing that there are physical errors in the WARREN REPORT can be seen by comparing the complete ALTGENS photograph as it appeared on pages 24 and 25 of the SATURDAY EVENING POST dated Dec. 14, 1963 [which is reproduced above and on the next page] with the photograph from the air of DEALEY PLAZA which appeared on page 47 of LIFE MAGAZINE dated October 2, 1964 which came out after the conclusions of the WARREN COMMISSION were announced. [see page 6]

Please note that the WARREN REPORT states that the man beside the lovely lady was shot at the two locations along Elm Street marked with an "X". If you will look carefully, you will notice that these two points are essentially along the straighter portions of this street as evidenced by the almost straight curb line. Do you see that? Now look at the famous ALTGENS photograph. This is the first known picture of the motorcade after the first shots were fired. Note that the Secret Service personnel riding on the right side of the Presidential follow-up car [2nd car] are both looking in the general direction of the




Book Depository. Note that the Vice-Presidential follow-up car [4th car] shows a state of alarm. See that the left rear door is open and an agent is getting out. Note the inclination of this car showing that the brakes are being applied and the car is dipping to the front. Checking the various land-marks, between the two pictures, you can see that this 4th car has just barely turned onto Elm Street at the time of this picture. By looking through the windshield of the Presidential car [1st] you will see the gloved hand of the pretty lady holding the left arm of the man hit by the gun fire. Do you see that? Thus you can see that the man beside the pretty lady had been hit earlier than the instant of the ALTGENS photograph for the man and the lady both to have had time to react to the bullets. Now by scanning across the front bumper of the Presidential car to the curb, you will observe that this picture was taken at a time when the Presidential car was still opposite the curved outward portion of this curb. Thus you can see for yourself that the first shots were fired, not half-way down Elm Street as the WARREN REPORT claims, but sometime just after the Presidential car had rounded the corner onto Elm Street. Now, while carefully examining the Altgens photograph, note that there is a tree in full foliage just behind the agents on the right side of the 2nd car. This tree is interposed, [and surely was several seconds before this

THE ALTGENS PHOTOGRAPH

This picture was taken by Associated Press Photographer James Altgens and was printed as shown above in the SATURDAY EVENING POST of December 14, 1963.

I call your attention to the fact that because of the distance which Altgens stood and the long focal length of his lens, this picture is fore-shortened. The fore-shortening tends to exaggerate the curve of the curb making it appear to be the curve at the corner of Elm and Houston Streets. This is not that corner, but the curved portion of the curb further up the street. This can be determined by drawing a tangent from the curb to the vertical white trim on the building behind the 4th car. This curb that you see here, while it looks like it is about 10 feet in length, is at least 40 feet long as you can see it is as long as the two cars and the space between them. You can get your bearings also by noting the shadow of the tree across the road and noting where that tree is located relative to the north point on the enclosed map.

picture was taken], between the Presidential car and Oswald's position on the 6th floor of the Book Depository building. Although you can not determine it for sure with just this picture, this tree obscures Oswald's line of



position of the cars at this time. This "official" chopped-off ALTGENS picture also was offered as authentic on page 50A of LIFE Oct. 2, 1964.

This is, in my opinion, a key point in the solution to this murder. Thus I regard the altering of this picture as being very interesting as you will see...

THE SECOND INTERESTING POINT

Probably the most important part of this study lies in the number and the direction of the shots fired. The WARREN REPORT says that only three shots were fired, stating:

*"The consensus among the witnesses at the scene was that there were three shots fired. However, some heard only two shots, while others testified that they heard four shots and perhaps as many as five or six shots."*


The COMMISSION based their decision on the fact that only three shell cases were found at Oswald's position. However, you will see that the COMMISSION wove the story to fit what it wanted to prove: That Oswald acted alone.

Here is the problem with Warren's story. According to the WARREN REPORT, the man beside the lovely lady was hit with two of the three shots. According to Warren, one hit him in the back of the head and the other hit him in the back, 5 and 3/8" below the collar. The third shot, according to Warren, missed completely. Oswald's fourth bullet was never fired. With that in mind, consider the sticky question of the bullet holes reported in Governor Connally's body. How did they get there, according to Warren? He tells us that the bullet that hit the man beside the lovely lady went in the back and out the throat just below the Adam's apple, then went through Connally's back, through his chest breaking a rib on the way, exited just below his right nipple, then slammed through his right wrist smashing a bone, and finally lodged in his left thigh. Then it somehow worked its way out of the thigh and fell onto the stretcher where it was found in almost perfect condition. Sketch #1, below, shows how this bullet travelled according to Mr. Warren.

sight at the time the bullets must have been fired. This assumption is born out in the WARREN REPORT on page 98, the last four lines:

*"It is probable that the President was not shot before (Zapruder movie) frame 210 since it is unlikely that the assassin would have shot him with the view obstructed by an oak tree when he was about to have a clear opportunity."*


Frame 210 is supposed to be the position of the first "X" in the above picture. Since the ALTGENS picture proves conclusively that the first shots were fired just after the Presidential car had rounded the corner, some time before the ALTGENS picture was taken, and not half-way down Elm Street, I wish to show you the first evidence of a tampered photograph. The Official WARREN REPORT, page 113, chops off the ALTGENS photograph at the point where I have drawn the solid white line, thus eliminating the curb portion, the significant details of the 4th car, and the shadow of the tree in the street which locates the




U.S. NEWS & WORLD REPORT 6/18/64

However when you also consider the physical position of the man beside the lovely lady, and the reported bullet holes in his body, Mr.

Warren's trajectory becomes a hoax. According to Mr. Warren, the bullet left Oswald's rifle traveling downward at an angle of 21°34' until it hit the back of the man beside the lovely lady 5 and 3/8" below the collar. From there it went up and out his throat just under the Adam's apple. To do that it would have to travel upward at about 12°. Then to hit Connally where it did, it would have to turn again in mid-air, going downward somewhat through Connally's body passing through his right wrist and then into his left upper leg. The Warren version plus the physical evidence comes up with a bullet flight path as shown in sketch #2 below:



Now let's again review the neck wound of the man beside the lovely lady. Get a man's shirt and mark a spot 5 and 3/8 inches down from the collar and 1 and 1/2 inches to the right of center of the back and hold the shirt in an erect position. Now tell me, how could a bullet come down from the 6th story of a building and go out the area of the knot in the tie and not go upward? Such a wound might be possible if the man had had his head between his knees, but we know from the many pictures that this is not the case. That little fact may be the reason why the X-RAY pictures of the body have not been released from the Bethesda Naval Hospital. The bullet holes reported in the body differ between the Parkland and Bethesda hospitals, but examination will show that the wound in the neck was an entrance not an exit. I will cover this later, but to those who are impatient, read the testimony of Dr. Peters, Vol.6, p.71. of the 26 volumes of Commission "Hearings."



Based on this and other evidence, the only logical conclusion is that this first bullet entered from the front and not the back. If this be the case, the bullet could not have come from Oswald, but must have originated from the south side of Elm Street, opposite the Book Depository, and travelled in an almost horizontal path. This would logically put the gunman somewhere on the ground and on the opposite side of the street. Such a bullet path would fit the description of the neck wound and is pictured in Sketch #3.

Based upon the position of the Presidential car during the ALTGENS photograph, and the conditions where a bullet could come in from the left while missing the windshield and side window, etc., one can just about plot in what position such a gunman would have to have been with relation to the car. This would have to be somewhere on the grass almost directly south of the Book Depository.

Fortunately, we do have a number of pictures of the people standing in this general area. These are the frames of the ZAPRUDER movie film taken during the murder. Examining these photos carefully for what we suspect could be happening, we do find one person whose stance resembles that of a pistol marksman in several successive frames.

This is exciting! These are the ZAPRUDER film frames which you have seen in various issues of LIFE MAGAZINES. Because the back copies are so hard to obtain, I have reprinted them in the back of this issue although the reproduction is not as good as the original which you can find in most libraries. The man whom I suspect can be seen in only five published frames shown in three different copies of LIFE several years apart. The first two are in Frames #2 and #3 on page 24 of LIFE Nov. 29, 1963. The third is the top picture on page 43 of LIFE, Oct. 2, 1964. The fourth and fifth pictures of this man are in LIFE Nov. 25, 1966 page 40 and marked as frames #193 and #206. For those who are unable to get out and find these back issues for themselves, I have printed a pen and ink sketch of the first three frames, taking the liberty of showing what I believe to be going on in them.

Let's first examine the 2nd frame on page 24 of LIFE Nov. 29, 1963. Beginning from the right and moving left, notice the man wearing a black coat and hat. Observe that his right arm is up and the elbow cocked as though ready to fire a pistol. Do you see that elbow? Notice the bend in his knees as he appears to be crouching to fire! Notice a little girl who is running [at his right] toward him. In the next frame she is passing behind this man. Still in frame #2, the next figure is that of a woman with her back to the camera stepping up onto the curb in front of the man with the black coat---probably to get out of the way of the motorcycle policemen. Do you see her---one foot on the side walk and the other still in the gutter? Now look at these same two figures in the next frame. The man in black, still in a crouched position, now has his right arm extended toward the Presidential car. Has he, at this moment, just finished shooting? But notice the woman now! Her legs are splayed outward---do you see them?

Note that she seems to be falling as her height is now below the armpits of the man in black. This woman has walked directly into the path of the suspected gunman's bullets. Was she hit by them? If so, did you ever hear of her? Remember, these two frames were printed in the first issue of LIFE after the assassination---before the WARREN COMMISSION came up with the "lone assassin" idea.

Now look at the picture on LIFE, Oct. 2, 1964, page 43. Do you see the gunman in black? He has turned now and appears about to open fire again toward the back of the Presidential car. Do you see the appearance of a small white puff of smoke from what might be the muzzle of his gun? A silencer screwed into the end of the barrel can account for a rather long profile of a pistol. A second pistol could possibly be what is in his left hand. Do you see it?

Now by noting the relative position of the front of the Presidential car in these three frames, and remembering that the car was traveling at a reported 12 mph or roughly 20 feet per second, it can be determined that these three pictures were taken just a few seconds apart. Right? That being the case, point out the woman in the 3rd picture. Where is she? She has vanished from the picture. How did she do that in a split second? The woman who was hit has been removed from the picture, either by the WARREN COMMISSION or by LIFE MAGAZINE or as part of a conspiracy between them. This picture has been altered. The American people can not know whether the woman was hit, thus whether this man was the assassin. It is amazing what experts can do with a photo airbrush to alter photographs. It appears, perhaps, that they made a little mistake. In the third frame, LIFE, Nov. 29, 1963, where the woman is going down, note the light spot just under her left arm. Do you see it? Because it is slightly out of focus, one cannot determine exactly what it is---maybe a scarf or flag trailing out behind---who knows? But whatever it is, it is still in the altered picture while the woman who held it has vanished.

Just so that you do not think that this woman is a figment of my imagination or that the man in black is just a kindly old man who wears a coat when others find it warm enough without a coat, I will take the space to give you some interesting direct testimony relating to this matter. The two motorcycle officers are Mr. Bobby Hargis and Mr. B.J. Martin. Officer Martin is the one riding nearer the curb in the pictures. His testimony appears on page 292, Vol. 6 of the HEARINGS:

MR. BALL DID YOU NOTICE ANY STAINS ON YOUR HELMET?  
Mr. Martin Yes, sir; During the process of working traffic there I noticed that there were blood stains on the windshield of my motor (cycle) and then I pulled off my helmet and noticed that there were blood stains on the left side of my helmet.  
MR. BALL TO GIVE A MORE ACCURATE DESCRIPTION OF THE LEFT SIDE,


COULD YOU TELL US ABOUT WHERE IT STARTED WITH RESPECT TO THE FOREHEAD?

Mr. Martin It was just to the left--of what would be the center of my forehead--approximately halfway, about a quarter of the helmet had spots of blood on it.  
MR. BALL AND WERE THERE ANY OTHER SPOTS OF ANY OTHER MATERIAL ON THE HELMET THERE BESIDES BLOOD?  
Mr. Martin Yes, sir; There was other matter that looked like pieces of flesh.  
MR. BALL WHAT ABOUT YOUR UNIFORM?  
Mr. Martin There was blood and matter on my left shoulder of my uniform.

Now if this be true, and we have no reason to believe that it is not true, then you will observe, again referring to the pictures, that the blood and flesh would have come from the direction of the suspected gunman in black who was to the left of the police officer, in order for this blood and flesh to be deposited on his left side. This blood and flesh may have come from this woman who was apparently hit and is seen going down in these pictures. Since the police officers are behind the Presidential car, there is no possible way that this blood could have come from the man riding beside the lovely lady, especially from a bullet fired from Oswald's position!

It is my opinion that this man in black is using one and possibly two automatic machine pistols equipped with silencers. [This weapon and silencer is already illegal under severe Federal laws, so no further gun control laws are needed as a result of the actions of this man in black.]



GERMAN MAUSER 7.63-MM 1932 MACHINE PISTOL




Shown above is an automatic machine pistol similar to the type that the man in black could have been using. It is a freak weapon intermediate between the pistol and the submachine gun. It is capable of 20 shots and may be fired either single shot or in a burst as long as the trigger is held back. Caliber: 7.63mm Mauser

In addition, I think it of value to reprint an excerpt from the testimony of the other police officer, Mr. Hargis (Vol. 6 p. 294):

MR. STERN JUST A MINUTE. DO YOU RECALL YOUR IMPRESSION AT THE TIME REGARDING THE SOURCE OF THE SHOTS?  
Mr. Hargis Well, at the time it sounded like the shots were right next to me.



ZAPRUDER FILM. SKETCHES DRAWN TO SHOW WHAT PHYSICAL EVIDENCE INDICATES IS HAPPENING IN THESE FRAMES. THE ABOVE TWO FRAMES CAN BE FOUND IN LIFE MAGAZINE NOVEMBER 29, 1963 PAGE 24. THESE ARE THE SECOND AND THIRD FRAMES RESPECTIVELY SHOWN ON THAT PAGE. SHOWN BELOW IS THE FRAME FROM LIFE MAGAZINE OCT. 2, 1964 SHOWN ON PAGE 43 THE TOP PICTURE.



And so they were. I suggest that the man in black was standing just 15 feet away calmly firing a series of bursts of shots from his silenced automatic machine pistol as these motorcycle officers were riding by. From the physical evidence, I believe that his first burst slammed out 4 bullets toward the car. One hit the man beside the pretty lady in the neck. Another hit the lady whose blood was spattered onto Officer Martin's helmet and uniform. Still another hit a person in the crowd standing on the sidewalk across the street just outside the Book Depository. [Witness Carolyn Walter Vol. 6, p. 294] Other testimony which I will reprint later will show that it is possible that the man riding beside the pretty lady got hit in the chest and once or twice in the forehead, all from this burst of shots.

And so that you do not think this concept of an automatic weapon originated in some James Bond dream, let me point out that there are many witnesses who told about the noise of "bursts of shots", "flurry of shots", etc. Even the newspapers and the teletype reported the sound of an automatic weapon, such as:

LOS ANGELES HERALD EXAMINER 11/22/63 p.A4 reported:  
"Three bursts of gunfire, apparently from automatic weapons were heard...blood was spattered all over the limousine."  
TELETYPE FROM DALLAS--Merlman Smith reports:

"The gunfire might possibly have come from an automatic weapon. There were three loud bursts."

Thus far I have shown you several interesting things about the shot generally referred to as the "first shot." I have shown you that this first shot could not possibly have come from Oswald's gun because (1) the shot was fired while the Presidential car was at a point when Oswald did not have a clear line of sight, and (2) the "throat bullet" hit the man beside the lovely lady from the front and not the back. Next, I have shown you a man in the crowd, in the proper PHYSICAL location to have done the shooting, and provided you with three different pictures showing him in various body positions normally taken by a pistol marksman. In addition, I have included testimony that one person, other than the man riding beside the lovely lady, was hit by gunfire on the other side of the street near the Book Depository and another hit by blood and flesh, both opposite to Oswald's 6th floor location. Finally, I have shown that two of the vital pictures of the murder scene have been altered or chopped off: (1) an instant disappearance of a woman, and (2) the elimination of the two motorcycle policemen hit with blood and flesh.

Since there appear to be at least two persons involved in the murder--Oswald and the man in black--then the fact of a conspiracy to murder the President has been established.

Since two vital pictures have been changed by persons other than Oswald and the man in black, then the fact of a conspiracy to deceive the American people has also been established.

Since the man in black is still free this case should be reopened.

Pardon Me, Mr. Warren, but...  
JUST WHO IS THAT MAN IN BLACK?

For several years I have had what I thought was a pretty good idea of how this crime had been committed. Many of you have heard me discuss it as early as 1964 and watched me draw out the sketches and point out the man in black. I have let this matter remain dormant until the murders of Dr. Martin Luther King and Senator Robert Kennedy. There seemed little point in my challenging the COMMISSION's weighty conclusions! Yet these recent tragic murders, which follow the same classic pattern of "Lone Assassin--no conspiracy" have caused me to reopen my thinking about the Dallas Conspiracy. It is my firm conviction that if the WARREN COMMISSION had told the American people the truth about what happened in Dallas, the murders in Memphis and Los Angeles would not have occurred. If today's "collective guilt" is to be applied at all, it should rest upon the WARREN COMMISSION.

One of the major problems in writing about the Dallas Affair lies in the task of trying to unravel the evidence in such a way as to provide as much of the truth as possible in just a few pages and still include enough of the documentation to make my conclusions at least sensible. It is impossible to uncover in a few pages what it took 26 Volumes to cover... It is impossible to unwind in these few pages the fabrications which took hundreds of pages and countless hours of TV dialog to develop. No one can do it, and least of all this Country Boy passing through life. One of the strongest temptations which I am trying the hardest to resist is to offer conclusions concerning a number of strange aspects of this Dallas Affair which I do not have the space to document. Even so, some things must be said where I do not have the room to quote the testimony. In those few instances I offer my apologies along with the volume and page. Serious students may then check it out for themselves.

SO, LET'S FORGE AHEAD AGAIN...

First, I want to include some interesting statements and testimony concerning a conflict regarding the injuries attributed to the man riding beside the lovely lady. The first of these appeared in the LOS ANGELES TIMES of Nov. 23, 1963 which reported:

"The wound in the throat was small and neat. Blood was running out of it. It was running out too fast."

Pardon Me, but...: We know about this bullet hole for it is the one we have been discussing and generally referred to as the "throat shot." But continuing, they report:

"The occipito parietal, which is a part of the back of the head had a huge flap. The damage a .30 caliber bullet does as it comes out of a person's body is unbelievable. Blood from the head wound covered the floor. There was a mediastinal wound in the chest."

In the same issue of the LOS ANGELES TIMES, there appears another report of an eye-witness

regarding the injuries that he saw. He is a 9th grade pupil named Alan Smith who reports: "The crowds were cheering, but all at once they changed to screaming. The car was about ten feet from me when a bullet hit the President in the forehead."

Here you have two eye-witnesses who report that the man beside the lovely lady got two bullets from the front--chest and forehead--wounds which most people have never heard about. The WARREN REPORT says the head bullet went in the back of the head and out the front, remember? Curiously, the two doctors who attended at the Dallas Parkland Hospital say almost the same thing. Dr. Akin states in Vol.6 p. 67:

"I assume the right occipital region region was the exit."

Pardon Me, Mr. Warren, but...: Any bullet that went out the back of the head must have come in the front.

And still further, Dr. Peters testimony [Vol.6,p.71] also bears out this thesis:

MR. SPECTOR DID YOU NOTICE ANY HOLES BELOW THE OCCIPIT?  
Dr. Peters No, I did not and at the time and moments immediately following the injury, we speculated as to whether he had been shot once or twice because we saw the wounds of entry in the throat and noticed a large occipital wound. And it is a known fact that high velocity missiles often have a small wound of entry and a large wound of exit and I am just giving you my honest impressions at the time."

Now here is where things really start to get interesting. Note that there are several witnesses to the bullet holes of entry in the forehead and the throat---two doctors, a news reporter, and a 9th grader standing ten feet away. After the famous body left the Parkland hospital in Dallas, it was shipped to the Bethesda Naval Hospital in Maryland, near Washington, D.C. Secret Service agent Kellerman testified to what he observed during the autopsy [Vol 12, p.81]:

Mr. Kellerman Entry to this man's head was right below that wound right here.  
MR. SPECTOR INDICATING THE BOTTOM OF THE HAIRLINE IMMEDIATELY TO THE RIGHT OF THE EAR, ABOUT THE LOWER THIRD OF THE EAR.  
Mr. Kellerman Right, but it was in the hairline, sir.

Commander James J. Humes, a doctor and the Senior Pathologist at Bethesda stated almost the same thing. [Vol 2, p.355]:

"We found the right cerebral hemisphere was markedly disrupted. There was a longitudinal laceration of the right hemisphere which was parasagittal in position."

So here we have two qualified witnesses who state just the opposite from what the equally

qualified doctors at Parkland have stated. What confused this study is that it is impossible that these two sets of witnesses, especially seasoned pathologists, could be so far apart in their description. What do you think? The only conclusion that I can draw from that is that there must have been two different bodies.

I know just how fantastic this sounds. Two Bodies? Please bear with me for it is just as painful for me as it is for you. Now go back and reread the reports of the two doctors at Parkland. Did they say anything about a bullet in the right shoulder? No, they did not, and since the body lay there naked on the table, surely they would have noticed it, wouldn't they? Now here is what Agent Kellerman states concerning the other wounds which he observed during the Bethesda autopsy. [Vol. 12,p. 61]:

MR. SPECTOR ALL RIGHT. WHAT OTHER WOUNDS, IF ANY, DID YOU OBSERVE ON THE PRESIDENT?  
Mr. Kellerman The other wound that I noticed was on his right shoulder.  
MR. SPECTOR WHICH SHOULDER?  
Mr. Kellerman Right shoulder.

And Mr. Kellerman goes on to describe the wound in careful detail. Now if there were not two different bodies, how do you account for that? In fact, if there were two different bodies, HOW DO YOU ACCOUNT FOR THAT?

Strange as it seems, there is quite a bit of evidence that there were two different bodies, one at Parkland and the other at the Bethesda Naval Hospital. You can just imagine how I hesitate to bring this up for I know just how it sounds. Quite frankly, I can show that the man in black could have shot the man riding beside the lovely lady, without bring up the matter of the two different bodies. It is quite simple to show that the man in black could have hit the man beside the lovely lady in the forehead, throat, and chest using the autopsy reports from Parkland, and then show that he was hit from the rear by the man in black by offering the autopsy reports from Bethesda which say there was a wound in the right shoulder and an entry wound in the back of the head. Right? Well, if I were to do that some fellow would come along and add up the wounds, three from the front and two from the rear which equals five, and conclude that I am some kind of nut, for nobody says THAT in their autopsy. I guess I'd better add a little more documentation from Bethesda and Parkland:

From Parkland [Vol 21, p.153]:  
"Dr. Clark pronounced the President dead at LPM exactly. All the President's belongings except his watch were given to the Secret Service...He was put in a bronze colored plastic casket after being wrapped in a blanket and was taken from the hospital. (emphasis added- N.D.)  
And from Bethesda [Vol.2, p.349 Dr. Humes] "I might preface my remarks by stating that the President's body was received in our morgue in a closed casket. We opened the casket, Dr. Boswell and I, and the President's body was unclothed in the

casket, was wrapped in a sheet marked by the Parkland hospital, but was unclothed once the sheet was removed from his body so we did not have at that time any clothing," (emphasis added again-N.D.)

[See Vol. 17, p.472] Now why should they take a dead body from one hospital to another? I think it is highly irregular that a body would be reported DOA at two different hospitals and then neither hospital produce an autopsy for the record. What do you think?

Here you have a body wrapped in a blanket at the Parkland hospital in Dallas and then unwrapped from a sheet at the Bethesda Hospital near Washington, D.C. If there really were two bodies, which one now lies buried under the Eternal Flame in Arlington? I know that it really doesn't matter, but in an academic question like this, I'd just like to know if it is the one with the hole in the neck or the one with the hole in the right shoulder, or is there really a hole in both the neck and right shoulder?

Perhaps we shall never be certain of the answers to the questions thus raised. The X-RAYS of the body at Bethesda will not be made public, and for some strange reason, Dr. Humes at Bethesda burned his notes...

Having wondered about this question of the "second body" I tried to find out if other autopsy reports were just as confusing. Except for Oswald's murder which happened later and whose autopsy is so interesting that a future issue of *Pardon Me*, but... may be written about it, no one except the Dallas policeman, Officer J.D. Tippit was reported killed at the same time. So, I went looking for the report of Tippit's autopsy. Would you believe, no where in the 26 Volumes of testimony is there an autopsy on Officer Tippit? Incredible! An autopsy for everyone but Tippit, poor fellow. There is a record of the wounds in the body of Officer Tippit, however. It appears on the affidavit of Capt. Glenn King of the Dallas police. [Vol. 20, p.465]:

I have brought up the point of the two different bodies, or if you prefer, two conflicting descriptions of one body, for just one reason. I was unable to continue with the actions of the man in black until I made it quite clear to you that I know that there are conflicting reports. I am stating here that there is positive evidence that two bodies were wheeled into the Parkland Hospital, one head first and the other feet first. Both were dripping blood and each had a man's suit coat over the face and were dead on arrival. This point you can check for yourself if you care to do so. [I own the set of 26 Volumes of the WARREN HEARINGS which cost *Pardon Me*, but... \$76.00, and this is just one of the reasons that I must charge for this issue.]

"The three shots struck Officer Tippit in the temple, the forehead, and the chest."

It is my opinion that one of those bodies was that of Officer Tippit. Incidentally, have you ever seen a picture of Tippit? It has been in the papers but it has not been widely and frequently shown. As chance would have it, Tippit was very similar in build to JFK and had a striking resemblance in all but the way he wore his hair. Considering the wounds that Tippit received---two bullets in the front of the head---it would not be surprising if the Parkland Medical team confused him for the President. Shown below is a sketch of Officer Tippit and President John F. Kennedy.

Officer Tippit's wounds are also described in the Markham Exhibit #1 and Mrs. Markham said:

"... [Tippit]..was shot twice in the head and once in the chest."

Isn't it strange that the wounds found in Officer Tippit seem to closely match the wounds reported in the body being examined at the Parkland Hospital? Even stranger, these wounds more closely match the eye-witness reports of the wounds as they occurred to the man beside the lovely lady than do the wounds found in the body at Bethesda? If that should be the case, then the body buried at Arlington which was the one being examined at Bethesda, might not be the same one that was shot while riding in the Presidential car beside the lovely lady. It's your move...

Tippit, you say, was pronounced Dead on Arrival at the Methodist Hospital not the Parkland Hospital, so how could his wounds match up with any autopsy at Parkland? Good question. Here's a good answer: While it is true that Tippit was OFFICIALLY reported DOA at Methodist, the fact is, he was taken first to Parkland. Not only that, the Dallas police radio manned by officers on the scene reported Tippit DOA at Parkland on two different occasions while communicating with their station. [See Vol. 17, pages 376 and 379] Actually, it wasn't until later that the dead body of Officer Tippit was taken from Parkland and then reported Dead on Arrival at Methodist.



KENNEDY



TIPPIT

So bearing in mind the conflicting autopsy reports, let's return to the man in black and see what he does next. The first four shots:

- BULLET #1 --Throat shot
- BULLET #2 --Forehead shot
- BULLET #3 --Hit lady whose blood and flesh hit Officer Martin as he rode past.
- BULLET #4 --Hit person on sidewalk [Vol. 20 p. 410]

After firing his first burst of shots, the man in black appears to swing his gun so as to track his target as it moved past him. If he had hit the man beside the lovely lady in the forehead as Parkland stated, the man was already dead. If he only hit the man in the throat, he likely was not dead yet. In any event the man in black was uncertain of the outcome and he kept on firing.

- BULLET #5 --Hit the inside of the windshield of the driver's compartment cracking the glass.
- BULLET #5 --Hit the windshield chrome. For references for these two, see Vol. 16, pages 945 and 946.
- BULLET #7 --Hit the sign. [Vol. 16, p. 19-24]
- BULLET #8 --Hit motorcycle officer on the far side of the motorcade. [Vol. 18, p. 763 & Vol. 19, p. 535]
- BULLET #9 --Known as the Koolade bullet. [Vol. 6, p. 212]

These five bullets were fired very rapidly, but probably not as a burst since they each take a slightly different angle relative to the position of the man in black. An engineering study of the path of these bullets was made by the GEORGE C. THOMSON ENGINEERING COMPANY of Glendale, California. This study proved with instruments that each of these bullets followed paths which originated from the position of the man in black.

The next three bullets came as a burst and is what is referred to as the "Connally shot". Connally maintains that he was hit by the second shot while the WARREN COMMISSION maintains that he was hit by the first shot, a bullet going through some amazing acrobatics. One can not establish whether there were 2, 3, or 4 shots in this burst as we do not know the exact position of Connally's wrist. Thus the wrist wound and shoulder/chest wound could have come from the same bullet. Knowing that such a gun is capable of this many shots, I have labeled each wound as a separate shot.

- BULLET #10 -Right shoulder/chest [Vol. 6, p. 19]
- BULLET #11 -Right wrist [Vol. 6, p. 92]
- BULLET #12 -Left thigh [Vol. 6. p. 90]

Then there were two more shots.

- BULLET #13 -Hit child at curb on the north side of Elm St. [Vol. 11, p. 218]
- BULLET #14 -Hit man named John Wiseman. [Vol. 19, p. 535]

Now we get down to the third burst of shots and one of these killed the man beside the lovely lady if you chose to reject the Parkland report and decide to accept the Bethesda autopsy. In any event, this is the "shot" generally regarded as the fatal one based on the conclusions of the WARREN REPORT. Thousands of column-inches have been written about this "bullet" by dozens of writers in an effort to explain it. Two Secret Service agents saw the bullets hit: Agent Hickey and Agent Bennett. What is generally not known is the fact that the affidavit of one of the agents was contrary to the conclusions of the WARREN REPORT. His affidavit appears on page 542 of Vol. 24. One of the eye-witnesses was asked to testify. The other was not. Both sat in the rear seat of the Presidential follow-up car. The accepted eye-witness testified on page 762 of Vol. 18. Now let's see if you can figure out which eye-witness Earl Warren and Company would NOT ask to testify:

Agent Hickey:

"At the moment he was almost sitting erect, I heard two reports which I thought were shots and that appeared to me completely different in sound than the first report and were in such rapid succession that there seemed to me to be no time element between them. It looked to me as if the President was struck in the right upper rear of his head. The first shot of the second two seemed as if it missed because the hair on the right side of his head flew forward and there didn't seem to be any impact against his head."

Agent Bennett:

"President's auto moved down a slight grade and the crowd was very sparse. At this point I heard a noise that immediately reminded me of a firecracker. Immediately upon hearing the supposed firecracker, (I) looked at the Boss's car. At this time I saw a shot hit the Boss about 4 inches down from the right shoulder; a second shot followed immediately and hit the right rear high on the Boss's head."

Well, which one? Agent Hickey says the President was hit in the rear of the head but mentions no hit in the shoulder. Agent Bennett mentions the President's car but he then talks about "the Boss" being hit. Was this Agent referring about the President of the United States as the Boss, or was there another man in the car, perhaps his Secret Service Boss? There is testimony [Vol. 20, p. 163] which indicates that there was another man in the car. The testimony states that there was another Secret Service agent in the car, armed with a gun who stood up and fell down again. An analysis of some of the pictures indicates that this could be true and I am checking into this possibility and will publish a postscript to this pamphlet if I can positively verify it. It would be important, for it would explain the "two bodies." As you must have guessed, Agent Bennett was not asked to testify.

Probably the best analysis of "this bullet" can be found in the September 1967 issue of

AMERICAN OPINION in an article by Medford Evans. He concludes with far more scholarly logic than I can muster that the fatal bullet came not from the right and above, but from the left and below:

"...for if Kennedy was shot from behind and not from above and not from the right but from the left, then the list of suspects, broadened from the loner Oswald, yet remains shockingly narrow. Eliminating at once the widow, who was victimized almost as much as her husband and escaped just by inches from being killed herself, there remain three groups of possible suspects-- persons physically in position to have fired such a shot. These were: (1) Spectators on the south side of Elm Street near the point of assassination, (2) members of the Dallas police force, and (3) members of the Secret Service."

I think that it can be said that the members of the Dallas police could not have done it as they would have had to shoot and ride at the same time. Further, no pictures indicate any such action on their part. It might be possible that one of the Secret Service agents riding on the Presidential follow-up car, left side, say agent Hill or McIntyre, could have fired the second or third burst of shots, but how could they have fired the first one toward the car from the front when they were riding behind? Of course, this is impossible. Also, no pictures indicate that they are doing this either.

Thus the only group left is the spectators, and the man in black is the only one of those who indicates from the pictures that he is up to anything unusual. But here is where Medford Evans drops the ball. He states:

"There were so few spectators that none of them could have possibly shot the President with a rifle or even a pistol without the virtual certainty of being seen...etc."

Yet Mr. Evans does not suggest who could have done it. His analysis is entirely correct and absolutely accurate, but he does not carry it to the logical conclusion. Perhaps he decided that he had said enough...and since there have been so many strange deaths... I cannot blame him for that. I have the utmost respect for Medford Evans and know him to be one of America's finest patriots. But the assumption that a gunman would be seen is not proof that none was there. Assassins always assume these risks. But it was not as risky as it might seem to be. First, those who lined the street were, for the most part, Kennedy fans. The rest came for a glimpse of THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, an event which is at least unusual for most of them. Thus having come for this purpose and having waited perhaps for quite a few minutes, their attention would have been focused on the President as he was riding by. Second, most of the spectators were on the north side of the street and the various vehicles of the motorcade were between them and the gunman in black during those few moments. Third, the motorcade was moving, depending upon the witnesses, between 15 and 20 feet per second. The maximum time that the gunman would have to

have his weapon exposed would be less than ten seconds. Even if he had it out for as long as twenty seconds, how many would have seen it during the moments as the President went past, or even heard a silenced gun?

Finally, go back and read the data given by Agent Bennett. Note that he states that he heard the sound of firecrackers just before the shooting started. In the Warren hearings, no less than 20 expert witnesses testified to the noise of firecrackers. [Vol. 7, p. 107, 509,517,540, etc.] Most of these indicate that the noise was coming from the general area of the Book Depository. Without exception, every single Secret Service agent mentioned the noise of the firecrackers just before the shooting started. Some of the pieces of the exploded firecrackers were found near the front entrance of the Book Depository which is below Oswald's window. Secret Service agents are very well-trained men. They have learned to identify the sounds of different firearms and certainly know that the sound of a firecracker is not the same as that of a rifle. Agent Kellerman was riding in the front seat of the Presidential car. He testified to the noise of the firecrackers and indicates that an automatic weapon was used. [Vol. 2, p. 76]

MR. SPECTOR NOW IN YOUR PRIOR TESTIMONY YOU DESCRIBED A FLURRY OF SHELLS INTO THE CAR. HOW MANY SHOTS DID YOU HEAR AFTER THE FIRST NOISE WHICH YOU DESCRIBE AS SOUNDING LIKE A FIRECRACKER?

Mr. Kellerman Mr. Spector, these shells came in all together.

MR. SPECTOR ARE YOU ABLE TO SAY HOW MANY YOU HEARD?

Mr. Kellerman I am going to say two, and it sounded like a double bang--bang, bang.

MR. SPECTOR YOU MEAN TWO SHOTS IN ADDITION TO THE FIRST NOISE?


Mr. Kellerman Yes, sir; Yes, sir. At least.

MR. SPECTOR WHAT IS THE BEST ESTIMATE OF TIME IN SECONDS FROM THE FIRST NOISE SOUNDING LIKE A FIRECRACKER UNTIL THE SECOND NOISE YOU HEARD?

Mr. Kellerman This was instantaneous.

MR. SPECTOR NO; LET ME REPEAT THE QUESTION SO THAT YOU UNDERSTAND IT. FROM THE TIME YOU FIRST HEARD THE NOISE COMING FROM YOUR RIGHT REAR WHICH YOU DESCRIBE AS SOUNDING LIKE A FIRECRACKER, UNTIL YOU HEARD THE FLURRY OF SHOTS?

Mr. Kellerman's answer here is long and drawn out, but he gives a time interval of no more than 2 or 3 seconds. So you can see, the firing started just seconds after the diversionary noise began. Evidence seems to me that the man in black was waiting for it. Please note that Oswald's clumsy bolt action carbine could not put out anything like a "flurry of shots." Apparently this is the reason that the Secret Service agents were looking toward the Book Depository as seen in the ALTGENS photograph. Certainly many of the spectators



NORD

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

MALCOM COUCH VI-159  
10" POOL BLOOD  
BULLET 4

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

POLE

SIGNBOARD BULLET (PROBABLE)  
BULLETS VI-212  
VI-213  
VI-214  
VI-215  
VI-216  
VI-217  
VI-218  
VI-219  
VI-220  
VI-221  
VI-222  
VI-223  
VI-224  
VI-225  
VI-226  
VI-227  
VI-228  
VI-229  
VI-230  
VI-231  
VI-232  
VI-233  
VI-234  
VI-235  
VI-236  
VI-237  
VI-238  
VI-239  
VI-240  
VI-241  
VI-242  
VI-243  
VI-244  
VI-245  
VI-246  
VI-247  
VI-248  
VI-249  
VI-250  
VI-251  
VI-252  
VI-253  
VI-254  
VI-255  
VI-256  
VI-257  
VI-258  
VI-259  
VI-260  
VI-261  
VI-262  
VI-263  
VI-264  
VI-265  
VI-266  
VI-267  
VI-268  
VI-269  
VI-270  
VI-271  
VI-272  
VI-273  
VI-274  
VI-275  
VI-276  
VI-277  
VI-278  
VI-279  
VI-280  
VI-281  
VI-282  
VI-283  
VI-284  
VI-285  
VI-286  
VI-287  
VI-288  
VI-289  
VI-290  
VI-291  
VI-292  
VI-293  
VI-294  
VI-295  
VI-296  
VI-297  
VI-298  
VI-299  
VI-300  
VI-301  
VI-302  
VI-303  
VI-304  
VI-305  
VI-306  
VI-307  
VI-308  
VI-309  
VI-310  
VI-311  
VI-312  
VI-313  
VI-314  
VI-315  
VI-316  
VI-317  
VI-318  
VI-319  
VI-320  
VI-321  
VI-322  
VI-323  
VI-324  
VI-325  
VI-326  
VI-327  
VI-328  
VI-329  
VI-330  
VI-331  
VI-332  
VI-333  
VI-334  
VI-335  
VI-336  
VI-337  
VI-338  
VI-339  
VI-340  
VI-341  
VI-342  
VI-343  
VI-344  
VI-345  
VI-346  
VI-347  
VI-348  
VI-349  
VI-350  
VI-351  
VI-352  
VI-353  
VI-354  
VI-355  
VI-356  
VI-357  
VI-358  
VI-359  
VI-360  
VI-361  
VI-362  
VI-363  
VI-364  
VI-365  
VI-366  
VI-367  
VI-368  
VI-369  
VI-370  
VI-371  
VI-372  
VI-373  
VI-374  
VI-375  
VI-376  
VI-377  
VI-378  
VI-379  
VI-380  
VI-381  
VI-382  
VI-383  
VI-384  
VI-385  
VI-386  
VI-387  
VI-388  
VI-389  
VI-390  
VI-391  
VI-392  
VI-393  
VI-394  
VI-395  
VI-396  
VI-397  
VI-398  
VI-399  
VI-400  
VI-401  
VI-402  
VI-403  
VI-404  
VI-405  
VI-406  
VI-407  
VI-408  
VI-409  
VI-410  
VI-411  
VI-412  
VI-413  
VI-414  
VI-415  
VI-416  
VI-417  
VI-418  
VI-419  
VI-420  
VI-421  
VI-422  
VI-423  
VI-424  
VI-425  
VI-426  
VI-427  
VI-428  
VI-429  
VI-430  
VI-431  
VI-432  
VI-433  
VI-434  
VI-435  
VI-436  
VI-437  
VI-438  
VI-439  
VI-440  
VI-441  
VI-442  
VI-443  
VI-444  
VI-445  
VI-446  
VI-447  
VI-448  
VI-449  
VI-450  
VI-451  
VI-452  
VI-453  
VI-454  
VI-455  
VI-456  
VI-457  
VI-458  
VI-459  
VI-460  
VI-461  
VI-462  
VI-463  
VI-464  
VI-465  
VI-466  
VI-467  
VI-468  
VI-469  
VI-470  
VI-471  
VI-472  
VI-473  
VI-474  
VI-475  
VI-476  
VI-477  
VI-478  
VI-479  
VI-480  
VI-481  
VI-482  
VI-483  
VI-484  
VI-485  
VI-486  
VI-487  
VI-488  
VI-489  
VI-490  
VI-491  
VI-492  
VI-493  
VI-494  
VI-495  
VI-496  
VI-497  
VI-498  
VI-499  
VI-500  
VI-501  
VI-502  
VI-503  
VI-504  
VI-505  
VI-506  
VI-507  
VI-508  
VI-509  
VI-510  
VI-511  
VI-512  
VI-513  
VI-514  
VI-515  
VI-516  
VI-517  
VI-518  
VI-519  
VI-520  
VI-521  
VI-522  
VI-523  
VI-524  
VI-525  
VI-526  
VI-527  
VI-528  
VI-529  
VI-530  
VI-531  
VI-532  
VI-533  
VI-534  
VI-535  
VI-536  
VI-537  
VI-538  
VI-539  
VI-540  
VI-541  
VI-542  
VI-543  
VI-544  
VI-545  
VI-546  
VI-547  
VI-548  
VI-549  
VI-550  
VI-551  
VI-552  
VI-553  
VI-554  
VI-555  
VI-556  
VI-557  
VI-558  
VI-559  
VI-560  
VI-561  
VI-562  
VI-563  
VI-564  
VI-565  
VI-566  
VI-567  
VI-568  
VI-569  
VI-570  
VI-571  
VI-572  
VI-573  
VI-574  
VI-575  
VI-576  
VI-577  
VI-578  
VI-579  
VI-580  
VI-581  
VI-582  
VI-583  
VI-584  
VI-585  
VI-586  
VI-587  
VI-588  
VI-589  
VI-590  
VI-591  
VI-592  
VI-593  
VI-594  
VI-595  
VI-596  
VI-597  
VI-598  
VI-599  
VI-600  
VI-601  
VI-602  
VI-603  
VI-604  
VI-605  
VI-606  
VI-607  
VI-608  
VI-609  
VI-610  
VI-611  
VI-612  
VI-613  
VI-614  
VI-615  
VI-616  
VI-617  
VI-618  
VI-619  
VI-620  
VI-621  
VI-622  
VI-623  
VI-624  
VI-625  
VI-626  
VI-627  
VI-628  
VI-629  
VI-630  
VI-631  
VI-632  
VI-633  
VI-634  
VI-635  
VI-636  
VI-637  
VI-638  
VI-639  
VI-640  
VI-641  
VI-642  
VI-643  
VI-644  
VI-645  
VI-646  
VI-647  
VI-648  
VI-649  
VI-650  
VI-651  
VI-652  
VI-653  
VI-654  
VI-655  
VI-656  
VI-657  
VI-658  
VI-659  
VI-660  
VI-661  
VI-662  
VI-663  
VI-664  
VI-665  
VI-666  
VI-667  
VI-668  
VI-669  
VI-670  
VI-671  
VI-672  
VI-673  
VI-674  
VI-675  
VI-676  
VI-677  
VI-678  
VI-679  
VI-680  
VI-681  
VI-682  
VI-683  
VI-684  
VI-685  
VI-686  
VI-687  
VI-688  
VI-689  
VI-690  
VI-691  
VI-692  
VI-693  
VI-694  
VI-695  
VI-696  
VI-697  
VI-698  
VI-699  
VI-700  
VI-701  
VI-702  
VI-703  
VI-704  
VI-705  
VI-706  
VI-707  
VI-708  
VI-709  
VI-710  
VI-711  
VI-712  
VI-713  
VI-714  
VI-715  
VI-716  
VI-717  
VI-718  
VI-719  
VI-720  
VI-721  
VI-722  
VI-723  
VI-724  
VI-725  
VI-726  
VI-727  
VI-728  
VI-729  
VI-730  
VI-731  
VI-732  
VI-733  
VI-734  
VI-735  
VI-736  
VI-737  
VI-738  
VI-739  
VI-740  
VI-741  
VI-742  
VI-743  
VI-744  
VI-745  
VI-746  
VI-747  
VI-748  
VI-749  
VI-750  
VI-751  
VI-752  
VI-753  
VI-754  
VI-755  
VI-756  
VI-757  
VI-758  
VI-759  
VI-760  
VI-761  
VI-762  
VI-763  
VI-764  
VI-765  
VI-766  
VI-767  
VI-768  
VI-769  
VI-770  
VI-771  
VI-772  
VI-773  
VI-774  
VI-775  
VI-776  
VI-777  
VI-778  
VI-779  
VI-780  
VI-781  
VI-782  
VI-783  
VI-784  
VI-785  
VI-786  
VI-787  
VI-788  
VI-789  
VI-790  
VI-791  
VI-792  
VI-793  
VI-794  
VI-795  
VI-796  
VI-797  
VI-798  
VI-799  
VI-800  
VI-801  
VI-802  
VI-803  
VI-804  
VI-805  
VI-806  
VI-807  
VI-808  
VI-809  
VI-810  
VI-811  
VI-812  
VI-813  
VI-814  
VI-815  
VI-816  
VI-817  
VI-818  
VI-819  
VI-820  
VI-821  
VI-822  
VI-823  
VI-824  
VI-825  
VI-826  
VI-827  
VI-828  
VI-829  
VI-830  
VI-831  
VI-832  
VI-833  
VI-834  
VI-835  
VI-836  
VI-837  
VI-838  
VI-839  
VI-840  
VI-841  
VI-842  
VI-843  
VI-844  
VI-845  
VI-846  
VI-847  
VI-848  
VI-849  
VI-850  
VI-851  
VI-852  
VI-853  
VI-854  
VI-855  
VI-856  
VI-857  
VI-858  
VI-859  
VI-860  
VI-861  
VI-862  
VI-863  
VI-864  
VI-865  
VI-866  
VI-867  
VI-868  
VI-869  
VI-870  
VI-871  
VI-872  
VI-873  
VI-874  
VI-875  
VI-876  
VI-877  
VI-878  
VI-879  
VI-880  
VI-881  
VI-882  
VI-883  
VI-884  
VI-885  
VI-886  
VI-887  
VI-888  
VI-889  
VI-890  
VI-891  
VI-892  
VI-893  
VI-894  
VI-895  
VI-896  
VI-897  
VI-898  
VI-899  
VI-900  
VI-901  
VI-902  
VI-903  
VI-904  
VI-905  
VI-906  
VI-907  
VI-908  
VI-909  
VI-910  
VI-911  
VI-912  
VI-913  
VI-914  
VI-915  
VI-916  
VI-917  
VI-918  
VI-919  
VI-920  
VI-921  
VI-922  
VI-923  
VI-924  
VI-925  
VI-926  
VI-927  
VI-928  
VI-929  
VI-930  
VI-931  
VI-932  
VI-933  
VI-934  
VI-935  
VI-936  
VI-937  
VI-938  
VI-939  
VI-940  
VI-941  
VI-942  
VI-943  
VI-944  
VI-945  
VI-946  
VI-947  
VI-948  
VI-949  
VI-950  
VI-951  
VI-952  
VI-953  
VI-954  
VI-955  
VI-956  
VI-957  
VI-958  
VI-959  
VI-960  
VI-961  
VI-962  
VI-963  
VI-964  
VI-965  
VI-966  
VI-967  
VI-968  
VI-969  
VI-970  
VI-971  
VI-972  
VI-973  
VI-974  
VI-975  
VI-976  
VI-977  
VI-978  
VI-979  
VI-980  
VI-981  
VI-982  
VI-983  
VI-984  
VI-985  
VI-986  
VI-987  
VI-988  
VI-989  
VI-990  
VI-991  
VI-992  
VI-993  
VI-994  
VI-995  
VI-996  
VI-997  
VI-998  
VI-999  
VI-1000

BULLETS 1, 2, 3  
VOL VI-310 (HARKNESS)

BULLETS 5-6  
XVI-945-946  
WOMAN SHOT  
VI-294

MOTOR CYCLE OFFICER  
BULLET 8  
XVII 15  
753  
XIX 535

KOOLADE BULLET VI-212  
BULLET 9  
HILL TESTIMONY

BULLET 13  
CHILD  
XXI-218

BULLET 14 JOHN WISEMAN  
XIX 535

BULLET 19  
SKEKTON VI-238

BULLET 20  
FOSTER SHOT-VI 252

BULLET 21  
TAGUE VII 552

BULLETS 10-11-12 VOL XX-32

BULLETS 15-16-17  
HILL VOL XVIII - 745

MRS CAROLYN WATTHEY

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL

ALL CARS SHOWN ARE THE  
PRESIDENTIAL AUTOMOBILE  
IN SUCCESSIVE POSITIONS

TEXAS School Book Bldg.

ACCESS ROAD

PARDON ME but No.44

MONUMENT

COLLECTING POOL

DEALEY PLAZA

ELM ST.

HOUSTON STREET

MAIN ST.

OVERPASS

did so soon after. These diversionary fire-crackers held their attention just long enough for the man in black to complete his mission. It is possible that Oswald fired his gun at that time too, thus compounding the diversionary noise. Just the matter of the fire-crackers alone, is enough to suggest a conspiracy.

So far we have covered bullets through bullet #17:

- BULLET #15 -Hit hair but missed the head of the man beside the pretty lady.
- BULLET #16 -Hit back of his head and was the fatal bullet if you reject Parkland and accept the Bethesda autopsy.
- BULLET #17 -Hit the "boss" in the right shoulder.

At this point, whether you chose Parkland or Bethesda, the man beside the lovely lady is quite dead. But the gunman did not know it for sure and he kept on shooting.

- BULLET #18 -Hit pavement of Elm Street [Vol. 6, p. 221]
- BULLET #19 -Hit pavement at left of presidential car. Skelton testimony [Vol.6, p.238]
- BULLET #20 -Hit turf near underpass and was discovered by Patrolman Foster. [Vol. 6, p. 252]
- BULLET #21 -Hit south curb of Main Street near underpass, a fragment of which hit Mr. James Tague in the cheek. [Vol. 7, p.552]

So there you have the evidence of at least 21 bullets fired by someone in Dallas during the murder of the man riding beside the lovely lady. After sober deliberations, the WARREN COMMISSION unanimously concluded:

"The consensus among the witnesses at the scene was that there were three shots fired. However some heard only two shots, while others testified that they heard four shots and perhaps as many as five or six shots."

Now I know that I'm just a country boy passing through life and I dislike appearing unkindly toward the Chief Justice and his friends. But when they tell me, unanimously, that "Oswald acted alone--only three shots were fired--there was no conspiracy" I sort of get my dander up. What do they think we are, anyway, stupid? How long have we Americans got to put up with the likes of them anyway?

There you have it---the solution to the most famous murder in history. Like most murders, the solution once deduced, seems obvious and simple. Oswald, although captured, performed his assignment well. The killer escaped, or for some reason, the WARREN COMMISSION has not decided to arrest him. Whatever the reason, all America should start asking why.

*For God and Country  
Clad Davis, Jr.*

OFF-THE-CUFF...

Frankly the foregoing was all I planned to include in this issue. Much more should be written, but at least for now, I feel that with this much data most anyone can find out for himself the rest of the story. Let's face it, if there has been this much fabrication in just the events around the first murder, imagine what a little investigation will discover about Ruby, and others...

Some of the folks who have had a chance to read these notes prior to publication have asked that I include a few OFF-THE-CUFF remarks concerning my opinions about these events in Dallas. Let's face it, I wasn't there. I did not see the exhibits nor listen to the testimony before the WARREN COMMISSION. But I have studied enough to have reached the conclusion, at least to my personal satisfaction, that two different conspiracies did exist: (1) to kill the President and (2) to bury the evidence. Since the second protected the first, to me they must have been related. Perhaps we shall never know for sure. In any case, what happened in Dallas did make LBJ our President.

It seems to be a political reality that there was no other way that the former Vice-President would ever become President. We also know that Kennedy suffered from a serious case of Addison's disease and according to some physicians who have watched his symptoms on TV, he would probably have been dead by now (1968) regardless of what happened in Dallas. Still, health permitting, it is certain that he would have been renominated in 1964. On the other hand, speculation was high that Johnson would have been "dumped", as Manchester put it, in favor of another closer to Kennedy concepts. Fate has a strange way of changing the calculations of men. At noon, Nov.22, 1963, Johnson was a politician on the way out while a couple of hours later he was being sworn in as the President. Just who put Johnson in as the President might seem an academic question at this point...except for the allegation of a conspiracy. Mr. Warren and his unanimous company say that Oswald did it after being motivated by a "climate of hate" in Dallas. Considering the continuous promotion of the Communist cause during the Johnson years, it just might seem logical that the Communists would want to see Johnson in the White House. Yet there is considerable evidence that the Communists were doing just fine under Kennedy. I guess I don't have to document that, do I?

With reference to "loner Oswald" it is a known fact that the Communists just do not act alone, but wait for orders under strict Party Discipline. Of course, we have now seen what the WARREN COMMISSION was unable to discover in 26 Volumes of testimony, that Oswald did not act alone but in a team play with the man in black and perhaps a few others...

The man in black has not been identified, hence we cannot know his political persuasion. But it is certain that at least one Communist wanted to see Mr. Johnson in the White House. Since it would seem that Oswald had nothing

personal to gain in the affair, normal speculation must certainly extend beyond the two activists stationed on opposite sides of Elm Street.

There are some who scoff at the idea of a conspiracy. Yet even Mrs. Kennedy hints at it in her classic words:

"I want them to see what they have done!"

The reference was to the blood on her clothes as she walked down the ramp from the plane in Washington. Who were THEY? Certainly not Oswald! As far as Mrs. Kennedy knew, he was safely locked up in the Dallas police station. At least he would not be seeing her coming down the ramp. What about the man in black? Where was he? Who knows for sure? Even if Mrs. Kennedy did know about him, she certainly would not have expected he would have arrived in Washington ahead of her. No, one must assume that she was thinking of the great men of Washington, the power elite, whom she knew would be there--Warren, Humphrey, McNamara, etc.---and those whom she may have thought had the most to gain by her sorrow... Anyway, THEY must have been properly impressed by her appearance.

So, for whatever the motive of the Dallas Conspiracy, it is a fact that a quick transfer of power was effected. Mr. Johnson does seem to have been the chief beneficiary. Nor was this the first time that Mr. Johnson had been the beneficiary of the violent death of another, as so aptly pointed out in Haley's book, A TEXAN LOOKS AT LYNDON. Mr. Johnson certainly has been unlucky for several people. One poor chap, THEY SAY, committed suicide by shooting himself a number of times with a bolt action .22 rifle. Others have passed away at convenient times from such things as "carbon-monoxide poisoning." These tragic events were most unfortunate for they have reflected badly upon Mr. Johnson, tarnishing his otherwise sparkling career as a public servant.

Personally, I believe that a murder, such as the one in Dallas, so difficult to execute and so risky for the murderers, must have been very carefully planned. Further, unless THEY were somehow involved, such elaborate cover-up efforts would be unnecessary. I mean, what's the point? If our two assassins concocted between them a clever scheme to kill the President, why should Warren and Company be a part of a cover-up?

Certainly, whatever the reasons, I am sure that they can argue that they have only the nation's best interests at heart. And I am sure that the liberal intellectuals would believe them.

Unfortunately, some of us average folks figure that Warren and Company covered up the facts to protect someone or some group... And we all know that they would not have done this had the assassin been a "rightist", thus it follows that the assassins must have been some part of the "leftist" camp occupied by Warren and his friends.

Other average folks take the position that Warren did what he did to protect the Communist International from being a part of the

murder of the President. They can effectively demonstrate that Warren has a lifetime record of helping and protecting the Communists and this is just another step in character. Better to sacrifice one man, calling him a "loner" than to drag the whole Communist Movement through the gutter of public opinion. This could be...

Other average folks figure that Warren and Company did what they did to protect the Office of Some High Official--naturally to protect America's foreign Image. Taking that line of reasoning down its logical chain of command, they get "hung up" on the conclusion that since Johnson had the most to gain, he must have been involved somehow. This could be... Actually, if Johnson did not perform properly, he had the most to lose.

William Manchester writes in his book, DEATH OF A PRESIDENT:

"Shriver...realized that Asia, Africa, and South America would assume that 'whoever had killed Kennedy would now be President.'"

While this may be true for the backward and under-developed countries of Asia, Africa, and South America, such is not necessarily the case in sophisticated America. Here such political murders are the products of a ruthless group of INSIDERS whose ultimate goal is world domination. They have worked at it now for more than 50 years and do not intend to let anyone stand in their way, as a study of the strange deaths of such patriots as James Forrestal will disclose. Whether friend or foe, if his death will further their cause, he will be eliminated...even the President of the United States.

At their direction, mass murders almost beyond comprehension have come to pass during these past 50 years. Countless millions have been enslaved and are being tortured and starved this very moment, while America, like a summer grass-hopper, amuses herself with material pleasures. And it is this group of INSIDERS who promote the concept of world government that are working side by side with the Socialists and the Communists toward that goal. Thus, by agreement and design, no Communist nation has ever been freed! Haven't you ever wondered about that?

By agreement and design, nations are divided in half. One half is given to the Communists and the other is fought over to some sort of compromise favorable to the Communists; Germany, Korea, and now Vietnam.

By agreement and design, Communist Dictators are supplied with goods and foreign aid which only help them secure a firmer grip on their hapless peoples. At the same time, the Communist Dictators send supplies to be used against America's youth fighting Communism with one hand tied behind their backs. Who makes these policies? It is the Rusks and the Rockefellers, the Johnsons and Javits', the Lodges, McCarthys, and Nixons who play this game. And once every four years, they choose up sides, pretend to be bitter opponents for the benefit of the Americans who like to take one side or the other just for

the sport. They then decide whether they will have a Democrat Socialist or a Republican Socialist, and once settled, go back to sleep for another four years.

By agreement and design, these INSIDERS manipulate us into wars which they do not intend to win, while dragging them out endlessly against enemies who have but a fraction of our strength.

By agreement and design, these INSIDERS manipulate our currency, inflating the dollar so that they can rob us of our savings. The gold leaves America for the vaults of the world, our own gold mines closed by the government, while our enemies mine it with slave labor. Our nation is nearly bankrupt, our debt higher than all other nations combined, and the Republican and Democrat INSIDERS still send foreign aid to the Communists around the world. And Americans are lead to believe that the peanut-butter quarter has something to do with a silver shortage.

By agreement and design, these INSIDERS betrayed the Cubans into Communism, the Algerians into Communism, the people of China into Communism. These INSIDERS attacked and destroyed the anti-Communists in Katanga while tricking the American people into thinking their savings bonds were being used to fight Communism. Now they want to try the same thing on anti-Communist Rhodesia and South Africa. And if they do, what will you bet that they will get away with it?

And these INSIDERS betray their own American servicemen by giving the enemy privileged sanctuaries in time of war. They let two-bit pirates steal the U.S.S PUEBLO and do nothing to recover the ship or crew.

And having done all this and more, could these INSIDERS have any moral restrictions about the murder of the President? Or of a Senator? Or of a Civil Rights Leader?

There can be no other logical conclusion to the facts outlined in this pamphlet than there was a conspiracy to murder the President and that this conspiracy was somehow related to the conspiracy to cover-up the details of that murder after the fact.

There would be little point to bringing this matter to your attention if there were nothing that we average Americans could do about it. There is a great deal that we can do, and in fact must do, if we are to save our nation from the same fate that these INSIDERS have imposed upon nearly 40% of the rest of the world. As Americans we must first become informed as to just who these INSIDERS are and what their program is in our country. To do that, it will be necessary to do some homework. Write to J. Edgar Hoover, Director of the FBI, for advice as to where to begin. I suggest that you first read Hoover's two books, A STUDY OF COMMUNISM and MASTERS OF DECEIT. Or you may prefer W. Cleon Skousen's book, THE NAKED COMMUNIST. Skousen was on Hoover's staff for years. Once you have one of those books under your belt you should zero in on one aspect of this conspiracy---the one which

now controls the Government of the United States. Read another book by a former FBI agent from Hoover's staff, THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT. This one gives you the names of the very people involved. It gives you the motives for what they are trying to do.

By the time you have read just two of the above books you will begin to understand what is going on in America. Skousen shows you just how the Communists and their friends plan to have America by no later than 1973. Other experts state, based upon accelerated events since Skousen's book, give us less than a year before any effective opposition to them will be impossible! The best way that I can hope to convince you of the validity of that very serious statement, is to challenge you to try to prove it wrong. Find out who it is who controls the news media, who sets the policies of the State Department or the National Council of Churches.

Are Americans becoming "state of mind" Communists without knowing it? Try some simple experiments like flying your American flag every day for a while or putting an American flag lapel pin on your suit or dress. Then watch and see what happens. People who have done this get phone calls from the neighbors asking them, "What are you trying to prove with that flag?" Do you see what these INSIDERS have done to control your neighbors' thought patterns? Why do you suppose you, a good average American, do not become enraged at those companies who trade with the enemy? Yet what would your reaction have been just twenty years ago had these same companies delivered war materiel to the Nazis? Do you see what is happening to us? We are slowly being conditioned to accept treason as a national policy. Is that what you want for America and the future of your children? It is not what I want either, but I am doing all that I can and it is not enough. We need you and we need you now!

Before you make that decision to publicly stand for America and against the Communist Movement and the INSIDERS who control it, consider carefully, and remember that you will be pitting yourself against the most highly financed programs in history. If you are openly an anticommunist, if you demand that we win the wars we commit our sons to fight, you will be branded as an "extremist." If you point out the Communist hand in the riots, expect to be called a "racist". In every community in America there are groups of citizens who stand ready to ridicule and smear you, and will do anything they can think of to keep you silent. Can you take it? If you can't, brother, you had better forget it. And I honestly and sincerely mean it.

There is nothing more frustrating to a group of patriots than someone who wants to fight these INSIDERS and their Communist friends without their friends, neighbors and business associates finding out.

If you mean business and want to help, remember that America's enemies know far better than most Americans, the persons and organizations who present the greatest threat to them. Take LIFE MAGAZINE as a typical

example. We know that this magazine is owned and controlled by members of the COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS, one of the INSIDER groups. It was LIFE who printed the altered photographs, misleading you about the truth of the Dallas Conspiracy. It was LIFE who has done a number of similar things over the years. Now doesn't it stand to reason that the men LIFE promotes as political candidates must be those who promote the ideas of the INSIDERS? Conversely, anyone whom LIFE attacks must be the patriots. Since you can expect that the Editorial Staff of LIFE is far more versed on the background of all issues and candidates than most Americans, doesn't it make sense that whoever LIFE is against, we should be supporting? I have never known that simple rule to have been inaccurate. I suggest that you watch LIFE between now and November and make your choices accordingly.

As J. Edgar Hoover has stated, if you are in any patriotic group that is not being smeared, you had better get out, for you can not be doing very much. Doesn't that make sense? Once again, may I suggest that you watch LIFE MAGAZINE for a guide? Have you ever seen LIFE expose a Communist Front? Have they ever printed a list of the current Communist goals for America? Do they tell you the Communist background and affiliations of people whom they write about. Why not, do you suppose? Surely they know about them. I'm just a country boy and I know about them. So, I think that you can't go very wrong by saying that if LIFE is against any group of patriots, they just might be worthy of your support.

Take PASTOR JOHN for instance. I think so much of this minister that I have dedicated this booklet in his memory. It is not so much because he was a patriot and died for his country in a far-off land. Many have done that. It is not so much that he was a victim of the Communist Conspiracy, for there have been so many of those. Nor is it because the INSIDERS chose to conceal the truth about his death to protect the Communists. Nor did I pick him because of the fact that by pure chance he happened to be the first American to die at the hands of the Communists, thus through his death, World War III, the war with the Communists, had begun. No, I did not select him for any of these reasons even though every one would be valid because of the content of this issue. I chose PASTOR JOHN because he exemplifies to me what an American should be--a patriot dedicated to the service of God and Country and who died rather than moderate his principles. His name was Rev. John Birch, Captain, United States Army. The story of his life will make you proud to be an American. Naturally you can see why the INSIDERS want you to never know him, and make any who are dedicated to his principles seem an extremist. So carefully and systematically have the INSIDERS degraded his name that almost any American thinks him to be bad, although they cannot provide one reason for the way they think. This is a classic symptom of psycho-politics commonly known as "brainwashing". I hope that you have not fallen for it but I am not surprised if you have--until now. And since the organization dedicated to his principles has met with an

attack by the INSIDERS which far surpasses any other similar group, may I suggest that you examine its program as one of the best ways to roll back the Communist Conspiracy?

There are some who may ask if I have read every one of the books about the Dallas Conspiracy. The answer is, no. The mark of an educated man is to know what to read. Take this fellow, Mark Lane. He wrote a book that caused a lot of talk a while back. Since I know that Attorney Mark Lane has been in the employ of Lee Harvey Oswald's mother and is listed on the 1960 roster of the Communist Front known as the "National Lawyers Guild" what's the point in me reading his book?

What about Jim Garrison? You will note that I have not mentioned anything concerning this DALLAS CONSPIRACY which relates back to New Orleans. It is not because I am not familiar with it. I have what I feel are just reasons. Clue: As always, watch for who attacks him. Presently, his attackers in the New Orleans area, some rather prominent people down there, are INSIDERS whose connections reach into the center of the Communist Conspiracy. Enough said for now...

#### LOADED QUESTIONS FOR MR. WARREN

1. What was the purpose of the four white pillows seen on the front seat of the Vice Presidential car shown on page 23 of LIFE, Nov. 29, 1963?
2. Concerning the picture of Oswald on the cover of LIFE, Feb. 21, 1964, in what position, relative to Oswald, is the sun?
3. As we all know, Vice President Johnson was riding in the Vice Presidential car. Will you point him out to me in the ALTGENS photograph?
4. Since the top half of Ruby's left index finger was amputated as the result of a fight, how is it that so many pictures of him show a complete left hand?
5. Can you give me one good reason why different copies of LIFE, Oct. 2, 1964 show two different pictures marked as Frame #6. I have both magazines in my files. One shows the common "bloody head" shot, and another shows a rather clear picture of the man beside the lovely lady?
6. Since Mrs. Kennedy refused to take off her blood-soaked gloves, how did she manage to put her wedding ring on his finger? (Vol. 21, p. 153)
7. What time of day did Mrs. Connally arrive in Dallas?
8. Gov. Connally reportedly laundered his shirt removing all traces of blood. Why?
9. Could you please indicate the source of the gunsmoke or smoke smelled by Senator Yarborough (Vol. 20, p. 351) and nurse Lozano (Vol. 21, p. 213, 214) ?

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS---

For several years I have known of the valid points in the speculation of several writers, yet there was always some conclusion which I felt was unsupported, either in fact or motivation. Having sifted the most logical conclusions of these writers, I have presented what I feel is an air-tight solution as to who did it and why. Having omitted those conclusions of others which I felt unsupported, I believe it should be understood that I do not want to be on record in support of any except as expressed herein.

1. Special thanks goes to Mr. George C. Thomson of Glendale, California whose Engineering Firm did the scientific and physical research which established the point of origin of all bullets as being the position where the man in black was standing. Mr. Thomson granted me a release to any and all of his work which I wished to use in preparing this pamphlet. It is hoped by this writer that a grateful nation will someday recognize the efforts made by this patriotic American.
2. Special thanks to the Boston Criminologist who granted me seven hours of his valuable time in a scientific analysis of the available photographs and advice as to the presentation of evidence.
3. Special thanks to the Framingham, Mass. authority on the Kennedy Assassination for his review and comments.
4. Special thanks to the artist whose sketches and drawings make this publication interesting and unique.
5. Special thanks to the New Jersey patriot who donated his files of clippings concerning the Dallas Conspiracy and to the Nashua housewife who sorted, filed, and sequenced them.
6. Special thanks to another New Jersey patriot who donated a set of the WARREN COMMISSION HEARINGS to Pardon Me, but..
7. Special thanks to my attorney for his advice and encouragement.
8. Special thanks to BILL'S GUN SHOP of Nashua, N.H. for donating an Italian Carbine like the one owned by Communist Oswald. Even if it is a "piece of junk" it is greatly appreciated.

And there are so many more who should be singled out from the gals who maintain the mailing lists to the patient printer, and whose efforts for Pardon Me, but... go on unheralded month after month. To all of these, my heart-felt thanks.

And it goes without saying that this special and costly issue of PARDON ME, but... could never have been printed had it not been for the small donations for this purpose sent in by several hundred readers.

JUST A FEW OF THE REFERENCES---

HEARINGS BEFORE THE PRESIDENT'S COMMISSION ON THE ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY. Volumes 1-26. U.S. Government Printing Office \$76.00

THE WARREN REPORT. The official conclusions of the above hearings.

MARKSMANSHIP IN DALLAS--Oliver AMERICAN OPINION, September 1967  
QUEST FOR TRUTH--George C. Thomson, Glendale, California plus personal interview.  
LIFE MAGAZINE as indicated.  
SATURDAY EVENING POST as indicated.  
SIX SECONDS IN DALLAS--Josiah Thompson  
DEATH OF A PRESIDENT--William Manchester  
FOUR DAYS- Historical Record- UPI  
DAN SMOOT REPORT--Dec. 2, 1963 and others.  
TRUE NEWS--January 1967  
JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY...AS WE REMEMBERED HIM  
A Columbia Records Legacy Collection Book

OFF-THE-CUFF...REFERENCES---

MASTERS OF DECEIT- J. Edgar Hoover  
A STUDY OF COMMUNISM--J. Edgar Hoover  
THE NAKED COMMUNIST--W. Cleon Skousen  
THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT--Dan Smoot  
SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS--  
Nesta Webster  
PROOFS OF A CONSPIRACY--John Robison (1798)  
SHANGHAI CONSPIRACY--Maj. Gen. Charles A. Willoughby  
THE SECRET GOVERNMENT--Mary M. Davison.  
CONQUEST WITH WORDS--Roy Colby  
DISTORTION BY DESIGN--B.J. Hargis  
THE UNELECTED--Gary Allen  
BLUNDERS OR TREASON?--Bryton Barron  
THE WEB OF SUBVERSION-- James Burnham  
A TEXAN LOOKS AT LYNDON--J. Evetts Haley  
THE STRANGE DEATH OF JAMES FORRESTAL--Cornell Simpson  
DEATH OF A NATION--John Stormer  
THE LIFE OF JOHN BIRCH--R.W. Welch  
THE ACTOR--Alan Stang  
46 ANGRY MEN--Doctors of Katanga  
THE FEARFUL MASTER--Edward Griffin  
APOSTLES OF DECEIT--Maj. Edgar Bundy  
GUIDE TO SUBVERSIVE ORGANIZATIONS AND PUBLICATIONS, House Committee on Un-American Activities, U.S. Government Printing Office

And many others.

ARMED AND CONSIDERED DANGEROUS...

A surprising number of my readers, upon learning of my intention to expose the DALLAS CONSPIRACY, have written urging that I either abandon the idea or be very careful about my personal safety. They point out the remarkably high mortality rate among the witnesses and others who apparently could provide information embarrassing to these conspirators. Surely it is true that anyone who has made a study of this affair and has enough understanding to be able to ask the questions on the preceding page, must know much more than is written here. Such a person just might be "considered dangerous" to the INSIDERS when "armed" with these facts.

In spite of that however, you can be assured that I am not the least bit concerned. Since this crime has now been exposed, and widely distributed, the most embarrassing thing that could happen, from the viewpoint of these INSIDERS, would be for me to meet with an unfortunate accident of some kind.

Further, my medical record shows that I have a very strong heart and it would be unlikely that I would have a heart attack as reported


for Dorothy Kilgallen and Oswald's landlady.

A couple were reported "shot" but that could have been because they were unable to shoot back; still others committed "suicide" which, of course, is out of the question.

And there is an Unseen Hand which I am assured will protect me as long as I have a job to do for Christ and our country.  
N.D.

**LIFE**

NOVEMBER 29, 1963



OCTOBER 2, 1964

