

2/5/77

Mr. Dan Christensen
6367 SW 43 St.,
South Miami, Fla. 33155

Dear Dan,

We received and appreciate Nikki's kind note.

My views on the committee and individual subjects of investigation and priorities have not changed. We'll see how your overtures end.

I doubt Andersen will go for anything that has been published. I wrote him a very strong letter through Les after the Milteer column appeared so he knows he was had by the leaker.

The real problem I see in this I'm sure I explained. I treated this as a required investigation as of the time of the crimes not as who did what. In the absence of a definitive connection with either crime this at best becomes a digression and a diversion. A sample of the ~~xxxx~~ worst you have seen.

Unless you have a local FOIA you have no right to local files under the federal Act. It relates to federal files only.

The federal sources could be withheld under the investigatory files exemption because it really began with a law enforcement purpose. Withholding thus would be in accord with the Act. I doubt the use of LEAA funds is relevant.

I am not opposed to a Congressional investigation on principle. I urged one in the conclusions of my first book and since.

It is the record of this committee in all aspects and in its approach. In all areas only utter irresponsibility and contempt for rights and proprieties. It begins with conclusions. I expect no good from this gang. I would be very much for a real, open, full and honest investigation but these characters have not even indicated an awareness of what to investigate or how to do it.

I was not aware that Rafficante had testified before the Senate Intelligence Committee. I sure would like that clipping and any others or other info. How does his testimony correlate in time with the killings of the others?

If I doubt it will I still hope it all works out the way you want.

Thanks and best to you both, that is, all three,