Dear Jime

Hasty analysis Chastein firing 11/

कर्षेत्र स्टब्स्ट कर्षे केने किन्द्र होता । एक विश्ववेदी केन्द्रीय कि

In writing Jay early this merning it securred to me that it might be a good idea to record a heaty analysis if the mituation and what, without deep thought, it seems to me to indicate and represent.

One aspect that engit not be igners is intinistion of other reporters. I do not think it is central. However, I think if should be apparent that once Ix spent a long serming with one particular reporter whose work has theretofers been singled and projection, that reporter's ateries began to be fair and remained that way, at this hearing we had the first sign of anything that could be called fair reporting in Hemphis.

As you loss from having hours so many of my interviews, I have my our ways. I will ask near leading questions some times and I will converse, but on the key things I do not disclose what I'm leading for because I want what the witness remembers, not feedback.

So, in writing both Vayno and Jay I have emitted what you should remember, a dead givenesty that Vayno was not the target of the surveillance. He may have become a subsidiary target of ter he was observed with me. Sefere we left for Heaphin Kayno had phoned no and extended the accepted dinner invitation. If he had been shadowed it would have been known that he took us to dinner at the Hexican place in West Heaphis, Ark.

This is emitted in what he was told.

The reason he was given is one that could have been invaked once his stuff started appearing in Computers. It wasn't. The reason given required no surveillance. And long before this firing he was off the King story and there is no allegation of bias or personal involvement in any of his other reporting. In fact he has excellent local sources of the kind newspapers spend yours cultivating. While we were there and the very day after the longest night of our drinking one of them fed him a sajer local soundal. Mayne seried two days that one, from about 4130-5100 a.s. until about or after 8 P.M., after which he joined so for supper, after which we drank and talked zero.

Perimps if the paper was opposed to expening local graft and asserted crockedness it sight have wanted to retaliste for this, but I doubt it. The stuff stacked, the paper did use the story, and there were no suits or even real émisle.

Why, then, was Vayne just fired this past week? Why not earlier? Why not when that measures began appearing in Computers? That is when he could be charged with hims. But he was it was quite some time before he was taken off the story, so they were not than troubled. Why should they and only get troubled when the possibility of his personal epinions influencing his reporting no longer existed? It makes no nease at all Neither the reason for fixing him nor the surveillance. Not of him, that is.

Then there is the question of Maile's subposening him as a witness. A witness to what? Mure that great atout heart of Mud's stood us in good stead and he did nothing after I gave him a detailed set of recommendations. Maile was testing Bud and trying to intimidate. His subposening of Wayne was a gress imprepriety and Bud let him get away with it. With enough major-media press to react, tee. There is nothing Wayne did or even know about that was at issue before McRae and McRae would have had no choice but to se rule. From his writing in Computers to his seeing Ray under the silly pretext deb cocked up to his seetings with me, need of this was at issue.

Here I digress for another of the reasons we are coing to have and display some balls. That Wayne get fired will get around our these we'll need for witnesses will be individuded. This is one of the reasons I want to file an action in Mamphis, to end that.

What made Bud's covardice were reprehensible is a) Vayne had been a friend, the ens we had in the local press, and b) Haile salocted the very mement so important to Vayne, when he was to get his lawyer's license, to keep him in the witness rees. (We did this so fast, I think, he gave Vayne his personal check for witness fee. I may it.)

and the second s

the state of the s

The examine this another way, why did Maile run this risk? If Sud had been at all either manly or lawyorly Meary would have been swallowing teeth on the front pages. The answers have to include reasons that to Maile justified this risk. One of these, of course, is that he has no case and has to go in for the extraneous. But why the dangerously extraneous with which he risks an antagenist reaction from both the years and the judge?

Adde from intimidation and none possible coming misuse, the obvious explanation is to test us. We had been seing this all along and Bud had been yellow. Until I registered strong protest we were cilent. And I vaited a long time, until I had an justification that story from Jimey that I choose to interpret as questioning my integrity.

Housver, Henry still permisted, never answering me and knowing that I had written the judge, current to him. Here he expanded to include had and had was still silent. You and I cash reacted, each differently.

When as seen as you and I were out of Campbin Menry pulled more dirty stuff, as you know I reacted incediately and strongly, making charges to the judge. The judge did met respond but this ended that kind of trickery by Menry except for two things: his evert indications that he would attempt to call me as a witness and his subpossing of Wayne. Heither, however, reached the judge's attention. Instead henry can another risk, lying to the judge about Renfra Heys' alleged mavailability when the entire State team had had his to lumbh, in public. The last thing Henry could have had in mind is calling Hays. He did not dare put him on because he knew that I knew that all of Rays investigation was available except where Mays would have testified that he fed the police hum steers to retaliate against their dirty tricks on him. He also know that the Public Defender had made no investigation other than a partial analication of days! Not I don't think leavy underestinated no. Her year So, he had to satisfate that in putting Mayo en I'd have be feeding probably you and that wo'd have ruined his more with days then with any other witness. His witness werls have been the best participant testineny on ineffectiveness of counsel. Repocially with what I'd noted in the civil-and deposition, Person's refund of all the work free; and with he had to know I'd have spetted in going over the PD's so-called investigatory file. Mays' work on the witnesses in it who were essential to the presecution was solid end mined them all, for all its defects.

What I am saying is that a believe Henry was testing the judge and us. We left him get away with what he pulled on the judge, much to our later detriment, for it also told the judge he could loan that way andappear impartial while being partial. And he had more than enough reading on him, that there was virtually nothing dirty he could pull that had would not accept.

Surveillance of Wayne makes me sense. That he was surveilled because I was does. That he was fixed because the papers were leaned as by the State is an explanation confer to accept that the filesisean wayne was given. And that one purpose was intististing other witnesses against when there can be retaliation is obvious. As you are made, my new witnesses include city and county employees. Retaliation is easiest against them.

There is another reading we can take from this. Had Bud not chickened cut, had he taken the initiative in matters of this nature seaths ago shan I spelled the need out to him in a none of which you have a copy (and to which he made no response), the entire course of the hearing would have been different. We'd not have been as interfered with, for one thing and our discovery would have been norse complete. The judge would have been less inclined to accept own contempt of his erders. He'd not have allessed any belated discovery to Heary, with the added intrusion the judge knew it asent into our capability of proparing. And had hid not again chickened out on the metions with he was to have opened—and I do assume their surveillance told them of this — Heary sould not have daren even think of such trickery as subpossing a reporter who was a witness to nothing at issue. Or subposseding other witnesses, like hays, he had no intention of calling. In short, Heary has his reading on Bud's timisity, will make full use of it, and we'd best be prepared for more and for countering. This is one reason I believe the notion on surveillance should be filled seen, ahead of time. We now have now proof on Jimy at least. Martily, He

10.00