

2/3/69

Dear Paul,

My apologies. Your 1/20 was mailed when I was in N.O. You sent me a carbon there and I read it. You sent me the docs at home. When I got home, with an enormous stack of accumulation, what I had taken with me, what I brought back, and with the great urge to get the Clark material on paper, I didn't even open that pkg until just now! As I told you in an earlier note, now that I have that ms done, good or bad, and am too tired to do much thinking, I'd spend the day cleaning things up until my guests arrive at 3:30 (on the threat against me the other a.m.). Thus I have yet to read the docs but will as soon as I can.

Now, on the Clark-medical reports: I have found the copies I had made for you and Gary and I have his in an envelope to send him. You have written the DJ and gotten the single, 16-page panel report. I have asked you to write for everything for several reasons: by phone they say there was no press release, and with Shaw they pulled the same cover, so it can be true. But, to the judge they did not give the Marshall memo, which I got. Oh, I think it would be good were you to write them. I do not get the time of day. After you hear from them, this is what there is to the file I have and I will send you whatever you say you lack: the brief, a letter to Clark from Roswell, the "heads affidavit, with Burke Marshall contract attached, the five-page autopsy-doctors' statement, undated but signed with 1/26/67 date, and the report you have. In addition, and I presume he has or will send copies to both, Bud has the complete brief (without pictures) that was actually presented in court last week. It includes the Jensen report. I have no extra copies. His office address is 527 1/2 N.W., Washington. (Because by Tuesday the DJ had not informed the judge of any witnesses, we presume they will produce none. I think they will do what they can to stall so the case will be moot, anyway. They'd be away to put any one of the doctors on the stand. It would be murder!)

Your comments on the Clark memo I did earlier noted. I will file with ms for future. Some day I'll get back to that. You may be right on Marcello, but that would only make Kennedy more culpable because by the time of this memo he knew of Ferlie's connection with Marcello and threats and arrest. Your para(s) and Archives letter W 2/17/66? Did you ever send me a copy of that? It sounds very interesting in its possibilities. Guy Johnson's material might, legitimately, be withheld. Homosexuality. Thanks for suggestions.

I have a notion, after writing this ms on Clark stuff, that the panel selection-report and the release of the contract to Miss Lovetall, in that they knew they'd get their kind of story from him and they would undoubtedly have to use list soon anyway. That is why they sat on it and would not let me have for so long and in such clear violation regulations.

July staff 22 meeting with 3 shrinks could fit that mysterious one. They either got nothing or got what they didn't want so they had no transcript.

(8) Heard nothing from Hel. Nor from anyone else. My personal opinion is they seem to be looking for mistrial food from the other side. I am going to get the mess here cleaned up and get back to writing. I will do what I can about tact and for them, but not the major effort it was. Other things are more important, I now think, and the taste is very bad.

(12) When last I was in N.O. just before I left I made contact with a young woman who had been at the Heller party. She thinks Oswald was there. I tried to talk to Riessman. He would not. However, I am satisfied those leaflets were planted (a block away from here I stayed!) and they are as close to