Rt. 12, Frederick, Ed. 21701 8/22/76

hr. Lauronce Storn Jashington Post 1150 15 Star IN Washington, D.C. 20005

Dear Larry.

Because you began with a prejudice you were intended to have you never learned much about ze. Hy earlier experiences include intelligence. I was an analyst. Also a trouble-shooter, with a rathe good record. Sefere that I was a Senate investigator. There also I was a trouble-shooter. From this experience and from as intensive a 13 years of investigation and analysis as I believe is humanly possible since JFE was killed I suggest a simplification with which you may want to approach a key paragraph in your Hong RosselR piece in today's Post: in a really tough investigation or in an wirs analysis in which there are few or contradictory clues the best course is to sieze agon one and buildog it to death.

In your place this is:"It was not clear then - nor is to today - why Horgan came forward at that time to bring Rossell's story to the cars of the nation's highest law enforcement authorities."

I had no doubt them and I have no doubt now. I'll give you one clust what else was going on them?

There is virtually nothing new in your story. Confirmation that Horgan was the source is now as a fact but long ago he was my first guess. I've forgotten why and what his background is. (Nore recently, because of other ressons, I switched to a second lawyer but originally i I did believe Horgan.)

If I believe you to be some kind of fink I'd not be taking this time. Last year, after 62 of exceptionally good health, I had provisionia and plouriny followed by a severe throubleds, with perspect damage that for several works has been bothering se more than usual.

I do believe that not for the first time the Post has been used in a disinformation operation. In this your and the Post's notives are irrelevant. I don't thick that Bradlee's bed is in Langley, either.

and frankly I'm glad your projudices prevented your asking se saything because I have undertaken a very large work and I want to complete as much of it as is possible.

To an experienced analyst your factually completely correct story cellapses from internal solf-destructs. But part of the accuracy - which comes from accurate quotation and ditation - is unfactual.

S'll try to simplify. It simply is not true that the Verren Countarion did not know of unfollowed conspiracy leads of precisely this nature. And it is true that if the Past did not have policy det rejustions against mo and my work this would have been widely known, if not for the first time, last Hovenkers That is shew I brought out what you say regard as a long, tendentious, prolix work totled Post Hortes. Sarry Jussean was here and I deloased it with him. He loft with a copy. He found it too complicated for him and turned it over to George Lardner. De a copy is available there. But what I have in mind, pp. 475-67, esp. 485-7, earlier was a non-story to the Fost's national demak. I's sure Bill Cleiberne and George will remember. My release of it in April 1975, after I got it under FOIA, after 7-8 years of effort, cyincided with the passmonia. I want through with a achedulad press conference despite high faver. I gave Claiborne a copy of this transpript first and he discussed it with me afterward. He correctly chided me for understating. Pros my prior experience understatement seemed the botter course. Perhaps I was wrong. But Hill did send that transcript to Washington and your national desk did read it and did not do a story. Nor did the Times nor any wire skar service that I can recall.

The earliest reporting of any of this is in the manaucript you refused to read in 1965. It is in my first book, which was finished in mid-February of that year. Turn to page 155. That section begins about 149. Not an arful lot to read.

Schweiker began with four theoriest and spund up with a single intention, to be Ford's vice-presidential candidate. My correspondence on this and with him is dated prior to Reagan's move. All four theories case from my work. It tried without success to talk him out of any theorizing but he was off and running. I worned him with precise accuracy of the results if he did. He called se when I was in acutte pain. I event a morning with him on the way to the hospital. The above citation is one of the four.

Over the years, often too late, I've re-evaluated by position and approach, acking symplif how I may best come as close to possible to doing what I never intended to do when I wrote that first book. Literary considerations have come to be of least significants to me. I no longer take time to read and correct most latters. I mean no offense in this, but I'm 63, work a long day still and want to go as many of those allos as Front wrote about. Less and Less frequently do I undertake to try and elert the media to its being samipulated. It is a competiture world that depends on sources. This translates for the most part into officials - and the mood to go back and to most the competition. Folicies evalve and are rationalized into news judgements. I can no sore compete with this than I can with the whoring the Lenss. So I try to do my own thing. For years that has been a very determined effort to bring out what suppressed fact I can and make as complete a record as possible.

I have had FOLA requests filed for all the things you mention for long periods of time. Long enough to have them all in court. But I'm still only one same. I have only one averagized lawyer. I can't pay him and be is just starting prectise. We now have three unreported - and I'm addisfied they are unreported cases in court. Without help, and that is as likely as shrings whistling from the backs of cows jusping over green-cheese means, I can move no faster. However, with the enset of michaese I did establish an estate and have every confidence that two very fine young men will carry these satters forward. I no longer have to tall agent that in this I serve the maties's interest. A federal court has so mandated. Naturally this was not news and 2 now don't want it to be. If I did I'd have gene to the papers.

I had an autirely different interest in the Rosselli story and for this reason I followed it. There has been persistent official lying about it from the outset. I'li give you a couple of recent examples before I roturn to sy own work.

There never was a time when the FBL did not have investigational juriadiction yet it stated it did not and would not investigate. All the time it was. I can but will not near one interviewed and where. "any police departments are involved in a Recelli investigation. To my knowledge, from solid sources, not fewor than two have hied about this. One involves a very dubinus character who as a policense is a notorious surfarer. Two other murders coincide with Recelli's, perhaps only coincidentally. One involved chains/and dumping the body in the deep. The other is ruled "natural causes" by the madical examiner and "suicide" by the police.

If I were to building your story, as you should and I will not, from this single heavy reading I'd may that an experienced political analyst of non-angletonian precomceptions would be actisfied that of all the people who could have been responsible for effing JRK Castro is the least likely. This would have been part of what I had intended as mys second book had it not been for the corrupt doctrines of the two books that isceptiately followed mine. They planed it all on Marron. In my view if he bears his own faults be carries onough. There are sufficient to go around. Truth and geats are incompatible. his is a turning point in history. At requires truth and the acceptance of truth if there is to be a representative society of any kind.

Mnosrely.