

Your long registered letter of 8/17
arrived this morning. I am replying to them
for it and will read it carefully and reply
later today or will read it on the train and
reply on my return.

I have finished a two-page summary
of the 1935 edition. I will have it typed and
send you a copy. I will also ask some of the
others who copy with me for comments.

I think the copy of the 1935 will give
me a fairly good idea of what they are
doing and an official one, that may also
document the things that are in the story.
If the 1935 edition is a reprint and I can get a
copy, I'll have the facts in hand. I am not
hanging on this, except to get something.

With I know the law here. They report
through the copies that are copyrighted work so
from 1935 is not and original with them through
history. I think this is, if not plagiarism, then
improper use of material. They know the size and
part of their determination to copy - or at
least to copy. It is a fairly strong comment
deliberate dishonesty and misrepresentation against
them. I have heard nothing new on the other side,
was the material from and to 1935. I think that with
a good lawyer, this could make my property much more
valuable and important.

Marshall