HAROLD WEISBERG

7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

9/1/94

Editor, Columbia Journalism Review 700 Journalism Bldg., Columbia University "New York, NY 10027

"Stahford Scholar Critizes Pulitzer Board" is the headline of a San Francisco <u>Chronicle</u> story I was sent some time after it appeared in the April 21, 19994 issue.

Professor David Kennedy is quoted as complaining because, "I was outraged because we made a good-faith recommendation of books we thought were worthy" df the 1993 history award.

One of the three books that panel recommended was Gerald Posser's knowingly mistitled <u>Case Closed: Lee Harvey Oswald and the Assassination of JFK. Random House</u>, 8/93; Anchor, 8/94)

Kennedy said he regarded the "no-award decision" as " an insult mot only to the authors but to the very distinguished Mistorians who constituted the panel."

I wrote Kennedy J_une 9 asking him what checking he had done of Posner's book and, "Did any of you prestigious eminences ask yourselves if you were in a gosition to evaluate that book? By other than taking his word?" I also told him to cpunt his blessings.

He has not replied.

Before writing Kennedy my butchered in haste but solid Case Open: The Unissions, Diatortions and Falsifications of Case Closed" appeared. In it, without a peep of protest from Posner, Random House or any lawyer speaking for either of them I refer to Posner as a shyster, a plagiarizer, as I liar who has trouble telling the truth by accident and as a man who was capable of all the dishonesty required by his formula book, to take the side opposite that of Uliver Stone's succesful movie (for which I originated the criticism because he described it as non-fiction), and I referred to his book as the most deliberately dishonest on the subject despite the stiff competition for that distinction.

In Posner's reprint he make no effort to fefu te, even to deny, these rather strong criticisms of him and his book that almost got the history Pullitzer.

¹⁴y point is how truly dcanadlous it would have been if so gross and deliberate atta a fraud (as which I a, so describe in in <u>Case Open</u>) had actually been awarded the Pulitzer and how the Pilitzers would have been debaged.

2

I suggest that it is past time for some system to be devised so that books that require both criticial faculties and subject-matter expertise are examined by panels with these qualifications. Having a PhD in history, for example, is not qualification for considering books like those on the political assassinations.

The swamps of academe coze with professors who are either blind and ignorant conspiracy theoriests or as blind, unthinking and ignorant in their support of the official account of the assassination.

The subject matter itself was of enormous importance but there should be no remard for what I also applied to Posner, literary whoring.

I use this strong language not only because Posner and Random House were mute when they read it in my <u>Case Open</u> but to emphasize the great danger to the prestige and importance of Fulitzer awards that can be so easily cheapened by literary prostitution the panels are not qualified to evaluate or are too busy to check out.

(I have had a high regard fo the fulitzers since when I was a very young reporter on the Wilmington, Delaware <u>Morning News</u> doing storm relief work with radio amateurs in the c days of relatively rpimitive communication I made the winning of that years Pulitzer for local reporting by filling in what was incomprehensible and then getting it out. And I apologize for my typing, I'm 84, unwell, must keep my legs elevated and the will'as we called them then to the side, and I cannot use a computer. If you question my credultials for making this criticism, I filed more than a dozen successful BOIA lawauit by which I recused fro official oblivion about a third of a million previouslysuppressed pages and in one of these lawsuits the Department of Justice told that court that I know more about the JFK ascassing theories of either exterme, the longtman in the middle."

Sincerely, Haw Wisberg