Go Gory, Zal, Jim na fron En

I heve ghaye relt Jastein's comproneneion tas lon or he wes cuide by othere. ou heve probebly heare me refe to Liebler se hie bennfactor and sey (and, indead, write, in IIII) thot without Iisceler zpstein moulchove hod no book. Enth ere possible, if not probeble, despite his intel ieence, for understending this suctect recuires mere then intelligence.
edneacy I was eble to shend: fe.. hourgat the onmal cmerican Boossellers' hssociation conventin in ashington fowally ten there every day 311 day). I tes eiven a bound set of proofs of bounte rplot". Tis was en edition int nded for rush purposes, it ould seem, as tocugh por geting reviet copies in the hands oi reviewers before poeing. Ii the peae nuinbers witten in whery tiay end cre accurate, then materiel was adea, for some of infoges are light, not enough tye. I cite this as inatation there was a suduen ruch on tue bosk, iise to use agai ast Garwison, for it mas underteken when there wee no sien of trial dote.

I nove hed time only to glence at it. The "prologua" has a real catchy title, "Csmele in fer orleens". Thot is oll I've reed so far. Werever, reauing tast, even with the low opinion of tostetn it can ec urately be said I e njoy, thet shocked me. It has tor grossest error in it and is clesrly desiened to protect the povernent one the bnaission and its lepont and, neturelly and decentiy, Liecoler. his is true of thet it seye as well os wat it fails to say.

The proxlogue tifle is nct the only unorigingl thing in the prologue. This "eaition" is without the notes, so I do not kno: whet he cites. Ho: ever, it is clear that single-citation footnotes ail not cover the material use, therefore I assume that ne revely took from others wat he desired. The internel eviance
 in it that is in no other source, of iciel or chersise, end epstein uses it.

However, these ere not things $\because$ a tese your timex or mine with. In the prologue are thires that ore either inventions, by Eytain on others for him, or he hos hed access to oficici informetion not in amy weve been able to sea or get, and this s the purpose ci my witing. Aiter their hessle in Colif., I am incline to bslieve Licbeler is not the scurce, as I am elso inclined to beliave
 prior to this mitins. Bearing on this re sevorsl otren thince indiceting on Qpatein-covemment relationship, neither of whech is sbolutely centain. Taryy
 male for thetein to sec the rictures and -reys so he could report on them. It this wes true, I wes able to end the rossibility $e s$ son es I returned bome. nd two bright Univ. iise. stuments phonea me ineiately after they had ne diled Jostein


hen I can I wily tinsoh this bock. If eny of you h ve sny thoughts from hovine read it I' welcone them. And, beceuse I cancot go out cod buy such crap, wile I mont to have the finished eajiticn, I'll woit until it is remeinaered. If ond when you se it on sule for a dolyor, whin might be now, I d epreciste. your geting a copy for me. Weaninile, I'Il be annotating this oen. Later I can compere than. In other ceses, this hes been fruitiol.

