Mr. Roland Culligan
James River Correctional Center
State Farm, Va. 23160
Dear Ar. Vulligan,

As you indicated in your phone call, I did get a letter from Kim Grosscope and some of your records, identified with the numbers 33, 36, 43, 46, and 47.

Kim asked me to return the enclosures but that was before you were moved, so I'm sending them back to you and I'm sending him a cabon of this letter so he'll know.

There are special problems today in publishing enything at all relating to the CIA if an employment contract had been signed with it. The best-known case is that of Frank Snepp. The courts have upheld the CIA in its position that all former employees must submit their manuscripts for review prior to publications. After this decision I doubt very much that any publisher would be willing to face the great costs of defending such litigation.

As Kim did not know, I've had some serious health problems since I last saw him. These limit what I can do. One might be to try to interest someone in the press. That, however, would require more than Kim sent because the average reporter would say that all of these things could be self-serving.

I am probably in a position to evaluate the information relating to the JFK assassination and to indicate any potentials in it if you could care to get a copy of it to me.

Copies of the court papers, both sides, could be of use with the press.

The CIA's letter to you is almost three years old. And reporter would want to see what they eventually sent you. Ditto with the responses to the other letters.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg

## A threats

By MATHEW PAUST Times-Herald Staff Writer

Roland Bernard Culligan filed suit in federal court last week against a Newport News police detective, an agent of the FBI and Stansfield Turner, director of the Central Intelligence Agency

Culligan c'aims in separate actions that his constitutional rights are being violated by harrassment, false charges and, in the Turner action, by the CIA's "threatening to cause the death of" Culligan and his family.

The suit against Turner, joined by Culligan's wife, seeks \$10 million damages in addition to return of the contents of "a numbered bank account" in New York which, the suit contends, constitute "all earned salaries, bonuses and monies" since May 1950 when Culligan "signed a first contract" with the CIA with the rank of first lieutenant.

He claims currently to be a brigadier general, requesting also in the suit that he be granted "retirement" from the CIA.

Attached statements hint darkly at a "career" of cloak and dagger capers.

"For 20 years I worked with the CIA in a deep undercover situation, going from job to job, country to country until January 1971," reads a handwrittenstatement to U.S. District Court Judge George C.

Young, Orlando, Fla., while Culligan was incarcerated in Orange County jail "on charges perpetrated by CIA," dated Aug. 13, 1977. The statement was attached to court papers filed last week.

Currently residing with his wife and daughter in Hampton, Culligan has refused to elaborate on statements contained in the Turner suit.
"That wan all come out in the trial," he told The

Times-Herald.

CIA spokesman Dale Peterson today told The Times-Herald his agency would make no comment on the case since it is litigation. The spokesman would not say whether Culligan is, or has ever been, associated with the CIA.

In another statement attached to the court papers, this one addressed to Turner and dated Oct. 30, 1978, Cuiligan beseeches the CIA director to allow him to "disappear into the scenery without noise, notoriely or fan fare."

If not, the statement says, "I am prepared to publish, print, speak out, yell, scream to anyone who will listen. My wife and I have fought CIA and suffered for five long years, we will 'let it all hang out' now if need be.'

From 1950 to 1970, Culligan says, he kept a daily journal "listing names, dates, memos, pictures, Continued on page 15, col. 3

Wrs. Culligan has posted \$10,000 bond in Hampton t charge of being a fugitive from Florida where Tennessee on a bad check count. on a charge of being a fugitive tickets, telegrams, promotions, orders and a day to he says.

In Culligan's suit against Newport News Police Det. John C. Thomas and FBI Special Agent Robert defendants as well as unspecified monetary damages for "improper legal incarceration of 98 days." G. Prince, criminal charges are sought against the be found in Florida, Alabama, Tennessee, Wisconsin, the Federal Bureau of Prisons and in Newport News original is safely hidden)," he wrote to Judge Young suit against Turner that criminal records pertaining to them can

Culligans concede in their

and

an was arrested Jan. a Tennessee fugitive warrant which was later dismissed in Newport News "forged and/or improperly logged" against Culligan. subsequently "changed" and that the original warrant is "refused" District Court because the The suit alleges that Culligan These include, according to the suit, 13 felony charges against Culligan - all but two of which, he claims, have been dismissed - and four charges 'both They ask that all charges of record be expunged misdemeanor and felony" brought against Mrs. Cul-

charged in Newport

Culligan currently is

grand larceny by

Prince slapped a bad check charge on him, which the solicited...by coercion and impro-

credit card and credit

latming that he he rank of Air eiss in a charge trict Court, May those to whom checks had been Culligan includes among the Force Colonel) to pass worthless brought against Culligan in U.S. 17, 1977 in West Falm Beach, Fl suit against Turrer a verbal by FBI Special Agent James impersonated

federal artion agair \$100,000 yacht and a 1975 Cadille Air Force advise that their records that unnamed "representatives" Bernard Culligan



## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

2 5 SEP 1979

Christopher W. Farrell P.O. Box 1117 Jensen Beach, FL 33457

Dear Mr. Farrell:

This responds to your letter of 7 September, which was received in my office on 11 September.

In your letter you complain about the length of time involved in our responding to your earlier letters. As we attempted to explain to you in our letter of 24 August, we have a significant backlog which is causing us difficulty in responding to requests in a more timely fashion. I regret that our backlog is still with us. Under the circumstances, we are doing the best we can to apportion our time to handling requests and/or letters from requesters. I regret these circumstances and can only apologize for any delays in responding to you.

In view of our backlog problems, we attempted to be as straightforward as possible in our 24 August letter in describing our problems in handling requests and giving you our best estimate of when you might receive the results of our searches for this information you requested.

In your 4 September letter you drew a comparison between the processing of General Calligan's request and yours.

Also, you stated that General Culligan has received his final response from us. A review of his file does not bear this out. This office has released no information in response to General Culligan's Freedom of Information request.

Regarding the referral of a document to us by the Secret Service, it will be processed when our search for other information on you has been completed.

Please be assured I will respond to your request promptly as soon as we complete all processing.

Sincerely,

George W. O wens

George W. Owens Information and Privacy Coordinator

na Min

-36

30 December, 1981

Admiral Bobby R. Inman, Deputy Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Admiral;

Your Mr. Donovan, (I believe that is his name) has called. His offer of my re-employment was a surprise. I was given until 2 January, 1982 to accept or reject the offer.

Why now? Central Intelligence, it would seem, has gained what it wanted; my imprisonment. So, why now?

At no time, since 1973, has CIA kept any promise or offer to me.

The contracted imprisonment in 1971 to 1973, was to have ended with retirement for me. Not so. General Odum insisted on JUST ONE MORE JOB. The fake retirement at Patrick A.F.B. in 1978, ended with my family and me barely escaping with our lives. The agreement between Tony Lapham, General Counsel, and me, ended in one printed story in a "girly" magazine! Admiral Turner's visit to my home, and subsequent agreement, never completed. He never returned to Orlando, Florida and Judge Young's chambers. The agreement made with Vice President Elect Bush, in December 1980, my then promotion to three stars, the expungement of all FBI files on me then and my trip to Washington in January, 1981, all were tricks, all were false?

So, I ask, why do you feel I should trust you now? If you and I are to reach any sort of agreement, it must be after you have erased all the past errors, the past lies, the past tricks. What about the contract I signed in Albuquerque, New Mexico at Kirkland Air Force Base, witnessed by Base Commander and two others? Was it a lie too, or is it still in force?

If you want me, I am willing to accept the offer of re-employment. The details I leave to you. You, all there at CIA, know what you are getting; a 55 year old General, who is mighty tired of being treated as a national traitor.

You and I must sit down and talk. And, I will not talk to anyone else, prior to contract signing, or final agreement.

Very truly yours,

R. B. Culligan Lieut. General CIA Erin G. OBRAUGH

Post Office Eox 7501 Hampton, Virginia 23666 23 March 1982

Director of Personnel Legartment of Defense United State Air Force Pentagon Building Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Sir,

This is the second letter written to your office. The first letter being written in August 1980.

The undersigned officer was promoted to the rank of Lieutenant General on 21 September 1980, before the following officers as witnesses; Colonel Sheppard (now Brig. Gen.), Major Austin (now Lt. Col.), at Kirkland AFa, Albuquerque, New Hexico. General Sheppard was, at that time, Base Commander. Major Austin was CIA representative, at Kirkland AFB. At the same time, 21 September, a new contract was signed between the undersigned officer and CIA. The same witnesses in attendance.

It must be assumed no criminal record, of any kind, existed against the undersigned officer on 21 September 1980, by virtue of the promotion to Lieutenant General.

On 21 January 198! the undersigned officer was arrested and charged with eight felonies, in Hampton, Virginia. This officer was subsequently found guilty and sentenced to four years in the Virginia pen±thtiary, on 19 June 1981 and 24 August 1981.

No officer in the United StatesAir Force can hold a commission and stand convicted of any crime. Because of this situation, I have asked to be discharged, or retired from active duty. Except for a "sheep dip" discharge effected on 2 January 1951, I have never been discharged, retired, or in anyother way, separated from active service since my enlistment, 16 February 1943.

buring the trial of 24 August 1981, a statement, by one of the officers of the court was made, to the effect I had been honorably discharged from the USAF. I am aware of to such action, on the part of the Mr Force. If such a History exists, I want a copy of that document.

Very truly yours

Roland B, Culligan Ligutenant Ceneral ConF-CIA

The Arice on 7501 Trackon, Virginia 23063 To April 1932

GENERAL DANG C. JOHES, CHAIRMAN JOHET CHROLD OF HOULE Depart only of the 30 P. Sugon While has J. C. LOGOT

um' eneral lones;

I have written twice, to the Director of Personnel, USAF, with no new tree. We will then to which Hooby to taman, appear inco-tor, Central Intelligence Agency. Copies of both letters enclosed.

communication with idringle frame, I have been in continuous communication with idringle Innants outlies. Then, there have been no actions that would indicate real progress in a furtherny that I was solving wether I am to be experted and reinstated. In, experted service with CII, my retirement from USAF, and/or disposition of my service, in any capacity.

it fris writing, the undersigned is incremented at Jones River concentrate deficies, while tarm, virginia.

I am asking you to personally intervene, immmy behalf. I went to be discharged, retired, or, in some way, separated from reservice;

The current conviction of the undersigned officer, is a farse. In the rest for years ( leve been charged with every limit of intent transfer in the order of the conviction. Thirty sine (D) times. Yes, to there this officer has been expected and sine as a keneration was taken. In every case, it is must true, the every case, it is must true, as a farse. The correlation is being appealed.

In the sum time, I longuish is this pri . All post of a correct I as't for your help, holdly, and with conflictnee. I do so, because, through long years of homorable service, I have earned that right.

Very truly on my

. oland E. Calturan Leatment wascal VSAF-CIA

mal: Intal Labter S AP meanual labber

Kim S. Grosscope D.C.C. - 109779 Rt.1, Box 22 Capron, Virginia 23829

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, Maryland 21701

