Mr. Roy Fisher, Editor Chicago Saily News Chicago, Ill.

Dear Mr. Fisher,

I've had my own experiences with Skolnick and I've just gotten a copy of The seedliner Club news for May 1970, so I write you because it seems we may have overlapping interests.

I'm as much for cleaning up the courts where they need it as the next, certainly as much as Skolnick. Can one be less for this than for mother-bood? But who brage about bestards? Or those who deliver them?

Behind that pretense of dedication to decency Skolnick shows - and how hard he tries to show - beats to heart of a crook. He is, in fact, just that: a crook. His defenation of so many people and the courts, his abuse of the law in his so-called Kennedy-assacsination suit, which is a frivolity, where it has any contact with reality, is stolen from a book I have not been able to get printed. He get it by subterfuge and misrepresentation, knowing it was mine, from a young man who had helped me slightly. So that he could better do this, I gave him copies of my documents from the National Archives (some suppression:). I call Skolnick a crook in person. You can hear his total lack of objection any time you ment.

Now it happens that, entirely aside from this total lack of legal competence ambodied in a case that has to be thrown out of court, Skolnick was careless. Thile he could have duplicated my work (copyrighted, hence illegal here as well as under common law), he was too much in a hurry for those headlines. So he used copies of my documents, little deseming that they had markings added for special purposes. I have my copies and his. Income all covered by letters, and the copyrights are, of course, dated.

My chief interest is duel: to shield the integrity of research in the field in which so many of us have labored so hard to develop truth and to protect the "Fresdom of Information" law, under which I have just won a suit against the government. I fear very much that the vigor with which he will be denounced in court and the dispatch with which his case will be thrown out-he didn't even ask for what he claims is suppressed - will seriously impair the viability of this new law. To this and I am seeking to file a suit against him in the same court, but there are problems, as a latter from the clark, who has the draft (where you may read it for specification, etc.) informs me. I am a non-resident without funds for either a lawyer or fare to Chicago. And the electronic media, which presents itself as brave on controbersial issues, lives in terror of this irresponsible. Imagine, no TV show I can reach, including those I know have sired him, will air such documentary proof. I've asked. The radio stations that sire him initially do not even respond to letters.

I taped the conversation with Skolnick simply for my own protection, for there is no possible doubt he is a conscious crook. I taped several conversations with the young man from whom he got this material, with permission recorded on the tape and for purposes of making a record that could be used. As of 2/1/70 of this year, this now-fabled "researcher" into the JFK assessination knew so little he knew the names of but two of those who had written critically of the Warren Report. What more does it take with Chicago's electronic media to make a real, genuine, derring-do expert?

In my opinion, there is no void in my proofs, beginging with ignorance and intent and ending in fraud in court, the filing of a spurious lawsuit based on unabashed thievery. Plus, of course, the wildest imaginings.

Does this interest you?

Sincerely,

merold Weisberg