

6/9/72

Dear Dana,

I have had nothing from you since I took the time to write you a long letter and to send you copies of John Ray's letters to me. I now have another from him, with what might be some viable leads and with what can be worthwhile points of law and decisions. I will respond as soon as I finish this letter.

Will you please let me know whether or not you have received what I sent, if you plan to do anything or have, and if so what?

Should I keep sending you things or is that a waste of time?

When people work together, they have to know what they are doing.

This is your vacation period. I know that is a prized time for you. I have no vacation period. To keep current on correspondence I had to get up before daylight today. It is not yet six a.m. All my time is prized to me.

At 59, with all the work I have undertaken, I don't want to waste any time, not even in unnecessary short letters. So, please let me know the score.

One of the things I'd like to know, besides whether or not you or any others of your group have done anything or are going to is your estimate of the impact of the CA decision. From Nampa's description to me, this seems like still another that has been contrived that will receive wide application in political cases. It seems like a very bad decision, and I can't expect the Nixon Supreme Court to take a traditional Constitutional view of it or the issues involved.

I will not make copies and send further letters to you if you are not doing anything. On the other hand, if you are, then I should. But in the dark, I have no basis for making a decision either way.

What gives?

Best,

Harold Weisberg