Dear Steve, Your letter and the enclosed Bruin story are Constanting, Hew Liebelar could be allowed to get away with these continuing lies as ounds me. It is important, if it is at all possible, that I have a transcript of his remarks, verbatim. He will not always be able to run away, addiduce to some rout him with them one at a time. Then Bill sent me a the shirts sprestence on Lonax, I set down and stopped the wape at each lie and misrepresentation and noted it, in the sequence of the tape. Both my to personation and noted it, in they are going to ck to the factory and will be repaired, and although ^Bill will, when he can, send me a tape. the transcipts if more useable and I can use it immediately.

2/15/67

entite - regenter

Barriet in Sandy Server

Section

ilso, and the "ruin supply the print of the Liebelar picture, With his new friendship with Lerry Schiller and Schiller's skill in photography, 1'd like some experts to study this one.

T knew Liebeles and Lane were to debate and that the debate had taken places, it is I alsone Liebeles will not debate. He will, eventually, when he can no longer svoid it. The longer he puts it off, the more " have and the more he'll regret it.

Some of the students there have real militable and are getting interested. I'm a bit late on III, partly because of the time taken by sppearances and my continuing work. It will now be a larger book, and the

ner moteriel I have is terrifici

egards to everyone, and please keep me posted.

. Alizza alizzatione a statistication Sincerely,

Herold Weisberg

CITIZENS' COMMITTEE OF INQUIRY

BOX 150

380 WESTWOOD PLAZA

Los Angeles, California 90024

STEVEN J. BURTON GARY D. KUKES Co-Chairmen

February 9, 1967

JACKIE PILCHER Secretary

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

As you may or may not know, Mark Lane debated your friend and mine, Wesley Liebelær at UCLA late last month. In a press conference that immediately preceded the debate, Liebeler stated that he had conclusive proof that no shots came from the grassy knoll. In the debate, however, he brought up only two points: 1) A physicist that he had talked to says that the head moves forward in the split second after 313 and therefore the shot came from behind. He did not even refer to the violent backward movement in §14-316. I guess that this is his "conclusive proof" even if it is incorrect and contradicts UCLA Prof. Riddle as quoted in Dave Lifton's atricle.

2) He very dramatically unveiled a five foot photo of one of his students that allegedly duplicates Ex. 133A. He did not provide a copy of the Oswald photo because, "It was only shown to illustrate a point." You can easily see from the enclesed Daily Bruin article, through no help from the garbled commentary, that it does no such thing. Ray Marcus is misquoted where the print is circled.

A transcript of the debate may be prepared, and if it is I will see to it that you get a copy. My personal impressions were that; 1) Lane was not brilliant. 2) There was relatively little direct confrontation on the issues. 3) Lane won. He showed that the rifle, rifleman and bullet #399 were incapable while Liebeler didn't even reiterate the Commissions lies.

Steve