Mr. Les Whitten 1401 16 St., NV Washington,D.C. 20036

long silent friend,

I want to pay you in advance before I ask you to consider a couple of favors. In the CIA IC's report wary missed a key words psycho-accustical.

You may remember that Red Gibson picked those copies up from you and care here with them. He and I worked until about 3 a.m. I had to get him up at 6 to catch his plane. I had no time to read those papers. As you know I gave them to him. He was to have copied and returned them. He delegated this to an office worker who lost control. I have not gotten all the pages back. And they've kept him on the Mare shuttle since. What I did get I sent to my source, who has not released me from not Alsolosing his identity. He is excited and has given me good feedback. I'd like to send him every page possible. I'll pay the cost of another set if you'll be kind enough to have them made. Two if you can stretch it. I'll be in D.C. Thursday and can pick them up, haybe you'd like to have lanch with "im lesser and me after we see what we can do to the DJ in court?

It is getting to the point where there is a story in what we are doing. I think it can interest you, personally if not for a column.

My source sent me a device removed from his phone some time ago. Both ways. I got it a while back and he removed it before that. I had made other arrangements about which I am now uneasy to see if an expert, which I'm not, can reach any conclusions about this bug. That's what I think it is, a room, transmitting bug, not a phone-tapping device. If you know dependable people who can examine and opine, I'll bring this to you.

The behavior-modification story is far from all told. Even after Church's report, which I've not read. I mean this to include the drug part. I can't say more now.

From a good source I have learned of more surveillance on me that I'd known of. I did inow of more than the agencies I asked let me have. Each more. My new information includes other agencies. It is over my work on political assessinations. There has been much official stonesalling. Jim and I are t overly-committed and limited in what we can do so we haven't filed suit yet. We will, Wrapped into a ball it is pretty Byzantine. Without pictures of kinky sex, which can't exist on me. Do you think that with the past year's changes "ack would be interested in an effort to develop the story? If so I'll give you these new leads and tell you more in confidence.

It can make one paramoid to posser why all the specks were so interested in my work on the JFK assassination, more when at the time ti began I restricted myself to what the Warren Commission said.

There have been changes, major changes, in judicial attitudes toward my FOIA suits on the JFK and King assessinations. I think it can interest you, whether or not for a column. I expect to be able to exercise discovery against FBI and CIA, including with agents whose early retirements I've forced. One judge has indicated he'll fall his witness room if the stonewalling doesn't stop. I can believe that after this the lesser evil in official concepts can be giving me what I seek. I'll then want to give it away quickly to prevent them pulling a disinformation operation on it first. Another has told DJ not to try the case on a reading of my mind. And we've frustrated the clear intent of rewriting the law through me and prejudice against the subject.

I'll be away a couple of hours Wednesday afternoon but my wife will probably be here. I'll be leaving for D.C. early Thursday morning. Thanks and best,