The New Alsop v The Old Alsop: What's the Game?

SFChron 12/19/72 swings me toward the more extreme view I've held, if it is not at all conclusive and my thinking still is unfixed.

My opinions have ranged between the least and most suspicious, that these atypical columns could have been the old liking for things Chinese and the land emerging in honest reporting of the changes he's seen with his own eyes, or that they could betoken a change of national policy and direction. Including military and the military's.

In support of the latter theory, two things, the first from my research for TIGER: the military plan in SEAsia was to contrive the killing of US personnel there and thus provoke an excuse for war with ^China. Whether entirely nuclear or old-fashioned land and air war I don't now recall, if I knew.

In support of this these quotes from the Chron clip:

"China is 'not at all safe from a preventive-nuclear attack by Russia'."

"...I have been writing regularly about the Soviet buxildup on the Chinese frontier. ...Soviet Russia is spending about \$\$11 billion a year to maintain its forced on the Chinese border...It is lunatic to suppose that their posture is anything but defensive (vis-a-vis Russia)...'We must pray that this potentially formidable China is allowed to realize its for,idable potential'..." Among the other gems, we should help China if there is this kind of trouble.

Now one of the things I expect to explore in TIGER is the USi intent to form an alliance the other way around: with USSR vs Chiha. It gailed only because USSR would not go for it.

This is merely a switch on that. With that breech seeming irreparable for the immediate future, old-fashioned balance-of-power politics point this way (not virtual abandonment of traditional US Latin American interests) and so does the larger potential for economic benefit. In large areas USSR is already a rival and economically independent. Besides, the reawakened 'apan has China as a natural partner and we are now the exonomic rival of the new Japans of the world. China is the one large exception in a position to pay.

Moreover, political invalry between us and the USSR is more likely in the rest of the world than US-China.

Having made an opening both east and west, the Nixophrenics may prefer ^China. At this point they can go of ther way or neither, playing one vs the other for a while.

I suggest that the Chinese knew what they were doing when they let Alsop in.

(I had no idea from the columns that he was back so long ago.)

Your note of 12/30 bears on this theory, beginning with the suggestion that "he may be the kind of conservative who sees ^China as a lesser communist evil compared with Russia." When it comes to the potential for nuclear delivery, no matter how they grow, there can be no question. Ditto economically. These things alone could be part of his explanation, were he to make one. Another is that we have never attributed to them the extensive proslytizing we have attributed to the Russians (I suspect a greatly-exaggerated attribution) and for racial reasons in vast areas they are less likely to attempt it. ^Besides, they have no record of it, aside from some few allegations in a few parts of Africa and negligibly in L.A.

I think the more intelligent reactionaries have come to realize that all peoples now require and are entitled to a few more of the needs of life. Thus they are less opposed to some kinds of change. There can t be a large market for foreign capital in the red lands and they've come to **acc**ept that foreign capitalism will not now have a chance in them. With the changes in the world, imperialism as we've known it is and will be dead. 't today cangt be profitable anyway, so the lesser evil, and the choice to be opted is profit from trade and friendships or neutralization in the diplomatic area.

Your point that the Chinese have always been more Democratic than the Russians fits and makes such changes as Alsop's easier and easier for him. "esides, we've been so hungup for so long on the USSR, never dreaming that the sleeping giant would awaken so fast, more of our hate energies have been directed toward USSR. Your point about the new ruling class in USSR also relevant to such a theory as I postulate is possible. Ditto for contrast with his KMT experiences and observations, and more, give him a chance to atone for his record with them and in his writing of them. So, if I'm right about his new thinking, and I'm not at all sure, we next have to ask if it is his or he got it from some trusting official source before he went. HW1/6/72

2

30 December 1972

HW:

Thanks for sending the Post version of the Alsop columns on China. You are correct: both the Chronicle and the S'ates-Item sometimes cut him. I share your mystification about his seeming turn-around, and can only suggest that he may be the type of conservative who sees China as a lesser communist evil compared with Russia. Just why this should be is something he will have to explain, not I; since it seems to me that what such people really fear is any change toward genuine social democracy, meaning change toward a government for the benefit of more than a few ruling class types. If that's true, thenJoe really has been charmed, since the Chinese are and always have been fundamentally more democratic than the Russians, both in practice and theory. It is in Russia that a new ruling class has gained power, and in China where one has been struck down in the cultural revolution. I suppose one must remember that Joe also was exposed to Kuomintang China at its very worst, and the shock to a puritan at finding rigidly honest Chinese must be traumatic, to say the least.

jdw

•/~ =

San Francisco Chronicle



whet Russia is spending. ut \$11 billion a year to its force on the der, Alsop told the Sheraton-

duland China مخلطةا ates if ve to delense. innatic to supposture is

COMto 'that the Martin Pa

This "working." however, applies to industry and econony alone.

I doubt that it will have Vigorium art or culture be-cause it is so unfree as a socloty," he said.

VIEW

Russian threat, he will drop as China's Sthis potentially formidable China Is allowed to centive its foraid that effected He taid that any second liets is such as they will the tack on China. They will the it again in more with relies areas. like ()) e lies a Gulf

Gun. And Intervention of the paper tion as to whether the should help marticulation. n the static of a basis of ack, Alsop said even give the impression of avere likely to belowing

trom mainh elawand y bede "Fin a good many years, I ave been writing regularly sout the Saviet buildup on the Chinese transfer

He sold he found that e y major city in China has ar, under ground fity to pro-rect fit citates and the LTBC

They can't preven tion, of course."

JOSEPH ALSOP He service and about the service shelters

Alsop in S.F. Tellsor

China Visit

his hosts allowed him this formerly forbidden greats of